### V. Request for Comments

In addition to the above questions outlined, the Board invites comment on all aspects of the proposed rulemaking.

#### VI. Regulatory Procedures

#### A. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires that, in connection with a notice of proposed rulemaking, an agency prepare and make available for public comment an initial regulatory flexibility analysis that describes the impact of a proposed rule on small entities. A regulatory flexibility analysis is not required, however, if the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (defined for purposes of the RFA to include FICUs with assets less than \$100 million) and publishes its certification and a short, explanatory statement in the Federal Register together with the rule.

Data currently available to the NCUA is not sufficient to estimate how many small FICUs make residential real estate loans in amounts that fall between the current and proposed thresholds. Therefore, the NCUA cannot estimate how many small entities may be affected by the increased threshold and how significant the reduction in burden may be for such small entities. The NCUA believes, however, that the proposed threshold increase will meaningfully reduce burden for small FICUs. Accordingly, the NCUA certifies that the proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small FICUs.

#### B. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) applies to rulemakings in which an agency by rule creates a new paperwork burden on regulated entities or modifies an existing burden (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)). For purposes of the PRA, a paperwork burden may take the form of a reporting, recordkeeping, or a third-party disclosure requirement, referred to as an information collection. The NCUA may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a valid OMB control

The proposed rule increases the threshold from \$250,000 to \$400,000 for residential real estate transactions for which an appraisal is required. Transaction values of less than \$400,000 do not require an appraisal, but a written estimate of market value. The information collection requirement of this part is that the FICU retain a record

of either the appraisal or estimate, whichever applies. Even though the threshold has increased, the proposal will not result in a change in burden. This recordkeeping requirement is cleared under OMB control number 3133-0125. There is no new information collection requirements associated with this proposed rule.

#### C. Executive Order 13132

Executive Order 13132 encourages independent regulatory agencies to consider the impact of their actions on state and local interests. In adherence to fundamental federalism principles, the NCUA, an independent regulatory agency as defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(5), voluntarily complies with the executive order. This rulemaking will not have a substantial direct effect on the states, on the connection between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. The NCUA has determined that this proposal does not constitute a policy that has federalism implications for purposes of the executive order.

#### D. Assessment of Federal Regulations and Policies on Families

The NCUA has determined that this proposed rule will not affect family well-being within the meaning of Section 654 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 1999.

#### List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 722

Appraisal, Appraiser, Credit unions, Mortgages, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Truth in lending.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on November 21, 2019. Gerard Poliquin,

Secretary of the Board.

For the reasons discussed above, the NCUA Board proposes to amend 12 CFR part 722 as follows:

### **PART 722—APPRAISALS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 722 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1766, 1789, and 3331 et seq. Section 722.3(a) is also issued under 15 U.S.C. 1639h.

- 2. Amend § 722.3 by:
- a. Revising paragraphs (b)(2), (c)(1);
- b. Removing paragraph (f). The revision reads as follows:

#### §722.3 Appraisals and written estimates of market value requirements for real estate-related financial transactions.

- (b) \* \* \*
- (1) \* \* \*
- (2) The transaction is complex, involves a residential real estate transaction, and \$400,000 or more of the transaction value is not insured or guaranteed by a United States government agency or United States government sponsored agency. (c) \* \*
  - (1) An appraisal performed by a state-
- certified appraiser or a state-licensed appraiser is required for any real estaterelated financial transaction not exempt under paragraph (a) of this section in which the transaction is not complex, involves a residential real estate transaction, and \$400,000 or more of the transaction value is not insured or guaranteed by a United States government agency or United States government sponsored agency.
- 3. Amend § 722.4 by:
- a. Republishing the introductory text;
- b. Redesignating paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) as (d), (e), and (f), respectively;
- c. Adding a new paragraph (c); and
- d. Revising in newly designated paragraph (e) the text "§ 722.2(f)" and adding in its place the text "§ 722.2".

The addition reads as follows.

#### §722.4 Minimum appraisal standards.

For federally related transactions, all appraisals shall, at a minimum:

(c) Be subject to appropriate review for compliance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

[FR Doc. 2019-25768 Filed 11-27-19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2019-0786; Airspace Docket No. 18-AWP-1]

RIN 2120-AA66

**Proposed Amendment of Class E** Airspace and Establishment of Class E Airspace Extension; Battle Mountain,

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to modify the Class E surface area, Class E airspace extending upward from 700

feet above the surface and create Class E airspace as an extension to the Class E surface area at Battle Mountain Airport, Battle Mountain, NV. After establishment of a new area navigation (RNAV) procedure and review of the airspace, the FAA found it necessary to amend the existing airspace and establish new controlled airspace for the safety and management of Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at this airport. This action would also remove a reference to the Battle Mountain VORTAC from the legal description for the Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 13, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this proposal to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590; telephone: (800) 647–5527, or (202) 366–9826. You must identify FAA Docket No. FAA–2019–0786; Airspace Docket No. 18–AWP–1, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit comments through the internet at https://www.regulations.gov.

FAA Order 7400.11D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at https://www.faa.gov/air\_ traffic/publications/. For further information, you can contact the Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783. The Order is also available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of FAA Order 7400.11D at NARA, email fedreg.legal@nara.gov or go to https:// www.archives.gov/federal\_register/cfr/ ibr\_locations.html.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Roberts, Federal Aviation Administration, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center, 2200 S 216th Street, Des Moines, WA 98198– 6547; telephone (206) 231–2245.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Authority for This Rulemaking**

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that

section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would modify the existing Class E airspace and establish new Class E airspace as an extension to the Class E surface area at Battle Mountain Airport, Battle Mountain, NV, in support of IFR operations at the airport.

