

approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the expanded service area based on companies' needs for FTZ designation. The application indicates that the proposed expanded service area is adjacent to the Boise, Idaho Customs and Border Protection Port of Entry.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Qahira El-Amin of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the FTZ Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary and sent to: [ftz@trade.gov](mailto:ftz@trade.gov). The closing period for their receipt is August 27, 2019. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to September 11, 2019.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's website, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz). For further information, contact Qahira El-Amin at [Qahira.El-Amin@trade.gov](mailto:Qahira.El-Amin@trade.gov) or (202) 482-5928.

Dated: June 20, 2019.

**Andrew McGilvray,**  
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019-13864 Filed 6-27-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-41-2019]

#### Foreign-Trade Zone 162—New Haven, Connecticut; Application for Subzone; Waterfront Enterprises, LLC, New Haven, Connecticut

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by the Greater New Haven Chamber of Commerce, grantee of FTZ 162, requesting subzone status for the facilities of Waterfront Enterprises, LLC, located in New Haven, Connecticut. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on June 24, 2019.

The proposed subzone would consist of the following sites: *Site 1* (4.6 acres) 400 Waterfront Street, New Haven; *Site 2* (22 acres) 31 Waterfront Street, New Haven; *Site 3* (7 acres) 30 Waterfront

Street, New Haven; and, *Site 4* (23 acres) 347 Chapel Street, New Haven. No authorization for production activity has been requested at this time.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Elizabeth Whiteman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the FTZ Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary and sent to: [ftz@trade.gov](mailto:ftz@trade.gov). The closing period for their receipt is August 7, 2019. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to August 22, 2019.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's website, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz).

For further information, contact Elizabeth Whiteman at [Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov](mailto:Elizabeth.Whiteman@trade.gov) or (202) 482-0473.

Dated: June 25, 2019.

**Andrew McGilvray,**  
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019-13862 Filed 6-27-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-821-802]

#### Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium From the Russian Federation: Final Results of the 2016-2017 Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) continues to find that the State Atomic Energy Corporation "ROSATOM" (ROSATOM), its affiliates Joint Stock Company "TENEX" (TENEX) and TENAM Corporation (TENAM), and TENEX's unaffiliated reseller, Centrus Energy Corp. and United States Enrichment Corporation (collectively, Centrus), are in compliance with the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation (Agreement) during the period of review (POR) from October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017.

**DATES:** Applicable June 28, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sally C. Gannon or Jill Buckles,

Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0162 or (202) 482-6230, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On November 14, 2018, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review.<sup>1</sup> After the *Preliminary Results*, we received a number of submissions, including responses to supplemental questionnaires issued by Commerce prior to the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>2</sup> In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c), we invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>3</sup> On June 11, 2019, Louisiana Energy Services LLC (LES); Centrus; and Power Resources, Inc. and Crow Butte Resources, Inc. (collectively, Cameco) submitted case

<sup>1</sup> See *Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Uranium From the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of 2016-2017 Administrative Review and Postponement of Final Results*, 83 FR 56802 (November 14, 2018) (*Preliminary Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See Letter from ROSATOM, TENEX, and TENAM, "Uranium from the Russian Federation—Comments on Factual Information Rebutting, Clarifying, or Correcting the Response of ROSATOM to the Department's September 21, 2018 Supplemental Questionnaire," dated November 5, 2018; Letter from TENEX, "Uranium from the Russian Federation Suspension Agreement Administrative Review: Supplemental Questionnaire Response of TENEX," dated November 7, 2018; Letter from Exelon Generation Company, LLC and the Ad Hoc Utilities Group, "Uranium from the Russian Federation (A-821-802): Comments on Supplemental Questionnaire Response of Joint Stock Company TENEX," dated November 16, 2018; Letter from Centrus, "Uranium from the Russian Federation: Supplemental Questionnaire Response," dated November 16, 2018; Letter from LES, "Uranium from the Russian Federation: Factual Information Rebutting, Clarifying, and Correcting the Supplemental Questionnaire Response Submitted on November 16, 2018 by Centrus Energy Corp. and United States Enrichment Corporation," dated December 17, 2018; Letter from TENEX, "Uranium from the Russian Federation—TENEX Factual Information to Rebut or Clarify Information Provided by LES," dated January 3, 2019; Letter from Centrus, "Uranium from the Russian Federation: Submission of New Factual Information to Rebut, Clarify and Correct LES's December 17, 2018 Submission of New Factual Information," dated February 12, 2019; and Letter from LES, "Uranium from the Russian Federation: Sur-Rebuttal Comments to February 12, 2019 Comments of Centrus," dated February 26, 2019.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum to Interested Parties, "Establishment of Briefing Schedule for Preliminary Results in the 2016-2017 Administrative Review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation," dated June 3, 2019.

briefs.<sup>4</sup> On June 14, 2019, LES;<sup>5</sup> ROSATOM, TENEX, and TENAM (collectively, ROSATOM *et al.*);<sup>6</sup> Centrus;<sup>7</sup> Cameco;<sup>8</sup> and Exelon Generation Company, LLC and the Ad Hoc Utilities Group (collectively, AHUG)<sup>9</sup> submitted rebuttal briefs.

