such as supply and demand. Therefore, applicants assert that secondary market transactions in shares will not lead to discrimination or preferential treatment among purchasers. Finally, applicants represent that share market prices will be disciplined by arbitrage opportunities, which should prevent shares from trading at a material discount or premium from NAV.

- 6. With respect to Funds that hold non-U.S. Portfolio Instruments and that effect creations and redemptions of Creation Units in kind, applicants request relief from the requirement imposed by section 22(e) in order to allow such Funds to pay redemption proceeds within fifteen calendar days following the tender of Creation Units for redemption. Applicants assert that the requested relief would not be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of section 22(e) to prevent unreasonable, undisclosed or unforeseen delays in the actual payment of redemption proceeds.
- 7. Applicants request an exemption to permit Funds of Funds to acquire Fund shares beyond the limits of section 12(d)(1)(A) of the Act; and the Funds, and any principal underwriter for the Funds, and/or any broker or dealer registered under the Exchange Act, to sell shares to Funds of Funds beyond the limits of section 12(d)(1)(B) of the Act. The application's terms and conditions are designed to, among other things, help prevent any potential (i) undue influence over a Fund through control or voting power, or in connection with certain services, transactions, and underwritings, (ii) excessive layering of fees, and (iii) overly complex fund structures, which are the concerns underlying the limits in sections 12(d)(1)(A) and (B) of the
- 8. Applicants request an exemption from sections 17(a)(1) and (a)(2) of the Act to permit persons that are affiliated persons, or second-tier affiliates, of the Funds, solely by virtue of certain ownership interests, to effectuate purchases and redemptions in-kind. The deposit procedures for in-kind purchases of Creation Units and the redemption procedures for in-kind redemptions of Creation Units will be the same for all purchases and redemptions and Deposit Instruments and Redemption Instruments will be valued in the same manner as those Portfolio Instruments currently held by the Funds. Applicants also seek relief from the prohibitions on affiliated transactions in section 17(a) to permit a Fund to sell its shares to and redeem its shares from a Fund of Funds, and to engage in the accompanying in-kind

transactions with the Fund of Funds.<sup>2</sup> The purchase of Creation Units by a Fund of Funds directly from a Fund will be accomplished in accordance with the policies of the Fund of Funds and will be based on the NAVs of the Funds.

- 9. Applicants also request relief to permit a Feeder Fund to acquire shares of another registered investment company managed by the Adviser having substantially the same investment objectives as the Feeder Fund ("Master Fund") beyond the limitations in section 12(d)(1)(A) and permit the Master Fund, and any principal underwriter for the Master Fund, to sell shares of the Master Fund to the Feeder Fund beyond the limitations in section 12(d)(1)(B).
- 10. Section 6(c) of the Act permits the Commission to exempt any persons or transactions from any provision of the Act if such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Section 12(d)(1)(J) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt any person, security, or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions, from any provision of section 12(d)(1) if the exemption is consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors. Section 17(b) of the Act authorizes the Commission to grant an order permitting a transaction otherwise prohibited by section 17(a) if it finds that (a) the terms of the proposed transaction are fair and reasonable and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned; (b) the proposed transaction is consistent with the policies of each registered investment company involved; and (c) the proposed transaction is consistent with the general purposes of the Act.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

### Vanessa A. Countryman,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–13528 Filed 6–25–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-86167; File No. SR-NYSE-2019-22]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 2 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 2, To Amend NYSE Rule 7.31 To Add a New Order Type, Capital Commitment Order, Modify the Market Order and the Last Sale Peg Modifier, and Make Related Changes to NYSE Rules 7.16, 7.18, 7.34, 7.36, and 7.37

June 20, 2019.

