

burden associated with this collection of information is 2,255.

Dated: June 27, 2019.

**Scott Elmore,**

*PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019–14126 Filed 7–2–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9111–28–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

[OMB Control Number 1653–0050]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Extension, Without Change, of a Currently Approved Collection: Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) will submit the following Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance. This information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** (84 FR 18564) on May 1, 2019, allowing for a 60-day comment period. ICE received 1 comment in connection with the 60-day notice. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until August 2, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the OMB Desk Officer for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Department of Homeland Security, and sent via electronic mail to [dhsdeskofficer@omb.eop.gov](mailto:dhsdeskofficer@omb.eop.gov) or faxed to (202) 395–5806. All submissions must include the words “Department of Homeland Security” and the OMB Control Number 1653–0050.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Comments

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension, Without Change, of a Currently Approved Collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Homeland Security sponsoring the collection:* U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or Households; Farms; Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; State, local or Tribal governments; The information collection garners qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient and timely manner, in accordance with the Administration’s commitment to improving service delivery. By qualitative feedback we mean information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. This feedback provides insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provides an early warning of issues with service, or focuses attention on areas where communication, training or changes in

operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections allow for ongoing, collaborative and actionable communications between the Agency and its customers and stakeholders. It also allows feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management. Feedback collected under this generic clearance provides useful information, but it will not yield data that can be generalized to the overall population. This type of generic clearance for qualitative information will not be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance. Such data uses require more rigorous designs that address: The target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential nonresponse bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior fielding the study. Depending on the degree of influence the results are likely to have, such collections may still be eligible for submission for other generic mechanisms that are designed to yield quantitative results.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 139,587 responses at 5 minutes (0.0833 hours) per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 11,586 annual burden hours.

Dated: June 27, 2019.

**Scott Elmore,**

*PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019–14167 Filed 7–2–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9111–28–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Transportation Security Administration

#### Intent To Request an Extension From OMB of One Current Public Collection of Information: Pipeline Corporate Security Review Program

**AGENCY:** Transportation Security Administration, DHS.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) invites public comment on one currently-approved

Information Collection Request (ICR), Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number 1652–0056, abstracted below that we will submit to OMB for an extension in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. The collection allows TSA to assess the current security practices in the pipeline industry through TSA's Pipeline Corporate Security Review (PCSR) program. The PCSR program is part of the larger domain awareness, prevention, and protection program supporting TSA's and the Department of Homeland Security's missions.

**DATES:** Send your comments by September 3, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be emailed to [TSAPRA@tsa.dhs.gov](mailto:TSAPRA@tsa.dhs.gov) or delivered to the TSA PRA Officer, Information Technology (IT), TSA–11, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598–6011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christina A. Walsh at the above address, or by telephone (571) 227–2062.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The ICR documentation will be available at <http://www.reginfo.gov> upon its submission to OMB. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including using appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Consistent with the requirements of Executive Order (E.O.) 13771, Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs, and E.O. 13777, Enforcing the Regulatory Reform Agenda, TSA is also

requesting comments on the extent to which this request for information could be modified to reduce the burden on respondents.

**Information Collection Requirement**

*OMB Control Number 1652–0056; Pipeline Corporate Security Review (PCSR) Program.* Under the Aviation and Transportation Security Act (ATSA)<sup>1</sup> and delegated authority from the Secretary of Homeland Security, TSA has broad responsibility and authority for “security in all modes of transportation . . . including security responsibilities . . . over modes of transportation that are exercised by the Department of Transportation.”<sup>2</sup> TSA is specifically empowered to assess threats to transportation,<sup>3</sup> develop policies, strategies, and plans for dealing with threats to transportation,<sup>4</sup> oversee the implementation and adequacy of security measures at transportation facilities,<sup>5</sup> and carry out other appropriate duties relating to transportation security.<sup>6</sup> The Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act (9/11 Act) included a specific requirement for TSA to conduct assessments of critical pipeline facilities.<sup>7</sup>

Consistent with these authorities and requirements, TSA developed the PCSR program to assess the current security practices in the pipeline industry, with a focus on the physical and cyber security of pipelines and the crude oil and petroleum products, such as gasoline, diesel, jet fuel, home heating oil, and natural gas, moving through the system infrastructure. PCSRs are voluntary, face-to-face visits, usually at the headquarters facility of the pipeline owner/operator. Typically, TSA sends one to three employees to conduct a seven to eight hour interview with representatives from the owner/operator. The TSA representatives

analyze the owner/operator's security plan and policies and compare their practices with recommendations in TSA's Pipeline Security Guidelines.

During the PCSR assessment, the PCSR program subject matter experts:

- Meet with senior corporate officers and security managers.

- Develop knowledge of security planning at critical pipeline infrastructure sites.

- Establish and maintain a working relationship with key security staff who operate critical pipeline infrastructure.

- Identify industry smart practices and lessons learned.

- Maintain a dynamic modal network through effective communications with the pipeline industry and government stakeholders.

Through this engagement, TSA is also able to establish and maintain productive working relationships with key pipeline security personnel. This engagement and access to pipeline facilities also enables TSA to identify and share smart security practices observed at one facility to help enhance and improve the security of the pipeline industry. As a result, participation in the voluntary PCSR program enhances pipeline security at both specific facilities and across the industry.