Commerce conducted verification in Moscow, Russia, of the questionnaire and supplemental questionnaire responses of ROSATOM and TENEX during May 20–24, 2019.<sup>10</sup> On June 6, 2019, Commerce issued its verification report.<sup>11</sup> On December 12, 2018, LES requested a hearing in the administrative review proceeding, and, on December 14, 2018, Centrus and Cameco requested a hearing as well. On June 20, 2019, Commerce held a public hearing.<sup>12</sup>

Commerce exercised its discretion to toll all deadlines affected by the partial federal government closure from December 22, 2018, through the resumption of operations on January 29, 2019.<sup>13</sup> If the new deadline falls on a

non-business day, in accordance with Commerce's practice, the deadline will become the next business day. The revised deadline for the final results is now June 24, 2019.

### Scope of Review

The product covered by this Agreement is natural uranium in the form of uranium ores and concentrates; natural uranium metal and natural uranium compounds; alloys, dispersions (including cermetes), ceramic products, and mixtures containing natural uranium or natural uranium compounds; uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup> and its compounds; alloys, dispersions (including cermetes), ceramic products, and mixtures containing uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup> or compounds of uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup>; and any other forms of uranium within the same class or kind.

Imports of uranium ores and concentrates, natural uranium compounds, and all forms of enriched uranium are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 2612.10.00, 2844.10.20, 2844.20.00, respectively. Imports of natural uranium metal and forms of natural uranium other than compounds are currently classifiable under HTSUS subheadings: 2844.10.10 and 2844.10.50. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>14</sup>

### Analysis

Commerce continues to find, based on record evidence, that ROSATOM was in compliance with the terms of the Agreement and Commerce's February 2, 2010 Statement of Administrative Intent (SAI) during the POR. Commerce has reviewed the information submitted by ROSATOM and found, in particular, no evidence of non-compliance regarding sales or exports in excess of the Agreement's export limits and no evidence of non-compliance regarding the anti-circumvention requirements in

section VII of the Agreement or the reporting requirements in Appendix 3 pursuant to section VIII of the Agreement.

Commerce finds no evidence of non-compliance by TENEX, TENAM, and Centrus during the POR regarding the contract and shipment approval requirements provided for in section V of the Agreement, established by the SAI, and requested by Commerce. We have reviewed the submissions to Commerce requesting approval of contracts or contract amendments applicable to both sales and exports of Russian Uranium Products to the United States during the POR from TENEX, TENAM, and Centrus and find that each party has complied with the contract approval documentation requirements in sections V.C and V.F and Appendix 2 of the Agreement and in the SAI. We note that TENEX failed to provide to Commerce certain contract amendments that changed the material terms of contracts in effect as required by the SAI.<sup>15</sup> However, upon discovery of this lapse, TENEX explained the circumstances under which it occurred and remedied the situation. We find that, while this oversight by TENEX is not insignificant, it does not rise to the level of a violation of the Agreement and does not imperil Commerce's ability to ensure its strict quota accounting through the contract and shipment approval requirements established in the Agreement and the SAI.<sup>16</sup>

Regarding submissions to Commerce requesting approval of a shipment under an approved contract or contract amendment which entered for delivery during the POR, we have reviewed the submissions from TENEX, TENAM, and Centrus and find that each party has complied with the shipment approval documentation requirements established in the Agreement and the SAI and requested by Commerce. Lastly, regarding returned natural uranium feed and associated certification requirements, we find no issues in the submissions from TENEX, TENAM, and Centrus that rise to the level of non-compliance with Commerce's requirements regarding returned natural uranium feed, as specified in its contract and shipment approval memoranda.