#### I. Introduction

On April 18, 2019, New York Stock Exchange LLC ("Exchange" or "NYSE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to (i) amend NYSE Rule 7.31 (Orders and Modifiers) to add a new order type, Capital Commitment Order that is only available to Designated Market Makers ("DMMs"), and (ii) specify that Market Orders and the Last Sale Peg Modifier are not available to DMMs, and (iii) make related, conforming changes to NYSE Rules 7.16 (Short Sales), 7.34 (Trading Sessions), 7.36 (Order Ranking and Display), and 7.37 (Order Execution and Routing). On May 1, 2019, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, which superseded the original filing in its entirety. The proposed rule change, as amended by Amendment No. 1, was published for comment in the Federal Register on May 9, 2019.3 On June 11, 2019, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change, which superseded the original filing, as amended by Amendment No. 1, in its entirety.<sup>4</sup> The Commission has received no comments on the proposed rule change.

The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on Amendment No. 2 from interested persons, and is approving the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2, on an accelerated basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The requested relief would apply to direct sales of shares in Creation Units by a Fund to a Fund of Funds and redemptions of those shares. Applicants, moreover, are not seeking relief from section 17(a) for, and the requested relief will not apply to, transactions where a Fund could be deemed an Affiliated Person, or a Second-Tier Affiliate, of a Fund of Funds because an Adviser or an entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with an Adviser provides investment advisory services to that Fund of Funds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85772 (May 3, 2019), 84 FR 20448 (May 9, 2019) ("Notice").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange updates and makes conforming amendments to NYSE Rules 7.18 (Halts) and 7.34 (Trading Sessions).

# II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

## 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.31 (Orders and Modifiers) to add a new order type, Capital Commitment Order, and make related changes to Rules 7.16, 7.18, 7.34, 7.36, and 7.37. The Exchange proposes to further amend Rule 7.31 to specify that Market Orders and the Last Sale Peg Modifier would not be available to Designated Market Makers ("DMMs"). This Amendment No. 2 supersedes the original filing and Amendment No. 1 in its entirety.

Currently, the Exchange trades UTP Securities on its Pillar trading platform, subject to Pillar Platform Rules 1P-13P.5 In the next phase of Pillar, the Exchange proposes to transition trading of Exchange-listed securities to the Pillar trading platform, which means that DMMs would be trading on Pillar in their assigned securities.6 Once transitioned to Pillar, such securities will also be subject to the Pillar Platform Rules 1P–13P. The Exchange has separately amended its rules to support the transition of Exchange-listed securities to the Pillar Trading Platform, including adding the DMM as a Participant under the Pillar Platform Rules.7

With this proposed rule change, the Exchange proposes an additional order type that would be available to DMMs when Exchange-listed securities transition to Pillar.

**Proposed Capital Commitment Order** 

The proposed new order type, Capital Commitment Order, or "CCO," is based in part on the current Capital Commitment Schedule <sup>8</sup> ("CCS"), which is currently available only to DMMs trading in Exchange-listed securities. The Exchange proposes to make related changes to Rules 7.16 (Short Sales), 7.18 (Halts), 7.34 (Trading Sessions), 7.36 (Order Ranking and Display), and 7.37 (Order Execution and Routing).

The proposed CCO would be available to DMMs when the Exchange transitions Exchange-listed securities to Pillar. Like CCS interest, the CCO would enable DMMs to provide additional, non-displayed liquidity at specific price points in their assigned securities on Pillar.

The operation of the existing CCS is set forth in Rules 1000(d)-1000(g). Under Rule 1000(d), a DMM may, for each security in which it is registered, place within Exchange systems a pool of non-displayed liquidity—the CCSbe available to fill or partially fill incoming orders in automatic executions.9 Rule 1000(d) also provides that CCS interest is used to trade at the Exchange BBO, at prices better than the Exchange BBO, and at prices outside the Exchange BBO. CCS interest must be for a minimum of one round lot of a security and entered at price points that are at, inside, or away from the Exchange BBO.