TSA has developed a Question Set to aid in the conducting of PCSRs. The PCSR Question Set structures the TSA-owner/operator discussion and is the central data source for the security information TSA collects. TSA developed the PCSR Question Set based on input from government and industry stakeholders on how best to obtain relevant information from a pipeline owner/operator about its security plan and processes. The questions are designed to examine the company's current state of security, as well as to address measures that are applied if there is a change in the National Terrorism Advisory System. The PCSR Question Set also includes sections for facility site visits and owner/operator contact information. By asking questions related to specific topics (such as security program management, vulnerability assessments, components of the security plan, security training, and emergency communications), TSA is able to assess the strength of owner/operator's physical security, cyber security, emergency communication capabilities, and security training.

This PCSR information collection provides TSA with real-time information on a company's security posture. The relationships these face-to-face contacts foster are critical to the Federal government's ability to reach out to the pipeline stakeholders affected

<sup>1</sup> Public Law 107–71 (115 Stat. 597; Nov. 19, 2001), codified at 49 U.S.C. 114.

<sup>2</sup> See 49 U.S.C. 114(d). The TSA Administrator's current authorities under ATSA have been delegated to him by the Secretary of Homeland Security. Section 403(2) of the Homeland Security Act (HSA) of 2002, Public Law 107–296 (116 Stat. 2135, Nov. 25, 2002), transferred all functions of TSA, including those of the Secretary of Transportation and the Under Secretary of Transportation of Security related to TSA, to the Secretary of Homeland Security. Pursuant to DHS Delegation Number 7060.2, the Secretary delegated to the Administrator of TSA, subject to the Secretary's guidance and control, the authority vested in the Secretary with respect to TSA, including that in section 403(2) of the HSA.

<sup>3</sup> 49 U.S.C. 114(f)(2).

<sup>4</sup> 49 U.S.C. 114(f)(3).

<sup>5</sup> 49 U.S.C. 114(f)(11).

<sup>6</sup> 49 U.S.C. 114(f)(15).

<sup>7</sup> Public Law 110–53 (121 Stat. 266; August 3, 2007), sec. 1557.

by the PCSRs. In addition, TSA follows up via email with owner/operators on specific recommendations made by TSA during the PCSR.

When combined with information from other companies across the sector, TSA can identify and develop recommended smart practices and security recommendations for the pipeline mode. This information allows TSA to adapt programs to the changing security threat, while incorporating an understanding of the improvements owners/operators make in their security measures. Without this information, the ability of TSA to perform its security mission would be severely hindered.

Portions of PCSR responses that are deemed Sensitive Security Information (SSI) are protected in accordance with procedures meeting the transmission, handling, and storage requirements of SSI set forth in 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 15 and 1520.

The annual hour burden for this information collection is estimated to be between 180 and 220 hours based upon 20 PCSR visits per year, each lasting a total of eight hours and the follow-up regarding security recommendations, lasting approximately one to three hours.

**Christina A. Walsh,**

*TSA Paperwork Reduction Act Officer,  
Information Technology.*

[FR Doc. 2019-14253 Filed 7-2-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-05-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-7011-N-25]

### 30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: FHA Insured Title I Property Improvement and Manufactured Home Loan Programs

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** HUD has submitted the proposed information collection requirement described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* August 2, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to:

HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202-395-5806. Email: *OIRA\_Submission@omb.eop.gov*.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410; email *Colette.Pollard@hud.gov* or telephone 202-402-3400. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that HUD has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described in Section A. The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on April 19, 2019 at 84 FR 14128.

#### A. Overview of Information Collection

*Title of Information Collection:* FHA Insured Title I Property Improvement and Manufactured Home Loan Programs.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2502-0328.

*Type of Request:* Extension of currently approved collection.

*Form Number:* HUD-637, HUD 646, HUD 27030, HUD 55013 HUD 55014 HUD 56001, HUD 56001-MH, HUD 5002-MH and SF 3881.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:* Title I loans are made by private sector lenders and insured by HUD against loss from defaults. HUD uses this information to evaluate individual loans on their overall program performance. The information collected is used to determine insurance eligibility and claim eligibility.

*Respondents:* Lender approved to make insured Title I Loans; Dealer/Contractors; Manufacturers of manufactured homes; Applicants for property improvement loans; Applicants for Manufactured home loans.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 13,593.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 73,440.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion, periodic.

*Average Hours per Response:* 17.3.

*Total Estimated Burdens:* 46,099.

#### B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35.

Dated: *June 13, 2019.*

**Colette Pollard,**

*Department Reports Management Officer,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019-14244 Filed 7-2-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[Docket No. FWS-R8-ES-2019-0043;  
FXES11130800000 190]

### Endangered and Threatened Species; Proposed Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan for the Desert Tortoise, Nye County, NV

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of application; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, have received an application from Canyon Mesa Solar, LLC for an incidental take permit under the Endangered Species Act. The requested permit would authorize take of the Mojave desert tortoise resulting from the construction, operation, and maintenance of a solar photovoltaic facility in Pahrump, Nevada. The permit application includes a proposed low-effect habitat conservation plan (HCP). In accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), we have prepared a draft low-