Commerce is deferring analysis of whether the Agreement continues to meet the statutory requirements until the next administrative review. This deferral will allow Commerce to conduct a more extensive analysis of a larger time period which it believes is

<sup>4</sup> See Centrus Case Brief, "Uranium from the Russian Federation: Case Brief" dated June 11, 2019 (Centrus Case Brief); see also Cameco Case Brief, "Uranium from the Russian Federation: Case Brief in 2016–2017 Administrative Review," dated June 11, 2019; and LES Case Brief, "Administrative Review of the Suspension Agreement on Uranium from the Russian Federation: Case Brief of Louisiana Energy Services, LLC," dated June 11, 2019.

<sup>5</sup> See LES Rebuttal Brief, "Administrative Review of the Suspension Agreement on Uranium from the Russian Federation: Rebuttal Brief of Louisiana Energy Services, LLC," dated June 14, 2019.

<sup>6</sup> See ROSATOM *et al.* Rebuttal Brief, "Uranium from the Russian Federation—ROSAOTM, TENEX, and TENAM Rebuttal Brief," dated June 14, 2019.

<sup>7</sup> See Centrus Rebuttal Brief, "Uranium from the Russian Federation: Rebuttal Case Brief," dated June 14, 2019.

<sup>8</sup> See Cameco Rebuttal Brief, "Uranium from the Russian Federation: Rebuttal to Case Briefs," dated June 14, 2019.

<sup>9</sup> See AHUG Rebuttal Brief, "Uranium from the Russian Federation (A–821–802): Rebuttal Brief of the Ad Hoc Utilities Group," dated June 14, 2019.

<sup>10</sup> See Letter to ROSATOM and TENEX, "Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation: Verification Agenda for State Atomic Energy Corporation "ROSATOM" and Joint Stock Company "TENEX," dated March 1, 2019; see also Memorandum to the File, "Correction to Verification Dates," dated April 10, 2019.

<sup>11</sup> See Memorandum to the File, "Verification of the Questionnaire Responses of State Atomic Energy Corporation "ROSATOM" and Joint Stock Company "TENEX" in the Administrative Review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation," dated June 6, 2019.

<sup>12</sup> See Letter from Commerce to Interested Parties, dated June 17, 2019; see also Hearing Transcript, dated June 24, 2019.

<sup>13</sup> See Memorandum to the Record from Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Partial Shutdown of the Federal Government," dated

January 28, 2019. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 40 days.

<sup>14</sup> See Memorandum to Jeffrey I. Kessler, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from P. Lee Smith, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy & Negotiations, "Issues and Decisions Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation for the period October 1, 2016 through September 20, 2017," dated concurrently, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>15</sup> See SAI at 4.

<sup>16</sup> *Id.* at 1–4.

necessary to resolve the issues raised regarding price suppression or undercutting and public interest in this review.

The issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum and business proprietary memorandum.<sup>17</sup> The issues are identified in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

We are issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: June 24, 2019.

**Jeffrey I. Kessler,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## APPENDIX

### Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
  - II. Scope of the Agreement
  - III. Background
  - IV. Discussion of the Issues
    - Issue 1: Alleged Violations of the Agreement
    - Issue 2: Failure to Meet the Statutory Requirements
  - V. Recommendation
- [FR Doc. 2019-13867 Filed 6-27-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-489-822]

#### **Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Turkey: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2017–2018**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) for the period December 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018.

**DATES:** Applicable June 28, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alice Maldonado or David Crespo, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4682 or (202) 482-3693, respectively.

### Background

On December 3, 2018, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe from Turkey for the period December 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018.<sup>1</sup> In December 2018, Commerce received a timely request, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), to conduct an administrative review of this antidumping duty order from California Steel Industries, TMK IPSCO, Welspun Tubular LLC USA, and Maverick Tube Corporation, (collectively, the petitioners).<sup>2</sup> Based upon this request, on March 14, 2019, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation listing 19 companies for which the petitioners requested a review.<sup>3</sup>

On May 23, 2019, the petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review.<sup>4</sup>

### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. The aforementioned withdrawal request was timely submitted, and no other interested party requested an administrative review of any company. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe from Turkey covering the period December 1, 2017, through November 30, 2018.

### Assessment

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice in the *Federal Register*.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial

<sup>17</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum; see also Memorandum to the File from Sally C. Gannon, Director for Bilateral Agreements, “Proprietary Discussion of Issues for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation, for the period October 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017,” dated concurrently and hereby adopted by this notice.

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 83 FR 62293 (December 3, 2018).

<sup>2</sup> See Petitioners' Letter, “Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey: Request for Administrative Review,” dated December 28, 2018.

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 84 FR 9297 (March 14, 2019).

<sup>4</sup> See Petitioners' Letter, “Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated May 23, 2019.