

detailed analysis in the FEIS. The following Alternatives were not analyzed in the FEIS (Section 2.7) because they either did not meet the purpose and need of the Project or were not considered technically feasible or economically feasible or cost-effective:

- Alternative D: Alton Coal Development's Original Lease By Application Submittal
- Alternative E: No Surface Mining
- Alternative F: Postpone Leasing Decision Until Completion Of The Kanab Field Office Resource Management Plan Revision
- Alternative G: Postpone Leasing Decision Until More Environmentally Friendly Coal Mining Practices Are Available
- Alternative H: Construct A Coal-Fired Power Plant Next To The Tract
- Alternative I: Promote The Development Of Alternative Sources Of Energy, Natural Gas, And Energy Conservation
- Alternative J: Coal Transportation Alternatives
- Alternative K2: Tract Modifications To Address Concerns Related To Greater Sage-Grouse And Big Game
- Alternative L: Tract Modifications To Address Concerns Related To Kanab Creek, Possible Alluvial Valley Floors, And Other Water Features
- Alternative M: Maximize Flexibility Of Mining Operations
- Alternative N: Nitrogen Dioxide Emissions Control Measures
- Alternative O: Restrict Mining Operations To Daylight Hours
- Alternative P: Update The KFO RMP Unsuitability Determinations Based On The Analysis In The DEIS And Reconfigure The Tract To Exclude These Areas
- Alternative Q: Air Quality Protection Alternative
- Alternative R: Restrict Coal Truck Traffic After Sunset And Before Sunrise
- Alternative S: Reconfigure The Tract To Exclude Cultural Resources Sites Eligible For The National Register Of Historic Places
- Alternative T: Seasonal Timing Restrictions And Varying Buffer-Size Restrictions For The Tract
- Alternative U: Alternative Locations
- Alternative V: Lease All Known Recoverable Coal Resources

Certain components of the federal action would be independent of the elements of any alternative. In the FEIS, these were considered options, any one of which could be chosen in combination with any alternative and would not necessitate changes in the alternative, or vice versa. Those options

that were considered but not carried forward for detailed analysis are listed below (FEIS Section 2.7).

- Kanab Field Office Route 116 Relocation Options
- Other Roads In The Tract
- Power Generation Options

III. Environmental Impact Analysis

The FEIS analyzes the potential environmental impacts to 16 different resource categories, including:

- Air Resources
- Paleontology
- Geology and Minerals
- Cultural Resources
- Soils
- Vegetation
- Wildlife: Special Status Species
- Wildlife: General
- Hazardous Materials and Hazardous and Solid Waste
- Water Resources
- Livestock Grazing
- Transportation
- Land Use and Access
- Recreation
- Socioeconomics
- Aesthetics Resources

IV. Decision

In consideration of the information presented above, OSMRE approves the Record of Decision (ROD) adopting the BLM Alton Coal Tract Lease by Application FEIS and selects Alternative K1 as the Preferred Alternative as described in the FEIS (Section 2.5). The BLM included lease stipulations which were outlined in Appendix B of BLM's ROD to minimize environmental impacts. This action can be implemented following approval of the mining plan modification by the ASLM.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.1.

Dated: June 25, 2019.

David Berry,

Regional Director, Regions 5, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

[FR Doc. 2019-14333 Filed 7-3-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-606 and 731-TA-1416 (Final)]

Quartz Surface Products From China; Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United

States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of quartz surface products from China, provided for in subheading 6810.99.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that have been found by the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") to be sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), and to be subsidized by the government of China.²

Background

The Commission, pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)), instituted these investigations effective April 17, 2018, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by Cambria Company LLC, Eden Prairie, Minnesota. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of quartz surface products from China were subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and sold at LTFV within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the revised notice in the **Federal Register** on February 12, 2019 (84 FR 3487). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 9, 2019, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b) and 19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determinations in these investigations on June 28, 2019. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4913 (June 2019), entitled *Quartz Surface Products from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-606 and 731-TA-1416 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² The Commission also finds that imports subject to Commerce's affirmative critical circumstances determinations are not likely to undermine seriously the remedial effect of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders on quartz surface products from China.

Dated: June 28, 2019.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–14312 Filed 7–3–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1123 (Second Review)]

Steel Wire Garment Hangers From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: May 7, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: (Calvin Chang (202) 205–3062), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On May 7, 2019, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (84 FR 2245, February 6, 2019) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly,

the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on July 15, 2019, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before July 22, 2019 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by July 22, 2019. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules with respect to filing were revised effective July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission’s website at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI

service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined this review is extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 28, 2019.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019–14309 Filed 7–3–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–749 (Fourth Review)]

Persulfates From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: May 7, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stamen Borisson (202–205–3125), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On May 7, 2019, the Commission determined that the

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

² The Commission has found the response submitted by M&B Metal Products Company to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).