

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Food and Nutrition Service****Agency Information Collection
Activities: Assessment of the
Collection, Analysis, Validation, and
Reporting of Supplemental Nutrition
Assistance Program (SNAP)
Employment and Training (E&T) Data****AGENCY:** Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), USDA.**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection. This collection is a new collection for the assessment of the collection, analysis, validation, and reporting of SNAP E&T data collected by States.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before January 28, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent to: Leigh Gantner, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room, Alexandria, VA 22302. Comments may also be submitted via email to leigh.gantner@usda.gov. Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of this information collection should be directed to Leigh Gantner by email at leigh.gantner@usda.gov or by phone at (703) 305-2822.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions that were used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection

techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title: Assessment of the Collection, Analysis, Validation, and Reporting of SNAP Employment and Training Data project.

Form Number: None.

OMB Number: 0584-NEW.

Expiration Date: Not yet determined.

Type of Request: New collection.

Abstract: Abstract: Section 17 [7 U.S.C. 2026] (a)(1) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, as amended, provides general legislative authority for the planned data collection. It authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to enter into contracts with private institutions to undertake research that will help to improve the administration and effectiveness of SNAP in delivering nutrition-related benefits.

Under the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), States are required to operate an Employment and Training (E&T) program to help participants gain skills, education, training, and experience that lead to employment and greater economic self-sufficiency. The U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) uses several methods to collect information on State SNAP E&T programs: annual State SNAP E&T plans, quarterly FNS-583 SNAP E&T Program Activity Report data forms (OMB 0584-0594, currently undergoing review), and annual outcome reporting measures. FNS has found that reported data are often inconsistent, incomplete, and inaccurate. This makes it challenging for FNS to analyze these data to determine the outcomes of SNAP E&T participants and to assist States in improving their programs.

FNS will: (1) Identify and describe the current State and Federal systems that collect, validate, and analyze E&T data; (2) assess the current and future E&T data needs of Federal, Regional, and State staff; and (3) recommend a plan to improve how Federal, Regional, and State staff collect and use data for E&T program improvement and reporting. The assessment will include two main data collection activities: (1) 10 in-person meetings with a range of staff from Federal agencies¹ to better understand the systems for collecting and analyzing SNAP E&T data, assess the current and future data needs of SNAP E&T stakeholders, and identify options to improve the collection and analysis of data; and (2) site visits in seven States to observe their SNAP E&T business, data collection, and analysis

¹ Discussions with Federal agencies do not require OMB clearance and are therefore not included in the burden estimates in this notice.

processes, and to assess the current and future data needs from the perspective of a range of State and local staff, including local organizations that provide E&T activities.

The data collection effort will culminate in a comprehensive final report of recommendations for FNS to meet its current and future data needs for the SNAP E&T program. The report will describe the current Federal, Regional, and State data systems and processes; the current and future data needs and goals of SNAP E&T; and the gaps between the current systems and data needs. In addition, the report will recommend methods to address these gaps through changes to data systems and information technology (IT) solutions, improved business processes, and expanded technical assistance.

Affected Public: FNS will reach out to eight State agencies, and anticipates that seven of these State agencies will agree to participate in the study. Approximately, 284 respondents within these seven States will be contacted to participate in the data collection. Of these 284 respondents, FNS anticipates 251 will agree to participate. Members of the public affected by the data collection include State, Local and Tribal governments and the private sector (Business-for-profit and not-for-profit). Respondent groups identified include the following:

- Directors and managers from State, Local, and Tribal government agencies supporting the SNAP E&T programs (35; 32 respondents and 3 non-respondents).
- Policy staff from State, Local, and Tribal government agencies supporting the SNAP E&T programs (28; 26 respondents and 2 non-respondents).
- Frontline staff from State, Local, and Tribal government agencies providing direct services to SNAP E&T participants (56; 50 respondents and 6 non-respondents).
- Data and IT staff from State, Local, and Tribal government agencies supporting the E&T programs (28; 26 respondents and 2 non-respondents).
- Directors and managers from private sector for-profit businesses providing SNAP E&T services (21; 18 respondents and 3 non-respondents).
- Frontline staff from private sector for-profit businesses providing SNAP E&T services (21; 18 respondents and 3 non-respondents).
- Data and IT staff from private sector for-profit businesses providing SNAP E&T services (11; 9 respondents and 2 non-respondents).
- Directors and managers from private sector not-for-profit agencies providing SNAP E&T services (35; 30 respondents and 5 non-respondents).

