

- FY 2007 and FY 2008, published on November 16, 2009 (74 FR 58948)
- FY 2009 and FY 2010, published on October 20, 2011 (76 FR 65182)
- FY 2011, published on September 17, 2012 (77 FR 57074)
- FY 2012, published on August 29, 2013 (78 FR 53425)
- FY 2013, published on October 14, 2014 (79 FR 61617)
- FY 2014, published on December 17, 2015 (80 FR 78718)
- FY 2015, published on August 22, 2016 (81 FR 56580)

Cotton's reports on these indirect rates can be found on the DARRP website at [www.darrp.noaa.gov](http://www.darrp.noaa.gov).

Empirical Concepts developed the DARRP indirect rates for FY 2016 and 2017. Empirical reaffirmed that the Direct Labor Cost Base is the most appropriate indirect allocation method for the development of the FY 2016 and 2017 indirect cost rates.

#### The DARRP's Indirect Cost Rates and Policies

The DARRP will apply the indirect cost rates for FY 2016 and 2017 as recommended by Empirical for each of the DARRP component organizations as provided in the following table:

DARRP component organization	FY 2016 Indirect rate (percent)	FY 2017 Indirect rate (percent)
Office of Response and Restoration (ORR) .....	133.62	137.45
Restoration Center (RC) .....	64.46	73.26
General Counsel, Natural Resources Section (GCNRS) ..	62.67	77.30

These rates are based on the Direct Labor Cost Base allocation methodology.

The FY 2016 rates will be applied to all damage assessment and restoration case costs incurred between October 1, 2015 and September 30, 2016. The FY 2017 rates will be applied to all damage assessment and restoration case costs incurred between October 1, 2016 and September 30, 2017. DARRP will use the FY 2017 indirect cost rates for future fiscal years, beginning with FY 2018, until subsequent year-specific rates can be developed.

For cases that have settled and for cost claims paid prior to the effective date of the fiscal year in question, the DARRP will not re-open any resolved matters for the purpose of applying the revised rates in this policy for these fiscal years. For cases not settled and cost claims not paid prior to the effective date of the fiscal year in

question, costs will be recalculated using the revised rates in this policy for these fiscal years. Where a responsible party has agreed to pay costs using previous year's indirect rates, but has not yet made the payment because the settlement documents are not finalized, the costs will not be recalculated.

**David Westerholm,**

*Director, Office of Response and Restoration.*

[FR Doc. 2019-22554 Filed 10-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-JE-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs

#### Performance Review Board Membership

**AGENCY:** Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the Office of the Under Secretary for Economic Affairs (OUS/EA) announces the appointment of members who will serve on the OUS/EA Performance Review Board (PRB). The purpose of the PRB is to provide fair and impartial review of senior executive service and senior professional performance ratings, bonus, and pay adjustment recommendations and Presidential Rank Award nominations. The term of each PRB member will expire on December 31, 2021.

**DATES:** The effective date of service of appointees to the OUS/EA Performance Review Board is based upon publication of this notice.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Latasha Ellis, Program Manager, Executive Resources Office, Human Resources Division, Census Bureau, 4600 Silver Hill Road, Washington, DC 20233, 301-763-3727.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The names and position titles of the members of the PRB are set forth below:

John M. Abowd, Associate Director for Research and Methodology, Census Bureau  
 Ali M. Ahmad, Associate Director for Communications, Census Bureau  
 Mary E. Bohman, Deputy Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA)  
 Gregory Capella, Deputy Director, National Technical Information Service  
 Paul Farelo, Associate Director for International Economics, BEA  
 Albert Fontenot, Jr., Associate Director for Decennial Census Programs, Census Bureau  
 Thomas F. Howells III, Associate Director for Industry Accounts, BEA

Kathleen James, Chief Administrative Officer, BEA

Ron Jarmin, Deputy Director, Census Bureau  
 Enrique Lamas, Senior Advisor to the Deputy Director, Census Bureau

Edith J. McCloud, Associate Director for Management, Minority Business Development Agency

Timothy Olson, Associate Director for Field Operations, Census Bureau

Nick Orsini, Associate Director for Economic Programs, Census Bureau

Benjamin J. Page, Chief Financial Officer, Census Bureau

Jeremy Pelter, Senior Advisor for Policy and Program Integration, Office of the Secretary (OS)

Joel D. Platt, Associate Director for Regional Economics, BEA

Joseph Semsar, Chief of Staff to the Deputy Secretary, OS

Kevin Smith, Chief Information Officer, Census Bureau

Erich Strassner, Associate Director for National Economic Accounts, BEA

Victoria Velkoff, Associate Director for Demographic Programs, Census Bureau

David R. Ziaya, Chief Administrative Officer, Census Bureau

**Ron S. Jarmin,**

*Deputy Director, Census Bureau, Chair, OUS/EA Performance Review Board.*

[FR Doc. 2019-22507 Filed 10-15-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-BS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### Notice of Open Meeting of the Sensors and Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee

The Sensors and Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee (SITAC) will meet on October 29, 2019, 9:30 a.m., in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 6087B, 14th Street between Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues NW, Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration on technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to sensors and instrumentation equipment and technology.