Rule 1000(e) governs executions at and outside the Exchange BBO and specifies how CCS interest would interact with such executions. Rule 1000(e)(iii) specifies how CCS would trade with an incoming order that sweeps multiple price points outside the Exchange BBO, and specifically, how CCS trades at a single price point to provide price improvement for completing the incoming order. Rule 1000(f) specifies how CCS interest may provide price improvement inside the

Exchange BBO with interest arriving in the Exchange market. Under Rule 1000(g), CCS interest may trade with non-marketable  $^{10}$  interest if the nonmarketable interest betters the Exchange BBO (or cancels in the case of an arriving IOC order) and if the incoming interest may be executed in full by all available trading interest on the Exchange, including CCS interest and d-quotes.

On Pillar, the Exchange proposes to offer DMMs functionality similar to the CCS in the form of CCOs. However, the Exchange proposes to simplify and streamline CCO functionality on Pillar as compared to how the CCS functions. Among other things, unlike CCS, the proposed CCO would be an order type that includes a limit price, rather than a schedule of non-displayed liquidity, and would be eligible to execute only at its limit price on an order-by-order basis. Multiple CCOs would, therefore, not be aggregated at the same price or multiple prices like CCS interest is today pursuant to Rules 1000(f) and (g). While the purpose of the CCO is the same as CCS—a tool for DMMs to provide additional, non-displayed liquidity in their assigned securitiesthe operation of CCOs would be based in part on how Tracking Orders function on the Exchange's affiliated exchanges that currently operate on Pillar, NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca") and NYSE National, Inc. ("NYSE National").11

The proposed CCO would be described under paragraph (d)(5) of Rule 7.31 for Exchange-listed securities trading on Pillar. Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5) would set forth the general requirements for CCOs and would provide that a CCO is a Limit Order that is not displayed, does not route, must be entered in a minimum of one round lot, and must be designated Day. This proposed rule text is based in part on how the CCS currently functions, but unlike CCS, the proposed CCO would be a Limit Order rather than a schedule of non-displayed liquidity. This proposed rule text uses Pillar terminology and is also based in part on the first half of the first sentence of NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(d)(4) and NYSE National Rule 7.31(d)(4) relating to Tracking Orders.

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5) would also provide that a CCO would be ranked

<sup>5 &</sup>quot;UTP Security" is defined as a security that is listed on a national securities exchange other than the Exchange and that trades on the Exchange pursuant to unlisted trading privileges. See Rule 1.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Exchange has announced that, subject to rule approvals, the Exchange will begin transitioning Exchange-listed securities to Pillar on August 5, 2019, available here: https://www.nyse.com/publicdocs/nyse/markets/nyse/Revised\_Pillar\_Migration\_Timeline.pdf. The Exchange will publish by separate Trader Update a complete symbol migration schedule.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 85962
 (May 29, 2019), 84 FR 26188 (June 5, 2019) (SR–NYSE–2019–05) (Approval Order) and Securities
 Exchange Act Release No. 85176 (February 22,

<sup>2019), 84</sup> FR 6868 (February 28, 2019) (Notice of Filing) (SR-NYSE-2019-05) ("NYSE Tape A Pillar Filing").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Rule 1000(d)–(g). See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 75578 (July 31, 2015), 80 FR 47008 (August 6, 2015) (SR–NYSE–2015–26) (Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change Making Permanent the Rules of the NYSE New Market Model Pilot and the NYSE Supplemental Liquidity Providers Pilot) ("CCS Approval Order").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>CCS interest supplements displayed and nondisplayed interest of the DMM in Exchange systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Under Rule 1000(g)(1), "non-marketable" means trading interest (*i.e.*, displayable and non-displayable) that is at a price higher than the current Exchange bid (but below the current Exchange offer) or lower than the current Exchange offer (but above the current Exchange bid), including better bids and offers on other market centers. *See* NYSE Rule 1000(g)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See NYSE Arca Rule 7.31–E(d)(4) and NYSE National Rule 7.31(d)(4).

