Under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) (ESA), prior to taking a proposed action, a Federal agency must determine whether (i) endangered and threatened species or their critical habitats are known to be in the vicinity of the proposed action and if so, whether (ii) the proposed Federal action may affect listed species or critical habitats. The NRC has determined that the proposed action will have no effect on any listed species or their critical habitats because the NRC's approval of EN's DFPs will not authorize or result in changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of any solid waste.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

In addition to the proposed action, the NRC evaluated the no-action alternative. The no-action alternative is to deny EN's DFPs. A denial of a DFP that meets the criteria of 10 CFR 72.30(b) or 72.30(c) does not support the regulatory intent of the 2011 rulemaking. As noted in the EA for the 2011 rulemaking (ADAMS Accession No. ML090500648), not promulgating the 2011 final rule

would have increased the likelihood of additional legacy sites. Thus, denying EN's DFPs, which the NRC has found to meet the criteria of 10 CFR 72.30(b) and 72.30(c), will undermine the licensee's decommissioning planning. On this basis, the NRC has concluded that the no-action alternative is not a viable alternative.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff consulted with other agencies and parties regarding the environmental impacts of the proposed action. The NRC provided a draft of its EA to the State of Washington Department of Health, Office of Radiation Protection (State) by letter dated July 7, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17139B940), and gave the State 30 days to respond. The State did not respond. The NRC also consulted with the Fish and Wildlife Service by letter dated July 7, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16189A442). However, the NRC staff has determined that consultation under ESA Section 7 is not required because the proposed action is administrative/procedural in nature and will not affect listed species or critical habitat (ADAMS Accession No. ML17135A062).

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action, the review and approval of EN's initial and updated DFPs, submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 72.30(b) and 72.30(c), will not authorize or result in changes to licensed operations or maintenance activities, or changes in the types, characteristics, or quantities of radiological or non-radiological effluents released into the environment from the ISFSI, or result in the creation of any solid waste. Moreover, the approval of the DFPs will not authorize any construction activity, facility modification, or any other landdisturbing activity. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is a procedural and administrative action and as such, that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, the NRC staff has determined not to prepare an EIS for the proposed action but will issue this FONSI.

IV. Availability of Documents

The following documents, related to this notice, can be found using any of the methods provided in the following table. Instructions for accessing ADAMS were provided under the ADDRESSES section of this document.

Date	Document	ADAMS Accession No.
December 17, 2012	Submission of EN decommissioning funding plan	ML17135A062 ML17139B940
February 20, 2019	NRC staff's Final EA for the approval of the decommissioning funding plan	ML19053A293

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, on February 28, 2019.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **John McKirgan**,

Chief, Spent Fuel Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2019-03965 Filed 3-5-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[SEC File No. 270–291, OMB Control No. 3235–0328]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736.

Extension:

Form ID

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission

("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget this request for extension of the previously approved collection of information discussed below.

Form ID (OMB Control No. 3235–0328; SEC File No. 270–291) is used by companies and other entities to apply for identification numbers and access codes used in conjunction with the EDGAR electronic filing system. The information provided on Form ID is an essential part of the security of the EDGAR system. Form ID is a not a public document because it is used solely for the purpose of registering filers on the EDGAR system. Form ID must be filed every time a registrant or other person obtains or changes an

identification number. Form ID is filed by all persons that are required to file information electronically on EDGAR, including but not limited to, individuals, companies, other for-profit organizations, or governmental entities. We estimate that approximately 46,842 filers file Form ID annually and that it takes approximately 0.15 hours per response to prepare for a total of 7,027 annual burden hours.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

The public may view the background documentation for this information collection at the following website, www.reginfo.gov. Comments should be directed to: (i) Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10102, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, or by sending an email to: Lindsay.M.Abate@omb.eop.gov; and (ii) Charles Riddle, Acting Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Candace Kenner, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: PRA Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: February 27, 2019.

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–04008 Filed 3–5–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-85224; File No. SR-EMERALD-2019-08]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; MIAX Emerald, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Exchange Rule 503, Openings on the Exchange, and Rule 515, Execution of Orders and Quotes, In Order To Harmonize Its Rule To the Rules of MIAX Options

February 28, 2019.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") ¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, ² notice is hereby given that on February 22, 2019, MIAX Emerald, LLC ("MIAX Emerald" or "Exchange"), filed with the Securities and Exchange

Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend Exchange Rule 503, Openings on the Exchange, and MIAX Emerald Rule 515, Execution of Orders and Quotes, in order to harmonize its rule to the rules of MIAX Options.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at http://www.miaxoptions.com/rule-filings/emerald, at MIAX Emerald's principal office, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend MIAX Emerald Rule 503, Openings on the Exchange, and MIAX Emerald Rule 515, Execution of Orders and Quotes, in order to harmonize its rule to the rules of MIAX Options.

Background

MIAX Emerald plans to commence operations as a national securities exchange registered under Section 6 of the Act ³ on March 1, 2019. As described more fully in MIAX Emerald's Form 1 application, ⁴ the Exchange is an affiliate of MIAX Options and MIAX PEARL, LLC ("MIAX PEARL"). MIAX Emerald Rules, in their current form,

were filed as Exhibit B to its Form 1 on August 16, 2018, and at that time, the above mentioned rules, were substantially similar to the rules of the MIAX Options exchange. MIAX Options has filed a proposed rule change to amend MIAX Options Rule 503, Openings on the Exchange, and MIAX Options Rule 515, Execution of Orders and Quotes.⁵ In order to ensure consistent operation of both MIAX Emerald and MIAX Options through having consistent rules, the Exchange proposes to amend MIAX Emerald Rules as described below.

Proposal

The Exchange proposes to amend Exchange Rule 503, Openings on the Exchange, and Exchange Rule 515, Execution of Orders and Quotes, to delete certain rule text regarding functionality that the Exchange proposes to remove from the System 6 and to make certain minor clarifying changes related thereto. These changes would make MIAX Emerald Rule 503 and Rule 515 consistent with MIAX Options Rule 503 and Rule 515 and are identical to changes made by MIAX Options when it modified its rule.

First, the Exchange proposes to delete Exchange Rule 515, Interpretations and Policies .01. Presently, this rule states that "[r]esubmission of Orders. A Member 8 may submit written instructions to the Exchange designating orders the Member submits as eligible for automatic resubmission when the order or any remaining part of the order has been automatically cancelled by the System. The resubmitted order will be automatically submitted as a new order. This automatic resubmission functionality of the System will not apply to Immediate-or-Cancel, Fill-or-Kill or Intermarket Sweep Orders." The Exchange notes that this functionality was completely voluntary for Members to use on MIAX Options and was intended to provide Members with an automated way to resubmit certain cancelled orders. The Exchange believes this functionality is no longer necessary as very few Members on MIAX Options

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 84891 (December 20, 2018), 83 FR 67421 (December 28, 2018) (File No. 10–233) (order approving application of MIAX EMERALD, LLC for registration as a national securities exchange).

⁵ See SR-MIAX-2019-06 filed on February 22, 2019 to amend Exchange Rule 503, Openings on the Exchange, and Exchange Rule 515, Execution of Orders and Quotes, to delete certain rule text regarding functionality that the Exchange proposes to remove from the System.

⁶The term "System" means the automated trading system used by the Exchange for the trading of securities. *See* Exchange Rule 100.

⁷ See supra note 5.

⁸ The term "Member" means an individual or organization approved to exercise the trading rights associated with a Trading Permit. Members are deemed "members" under the Exchange Act. See Exchange Rule 100.