• Frontline staff from private sector not-for-profit businesses providing SNAP E&T services (35; 30 respondents and 5 non-respondents).

• Data and IT staff from private sector not-for-profit businesses providing SNAP E&T services (14; 12 respondents and 2 non-respondents).

Estimated Number of Respondents:

The total estimated number of respondents is 284. This includes the following:

- 35 State, Local, or Tribal agency directors and managers will be asked to participate in an interview (21 of which will also be asked to participate in a mapping discussion)
- 28 State, Local, or Tribal agency policy staff will be asked to participate in an interview (21 of which will also be asked to participate in a mapping discussion)
- 56 State, Local, or Tribal agency direct services staff will be asked to participate in an interview (56 of which will also be asked to participate in a mapping discussion)
- 28 State, Local, or Tribal agency data and IT staff will be asked to participate in an interview (21 of which will also be asked to

participate in a mapping discussion, and 7 will also provide extant data)

- 21 private sector for-profit business directors and managers will be asked to participate in a mapping discussion (7 of which will also be asked to participate in an interview)
- 21 private sector for-profit business direct services staff will be asked to participate in a mapping discussion (7 of which will also be asked to participate in an interview)
- 11 private sector for-profit business data and IT staff will be asked to participate in a mapping discussion (11 of which will also be asked to participate in an interview)
- 35 private sector not-for-profit agency directors and managers will be asked to participate in a mapping discussion (14 of which will also be asked to participate in an interview)
- 35 private sector not-for-profit agency direct services staff will be asked to participate in a mapping discussion (14 of which will also be asked to participate in an interview)
- 14 private sector not-for-profit agency data and IT staff will be asked to participate in a mapping discussion

(14 of which will also be asked to participate in an interview)

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent Some respondents will be asked to participate in one in-person interview only (28 respondents), others will be asked to participate in a group mapping discussion only (70 respondents), and the remainder will be asked to participate in an interview and group mapping discussion (186 respondents).

Estimated Total Annual Responses: 1074.

Estimated Time per Response: The estimated time of response varies from 1 to 1.5 hours (60 to 90 minutes) depending on respondent group, as shown in the table that follows, with an average estimated time of 1.17 hours (70.2 minutes) for all respondents. Those declining participation are anticipated to spend 0.167 hours (10 minutes) reading the email invitation to participate and declining participation.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 915.88 hours (54,953 minutes). See the following table for estimated total annual burden for each type of respondent.

| Respondent type | Instrument | Sample size | Responsive | | | | | Non-responsive | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | Estimated number of respondents | Estimated frequency of response | Total annual responses | Number of burden hours per response | Estimated total burden hours | Estimated number of respondents | Estimated frequency of response | Total annual responses | Number of burden hours per response | Estimated total burden hours |
| State agency staff ... State, local, or Tribal agency directors and managers. | Recruitment email .. | 8 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 0.167 | 1.17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.167 | 0.167 |
| | Interview discussion guide. | 35 | 32 | 1 | 32 | 1.5 | 48.00 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0.167 | 0.501 |
| State, local, or Tribal agency policy staff. | Mapping group discussion. | 21 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 1.5 | 30.00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.167 | 0.167 |
| | Interview discussion guide. | 28 | 26 | 1 | 26 | 1.5 | 39.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |
| State, local or Tribal agency direct services staff. | Mapping group discussion. | 21 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 1.5 | 30.00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.167 | 0.000 |
| | Interview discussion guide. | 56 | 50 | 1 | 50 | 1.5 | 75.00 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0.167 | 1.002 |
| State, local, or Tribal agency data and IT staff. | Mapping group discussion. | 56 | 50 | 1 | 50 | 1.5 | 75.00 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0.167 | 1.002 |
| | Interview discussion guide. | 28 | 26 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 26.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |
| | Mapping group discussion. | 21 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 1.5 | 30.00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.167 | 0.167 |
| | Extant data collection. | 7 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 |
| Sub-Totals for SLT. | | | 134 | | 258 | | 361.17 | 13 | | 23 | | 3.674 |
| Recruitment email for private sector for-profit business. | Recruitment email .. | 21 | 18 | 1 | 18 | 0.167 | 3.01 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0.167 | 0.501 |
| Private sector for-profit business directors and managers. | Interview discussion guide. | 7 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.167 | 0.167 |
| | Mapping group discussion. | 21 | 18 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 18.00 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0.167 | 0.501 |
| Private sector for-profit business direct services staff. | Interview discussion guide. | 7 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |
| | Mapping group discussion. | 21 | 18 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 18.00 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0.167 | 0.501 |
| Private sector for-profit business data and IT staff. | Interview discussion guide. | 11 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 9.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |
| | Mapping group discussion. | 11 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 9.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |

| Respondent type | Instrument | Sample size | Responsive | | | | | Non-responsive | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | Estimated number of respondents | Estimated frequency of response | Total annual responses | Number of burden hours per response | Estimated total burden hours | Estimated number of respondents | Estimated frequency of response | Total annual responses | Number of burden hours per response | Estimated total burden hours |
| Recruitment email for not-for-profit business. | Recruitment email .. | 35 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 0.167 | 5.01 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0.167 | 0.835 |
| Private sector not-for-profit agency directors and managers. | Interview discussion guide. | 14 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 12.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |
| | Mapping group discussion. | 35 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 30.00 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0.167 | 0.835 |
| Private sector not-for-profit agency direct services staff. | Interview discussion guide. | 14 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 12.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |
| | Mapping group discussion. | 35 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 30.00 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0.167 | 0.835 |
| Private sector not-for-profit agency data and IT staff. | Interview discussion guide. | 14 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 12.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |
| | Mapping group discussion. | 14 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 12.00 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0.167 | 0.334 |
| Sub-Totals for Business-for-not-for-Profit. | | | 117 | | 221 | | 181.02 | 20 | | 39 | | 6.513 |
| Grand Total Burden for both SLT and Business. | | 284 | 251 | | 951 | | 902.19 | 33 | | 123 | | 13.694 |

Dated: November 4, 2019.

Pamilyn Miller,

Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2019-25639 Filed 11-27-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

National Agricultural Statistics Service

Notice of Intent To Request Revision and Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection

AGENCY: National Agricultural Statistics Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the intention of the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) to request revision and extension of a currently approved information collection, the Aquaculture Surveys. Revision to burden hours will be needed due to changes in NASS estimates programs, target population sizes, sampling designs, and/or content of questionnaires.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by January 28, 2020 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number 0535-0150, by any of the following methods:

- Email: ombofficer@nass.usda.gov.

Include the docket number above in the subject line of the message.

- *Efax:* (855) 838-6382.

• *Mail:* Mail any paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions to: David Hancock, NASS Clearance Officer, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 5336 South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250-2024.

• *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Hand deliver to: David Hancock, NASS Clearance Officer, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 5336 South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250-2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kevin L. Barnes, Associate Administrator, National Agricultural Statistics Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, (202) 720-2707. Copies of this information collection and related instructions can be obtained without charge from David Hancock, NASS—OMB Clearance Officer, at (202) 690-2388 or at ombofficer@nass.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Aquaculture Surveys.

OMB Control Number: 0535-0150.

Expiration Date: April 30, 2020.

Type of Request: Intent to seek approval to revise and extend a currently approved information collection for a period of three years.

Abstract: The primary objective of the National Agricultural Statistics Service is to prepare and issue state and national estimates of crop and livestock production, prices, and disposition. The Aquaculture Surveys program produces estimates at the national level on both trout and catfish. Survey results are

used by government agencies and others in planning farm programs.

The trout survey includes inventory counts, sales (dollars, pounds, and quantities), percent of product sold by outlet at the point of first sale, number of fish raised for release into open waters, and losses. The catfish surveys include inventory counts, water surface acreage used for production, sales (dollars, pounds, and quantities), and losses.

• Twenty-five states (Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Idaho, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Utah, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming) are in the Trout Production Survey. In January, data are collected in the selected states that produce and either sell or distribute trout. State, federal, tribal, and other facilities where trout are raised for conservation, restoration, or recreational purposes are included in the survey.

• Nine states (Alabama, Arkansas, California, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, and Texas) are in the Catfish Production Survey. Data are collected from farmers in January for inventory, water surface acreage, and previous year sales. In addition, farmers in the three major catfish producing states are surveyed in July for mid-year inventory and water surface acreage.