Priority 5—CCOs. The Exchange would make a related amendment to Rule 7.36(e) to add this additional priority category. Proposed Rule 7.36(e)(5) would provide that Priority 5—CCOs would have fifth priority after Priority 4—Yielding Orders. 12 The Exchange believes that this proposed priority category is consistent with current CCS functionality 13 because CCOs would be ranked behind all other displayed and non-displayed orders. This proposed rule change is also based in part on how Tracking Orders function on NYSE Arca and NYSE National, as Tracking Orders similarly have a priority ranking behind all other displayed and non-displayed orders at a price.14

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5) would further provide that CCOs would be available only to DMMs in their assigned securities, eligible to be traded in the Core Trading Session 15 only, and not eligible to participate in any Auctions. This proposed rule text is based on current rules that the CCS is available only to DMMs. The requirement that CCOs would be eligible to trade in the Core Trading Session only is consistent with current CCS functionality for Exchange-listed securities, which trade during regular trading hours only,16 and proposed functionality that Exchange-listed securities would not be eligible to participate in the Early Trading Session on Pillar.<sup>17</sup> The proposal that CCOs would not be eligible to participate in any Auctions is also consistent with current CCS functionality.

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(A) would describe how CCOs function on arrival and would provide that a CCO to buy (sell) does not trade on arrival and is triggered to trade by an Aggressing

Order <sup>18</sup> to sell (buy) that (i) has exhausted all other interest eligible to trade at the Exchange at the CCO's working price, and (ii) has a remaining quantity equal to or less than the size of a resting CCO (*i.e.*, completely fills an Aggressing Order). This proposed rule text is based in part on how Tracking Orders function, as described in NYSE Arca Rule 7.31–E(d)(4)(A) and NYSE National Rule 7.31(d)(4)(A). This proposed functionality is also similar to how CCS operates, as it is a schedule of resting non-displayed liquidity, and does not trade with resting interest.

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(A)(1) would provide that a CCO to buy (sell) may be designated to trade with an Aggressing Order to sell (buy) that has a remaining quantity greater than the size of the resting CCO (*i.e.*, partially fills an Aggressing Order). This is similar to the operation of CCS interest, which the DMM can similarly designate for partial execution. <sup>19</sup> The Exchange believes that this optional functionality should continue to be available to DMMs as it would increase execution opportunities for incoming orders.

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(A)(2) would provide that an arriving CCO to buy (sell) with a limit price in the discretionary price range, as defined in paragraph (d)(4)(C)(i) of Rule 7.31, can trigger a resting D Order to sell (buy) to exercise discretion. This would be new functionality that would provide an execution opportunity for a resting D Order. Specifically, pursuant to Rule 7.31(d)(4)(C)(i), a D Order to buy (sell) would be triggered to exercise discretion if the price of an Aggressing Order to sell (buy) is above (below) the PBB (PBO) and at or below (above) the Midpoint Price (defined as the "discretionary price range").

Even though a CCO is not, by its terms, an Aggressing Order, the Exchange believes that a CCO should be eligible to provide liquidity if its limit price is in the discretionary price range of a resting D Order. A CCO that would trigger a resting D Order to exercise discretion will not receive execution priority over any resting orders that are on the same side as the CCO and are eligible to trade with the D Order because any such orders would have already traded with the D Order. Specifically, pursuant to Rule

7.31(d)(4)(C)(1), a D Order to buy (sell) will be triggered to exercise discretion if the price of an Aggressing Order to sell (buy) is above (below) the PBB (PBO) and at or below (above) the Midpoint Price, which is defined as the discretionary price range. This includes resting contra-side orders that become an Aggressing Order, e.g., an MPL that receives a new working price because of an update to the PBBO, because if such orders are within the discretionary price range of a D Order, the D Order would be triggered to exercise discretion by such Aggressing Order. Accordingly, if a CCO order arrives and is within the discretionary price range of a D Order, any other same-side resting orders eligible to trade with such D Order would have already executed. Because a CCO does not meet the terms of an Aggressing Order and therefore would not be addressed by Rule 7.31(d)(4)(C)(i), the Exchange proposes to specify this behavior separately in proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)( $\bar{A}$ )(2). This would be new functionality on Pillar that the Exchange believes is consistent with the purpose of a CCO, which is to provide additional liquidity that would not trade ahead of other orders eligible to trade at that price.