#### **Comments Invited**

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify both docket numbers (Docket No. FAA–2019–0786; Airspace Docket No. 18–AWP–1) and be submitted in triplicate to DOT Docket Operations (see ADDRESSES section for address and phone number). You may also submit comments through the internet at https://www.regulations.gov.

Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. FAA–2019–0786; Airspace Docket No. 18–AWP–1." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter.

All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

#### **Availability of NPRMs**

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the internet at https://www.regulations.gov.
Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's web page at https://www.faa.gov/air\_traffic/publications/airspace\_amendments/.

You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office (see the ADDRESSES section for the address and phone number) between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An informal docket may also be examined between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays, at the Northwest Mountain Regional Office of the Federal Aviation Administration, Air Traffic Organization, Western Service Center, Operations Support Group, 2200 S 216th St., Des Moines, WA 98198–6547.

#### Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference

This document proposes to amend FAA Order 7400.11D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 8, 2019, and effective September 15, 2019. FAA Order 7400.11D is publicly available as listed in the ADDRESSES section of this document. FAA Order 7400.11D lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

#### The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 by modifying the Class E airspace at Battle Mountain Airport, Battle Mountain, NV. The Class E surface area would be adjusted to within 4.2 miles of the airport and the surface area that extends 1 mile both sides of the 218° bearing from the 4.2 mile radius to 7.4 miles southwest of the airport eliminated. A Class E extension to the surface area would be established within 1.3 miles both sides of the 228° bearing, which will provide the required width and alignment for an extension to protect the VOR approach to runway 4 as aircraft descend through 1000 feet AGL. The Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL would be modified by establishing airspace 2 miles on both sides of the 48° bearing from the airport to 11 miles northeast, to contain a new RNAV approach to runway 22. To the west, the airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL would be expanded from the current 4.2 mile radius to 7 miles from the airport, between the 265° bearing clockwise to the 32° bearing, to protect departures until they reach 1200 feet AGL. This action would also modify the lateral boundaries of the Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL to the southwest to within 16.5 mile radius of the airport from the 204° bearing clockwise to the 266° bearing to protect the VOR Approach to runway 4 as aircraft descend through 1500 feet. It

would also eliminate the Battle
Mountain VORTAC as a reference point
in the legal description as it is no longer
required. This airspace would support
IFR operations at Battle Mountain
Airport, Battle Mountain, NV.

Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6002, 6004 and 6005 of FAA Order 7400.11D, dated August 8, 2019 and effective September 15, 2019, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order. FAA Order 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

#### **Regulatory Notices and Analyses**

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is noncontroversial, and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Given this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule, when promulgated, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### **Environmental Review**

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures" prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

# PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### §71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.11D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 8, 2019, and effective September 15, 2019, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6002 Class E Airspace Designated as Surface Areas.

## AWP NV E2 Battle Mountain, NV [Amended]

Battle Mountain Airport, NV (Lat. 40°35′57″ N, long. 116°52′28″ W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 2500 feet MSL within a 4.2-mile radius of Battle Mountain Airport, Battle Mountain, NV. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.

Paragraph 6004 Class E Airspace Areas Designated as an Extension to a Class D or Class E Surface Area.

AWP NV E4 Battle Mountain, NV [NEW]

Battle Mountain Airport, NV

(Lat. 40°35′57" N, long. 116°52′28" W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface within 1.3 miles each side of the 228° bearing from the Battle Mountain Airport extending from the 4.2 mile radius to 7 miles southwest of Battle Mountain Airport, Battle Mountain NV.

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

## AWP NV E5 Battle Mountain, NV [Amended]

Battle Mountain Airport, NV (Lat. 40°35′57″ N, long. 116°52′28″ W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 16.5-mile radius of the Battle Mountain Airport beginning at the point where the  $205^{\circ}$  bearing intersects the 16.5-mile radius thence clockwise to the point where the 266° bearing intersects the 16.5-mile radius thence northeast along the 266° bearing to within 7 miles of the airport, thence clockwise along the 7-mile radius to the point where the 65° bearing intersects the 7-mile radius thence to the point where the 77° bearing intersects the 4.2-mile radius thence clockwise to the point where the 158° bearing intersects the 4.2 mile radius, thence to the point of beginning; and that airspace within 2 miles each side of the 49° bearing extending from the 4.2 mile radius to 10.5 miles from the airport.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on November 19, 2019.

#### Byron Chew,

Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2019–25542 Filed 11–27–19;  $8:45~\mathrm{am}$ ]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### **Food and Drug Administration**

#### 21 CFR Part 573

[Docket No. FDA-2017-F-4399]

## Zinpro Corp.; Filing of Food Additive Petition (Animal Use)

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration,

**ACTION:** Notification; petition for rulemaking; amendment.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or we) is announcing that the Zinpro Corp. (Zinpro) has amended their pending petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of chromium DL-methionine as a nutritional source of chromium in cattle feed. The amendment provides for a change in the feeding rate.

**DATES:** Submit either electronic or written comments on the petitioner's environmental assessment by December 30, 2019.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments as follows. Please note that late, untimely filed comments will not be considered. Electronic comments must be submitted on or before December 30, 2019. The <a href="https://www.regulations.gov">https://www.regulations.gov</a> electronic filing system will accept comments until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time at the end of December 30, 2019. Comments received by mail/hand delivery/courier (for written/paper submissions) will be considered timely if they are postmarked or the delivery service acceptance receipt is on or before that date.

#### Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal:
https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
Comments submitted electronically, including attachments, to https://www.regulations.gov will be posted to the docket unchanged. Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for ensuring that your