#### Agenda

##### Public Session:

1. Welcome and Introductions.
2. Remarks from the Bureau of Industry and Security Management.
3. Industry Presentations.
4. New Business.

##### Closed Session:

5. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3).

The open session will be accessible via teleconference to 20 participants on

a first come, first serve basis. To join the conference, submit inquiries to Ms. Yvette Springer at [Yvette.Springer@bis.doc.gov](mailto:Yvette.Springer@bis.doc.gov) no later than October 22, 2019.

A limited number of seats will be available during the public session of the meeting. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that the materials be forwarded before the meeting to Ms. Springer.

For more information contact Yvette Springer on (202) 482–2813.

**Yvette Springer,**  
Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2019–22454 Filed 10–15–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–928, A–791–821, A–552–803]

#### Uncovered Innerspring Units From the People's Republic of China, South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units (innersprings) from the People's Republic of China (China), South Africa, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam), would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, Commerce is publishing a notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders.

**DATES:** Applicable October 16, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Javier Barrientos, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2243.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On March 1, 2019, Commerce published the initiation of the second five-year (sunset) reviews of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from China, South Africa, and Vietnam, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), as amended.<sup>1</sup> Commerce received notices of intent to participate in these sunset reviews from Leggett & Platt, Incorporated (the domestic interested party), within the 15-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested party claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of the domestic like product.

Commerce received an adequate substantive response to the *Notice of Initiation* from the domestic interested party within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). Commerce received no substantive response from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from China, South Africa, and Vietnam.<sup>2</sup>

As a result of its review, Commerce determined, pursuant to section 751(c)(1) and 752(c) of the Act, that revocation of the *Orders* would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping.<sup>3</sup> Commerce, therefore, notified the ITC of the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail should the *Orders* be revoked. On October 3, 2019, the ITC published its determination, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on innersprings from China, South Africa and Vietnam would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 84 FR 7021 (March 1, 2019) (*Notice of Initiation*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order*, 74 FR 7661 (February 19, 2009); *Antidumping Duty Order: Uncovered Innerspring Units from South Africa*, 73 FR 75390 (December 11, 2008); and *Antidumping Duty Order: Uncovered Innerspring Units from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 73 FR 75391 (December 11, 2008) (collectively, the *Orders*).

<sup>3</sup> See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from the People's Republic of China, South Africa, and Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 84 FR 32878 (July 10, 2019) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>4</sup> See *Uncovered Innerspring Units from China, South Africa, and Vietnam: Investigation Nos. 731–*

#### Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered by these *Orders* are uncovered innerspring units composed of a series of individual metal springs joined together in sizes corresponding to the sizes of adult mattresses (e.g., twin, twin long, full, full long, queen, California king, and king) and units used in smaller constructions, such as crib and youth mattresses. All uncovered innerspring units are included in this scope regardless of width and length. Included within this definition are innersprings typically ranging from 30.5 inches to 76 inches in width and 68 inches to 84 inches in length. Innersprings for crib mattresses typically range from 25 inches to 27 inches in width and 50 inches to 52 inches in length.

Uncovered innerspring units are suitable for use as the innerspring component in the manufacture of innerspring mattresses, including mattresses that incorporate a foam encasement around the innerspring.

Pocketed and non-pocketed innerspring units are included in this definition. Non-pocketed innersprings are typically joined together with helical wire and border rods. Non-pocketed innersprings are included in this definition regardless of whether they have border rods attached to the perimeter of the innerspring. Pocketed innersprings are individual coils covered by a “pocket” or “sock” of a nonwoven synthetic material or woven material and then glued together in a linear fashion.

Uncovered innersprings are classified under subheading 9404.29.9010 and have also been classified under subheadings 9404.10.0000, 7326.20.0070, 7320.20.5010, or 7320.90.5010 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). On January 11, 2011, Commerce included HTSUS classification numbers 9404.29.9005 and 9404.29.9011 to the customs case reference file, pursuant to a request by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). On January 7, 2013, Commerce included the HTSUS classification 7326.20.0071 number to the customs case reference file, pursuant to a request by CBP. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the *Orders* is dispositive.

#### Continuation of the Orders

As a result of the determinations by Commerce and the ITC that revocation of the *Orders* would likely lead to a

TA–1140–1142 (*Second Review*), 84 FR 52896 (October 3, 2019).