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(B) would provide that the working price of the CCO would be equal its limit price and sets forth when a COO would not be eligible to trade. Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(B)(1) would provide that a buy (sell) CCO would not be eligible to trade if its limit price is equal to or higher (lower) than the PBO (PBB), NBO (NBB), Upper (Lower) Price Band, or the working price of any resting sell (buy) order on the Exchange Book. Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(B)(2) would provide that a CCO would also not be eligible to trade when the PBBO or NBBO is locked or crossed. The Exchange believes that by making a CCO ineligible to trade in the above-described circumstances, the Exchange would reduce the potential to trade through the PBBO or BBO. This would be new functionality on Pillar and is not based on how CCS currently function. This proposed rule change is based in part on how Tracking Orders function, which are not eligible to trade when the PBBO is locked or crossed.20

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(C) would describe how CCOs would function when resting on the Exchange Book and would provide that multiple CCOs with the same limit price would be ranked by time. Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(C)(1) would provide that at the same price, a CCO with a later working time would

<sup>12</sup> Pursuant to Section 11(a)(1)(G) of the Act and Rule 11a1–1(T)(a) thereunder, an order for the account of a member (i.e., a Yielding Order), does not need to yield priority, parity, or precedence in execution to orders for the account of another member. 15 U.S.C. 78k(a)(1)(G) and 17 CFR 240.11a1–1(T)(a). Consistent with these requirements, under current rules, G Orders do not always yield to DMM interest. See, e.g., Rule 115A(a)(1)(D) (at the same price, G Orders do not yield to DMM interest in the opening transaction),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Rule 1000(e)(ii)(B) and (e)(iii)(A)(2) (providing that CCS interest yields to all displayed and non-displayed interest when trading at the BBO or outside the BBO).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See NYSE Arca Rule 7.36–E(e)(4) and NYSE National Rule 7.36(e)(4) (Tracking Orders have fourth priority behind all other orders).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The Core Trading Session begins at 9:30 a.m. Eastern Time and ends at the conclusion of Core Trading Hours. See Rule 7.34(a)(2). The term "Core Trading Hours" means "the hours of 9:30 a.m. Eastern Time through 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time or such other hours as may be determined by the Exchange from time to time." See Rule 1.1(d).

<sup>16</sup> See Rule 51(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See NYSE Tape A Pillar Filing, supra note 6.

<sup>18</sup> An Aggressing Order is a buy (sell) order that is or becomes marketable against sell (buy) interest on the Exchange Book. See Rule 7.36(a)(6). A resting order may become an Aggressing Order if its working price changes, if the PBBO or NBBO is updated, because of changes to other orders on the Exchange Book, or when processing inbound messages. Id.

<sup>19</sup> See Rule 1000(e)(iii)(A)(4).

 $<sup>^{20}\,</sup>See$  NYSE Arca Rule 7.31–E(d)(4) and NYSE National Rule 7.31(d)(4).

trade ahead of a CCO with an earlier working time that is not designated as eligible for a partial execution and cannot execute in full against the Aggressing Order. In such case, the CCO with a later working time would execute first because the CCO with the earlier working time chose to forego a partial execution in favor of executing against another incoming order that is large enough to execute against its total quantity. This would be new functionality on Pillar and is not based on how CCS interest currently functions. This proposed rule text is based in part on how Tracking Orders function, as described in the second sentence of NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(d)(4)(B) and NYSE National Rule 7.31(d)(4)(B).

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(C)(2) would describe how an Aggressing Order to buy (sell) with a Minimum Trade Size ("MTS") Modifier 21 would interact with a resting CCO. Rule 7.31(i)(3)(F) generally provides that if a sell (buy) order does not meet the MTS, the order with an MTS Modifier will not trade and will be ranked in the Exchange Book. Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(C)(2)would provide that an Aggressing Order to buy (sell) with an MTS Modifier would ignore a resting CCO to sell (buy) if the CCO does not meet the order's MTS. This would be new functionality and is consistent with the operation of CCOs, which is to allow the DMM to provide additional, supplemental liquidity of last resort that is ranked behind all other displayed and nondisplayed orders. If a CCO does not meet the MTS of the Aggressing Order, the order with an MTS would ignore the CCO and seek to execute against the next available order resting on the Exchange Book, which may be at another price.

Proposed Rule 7.31(d)(5)(D) would provide that a CCO may be designated with a Self Trade Prevention ("STP") Modifier and would be rejected if combined with any other modifiers. This proposed functionality is new, as CCS interest cannot currently be designated with an STP Modifier.<sup>22</sup> The Exchange believes that making STP Modifiers available for CCOs would provide DMMs with more tools to reduce the potential for two orders to interact if they are from the same entity. By specifying that CCOs cannot be

combined with other modifiers, the rule provides transparency that a CCO cannot be combined with other modifiers defined in Rule 7.31(i).

Rule 7.16 establishes requirements relating to short sale orders. Rule 7.16(f)(5) sets forth how short sale orders are processed during a Short Sale Period, which is defined in Rule 7.16(f)(4). Proposed new Rule 7.16(f)(5)(E) would provide that, during a Short Sale Period, the working price of CCOs would not be adjusted and that CCOs would not trade at or below the NBB. This proposed text is based on how Tracking Orders function during a Short Sale Period, as described in NYSE Arca Rule 7.16-E(f)(5)(E) and NYSE National Rule 7.16(f)(5)(E), which both provide that, during a Short Sale Period, the working price of Tracking Orders will not be adjusted and that Tracking Orders will not be eligible to trade at or below the NBB.

Rule 7.37(b) describes how an Aggressing Order is allocated among contra-side orders at each price. The Exchange maintains separate allocation wheels on each side of the market for displayed and non-displayed orders at each price. The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.37(b) to set forth how CCOs would participate in the allocation process.

Consistent with the proposed amendment to Rule 7.36(e), described above, to add a new Priority category for CCOs, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.37(b)(1) to add that CCOs would be allocated after all other interest at that price.<sup>23</sup> Multiple CCOs at that price would be allocated on time. To effect this change, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.37(b)(1) to add new subparagraph (I) to provide that next, CCOs ranked Priority 5—CCOs would be allocated based on time. This proposed functionality is based in part on how CCS functions, as CCS interest yields to all other interest when trading at the Exchange BBO or at prices outside the BBO.

Orders Not Available to Designated Market Makers

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.31(a)(1), which describes Market Orders, and Rule 7.31(i)(4), which describes the Last Sale Peg Modifier, to specify that neither of these order types would be available to DMMs when the Exchange transitions Exchange-listed securities to Pillar. These proposed changes are based on Rule 104(b)(vi), which states that DMMs may not enter Market Orders or Buy Minus Zero Plus instruction <sup>24</sup> in Exchange-listed securities.

Proposed Amendments to Rules 7.18 and 7.34

As noted above, in the NYSE Tape A Pillar Filing, the Exchange amended its Pillar platform trading rules, including Rules 7.18 and 7.34, to support the transition of Exchange-listed securities to the Pillar trading platform.<sup>25</sup> Separately, the Exchange amended its rules to support the transition of the Retail Liquidity Program to the Pillar trading platform, which will be implemented at the same time that Exchange-listed securities transition to the Pillar trading platform.<sup>26</sup>

With this filing, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.18 to add references to CCOs and Retail Price Improvement Orders ("RPIs"), which are defined under Rule 7.44(a)(4). Rule 7.18(c)(1) provides that during a halt or pause in securities listed on the Exchange, the Exchange will cancel any unexecuted portion of specified nondisplayed orders, which are listed in the Rule.<sup>27</sup> Rule 7.18(c)(5) further provides that during a halt or pause in securities listed on the Exchange, the Exchange will reject incoming specified nondisplayed orders, which are listed in the Rule.<sup>28</sup> Because both CCOs and RPIs are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>In sum, an order with an MTS Modifier would only trade with contra-side orders that, either individually or in the aggregate, satisfy the order's minimum trade size condition. See Rule 7.31(i)(3) for a full description of the MTS Modifier.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Rule 13(f)(3)(B) (stating that the STP modifier is not available for d-Quotes or DMM interest).

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>$  Rule 7.37(b)(1) sets forth the following allocation sequence: (1) Market Orders trade first based on time; (2) orders with Setter Priority as described in Exchange Rule 7.36(h) receive an allocation; (3) orders ranked Priority 2-Displayed Orders are allocated on parity by Participant; (4) orders ranked Priority 3-Non-Display Orders, other than Mid-Point Liquidity ("MPL") Orders with an MTS Modifier, are allocated on parity by Participant; (5) MPL Orders with an MTS Modifier are allocated based on MTS size (smallest to largest) and time; (6) D Orders trading at a discretionary price will be allocated on parity by Floor Broker Participant; (7) the display quantity of orders ranked Priority 4—Yielding Orders will be allocated based on time; and then (8) the non-display quantity of orders ranked Priority 4-Yielding Orders will allocated based on time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The Last Sale Peg Modifier is based on the Buy Minus Zero Plus instruction. See Rule 13(f)(4). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85158 (February 15, 2019), 84 FR 5794 (February 22, 2019) (SR-NYSE-2018-52) (Approval Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See NYSE Tape A Pillar Filing, supra note 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85930 (May 23, 2019), 84 FR 25100 (May 30, 2019) (SR–NYSE–2019–26) (Notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of proposed rule change to add Rule 7.44 to operate its Retail Liquidity Program on Pillar).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Pursuant to Rule 7.18(c)(1), the Exchange cancels the unexecuted portion of Non-Displayed Limit Orders, Non-Displayed Primary Pegged Orders, MPL Orders, Last Sale Peg Orders, and proposed Floor broker cross transactions pending in the Cross Function pursuant to Rule 76.10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Pursuant to Rule 7.18(c)(5), the Exchange rejects incoming Limit Orders designated IOC, Non-Displayed Limit Orders, Non-Displayed Primary Pegged Orders, MPL Orders, Last Sale Peg Orders, and proposed Floor broker cross transactions pursuant to Rule 76.10. The Exchange proposes a non-substantive amendment to replace the term

not displayed, the Exchange proposes to amend Rules 7.18(c)(1) and (5) to add CCOs and RPIs to the list of nondisplayed orders that are cancelled or rejected, as applicable, during a halt or pause in Exchange-listed securities.

The Exchange also proposes to amend Rule 7.34(c)(1)(D) to add a reference to RPIs and CCOs. Rule 7.34(c)(1)(D) lists the non-displayed orders in Auction-Eligible Securities that will be rejected if entered before the Core Trading Session begins.<sup>29</sup> Because RPIs and CCOs are non-displayed, available only for Exchange-listed securities (which are Auction-Eligible Securities), and eligible to trade only in the Core Trading Session, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.34(c)(1)(D) to add RPIs and CCOs to the list of non-displayed orders that are rejected if entered before the Core Trading Session begins.

# Implementation

Subject to approval of this proposed rule change, the Exchange proposes to implement this proposed rule change when the Exchange transitions NYSE-listed securities to the Pillar trading platform, which is anticipated to begin in the third quarter of 2019.<sup>30</sup>

# 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>31</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>32</sup> in particular, because it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanisms of, a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest and because it is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

Proposed Capital Commitment Order

The Exchange believes that the proposed CCO would remove impediments to, and perfect the

mechanisms of, a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, protect investors and the public interest because it would provide DMMs with functionality currently available on the Exchange when Exchange-listed securities transition to Pillar. The proposed CCO would therefore promote continuity for the DMMs in the tools they have available to meet their affirmative obligation to maintain depth and continuity. The proposed rule change is based on existing functionality with differences in rule text to reflect Pillar terminology and to streamline and simplify the operation of CCOs as compared to CCS interest.

The proposed CCO is based in part on current CCS functionality, including that it would only be available to DMMs in their assigned securities and would be non-displayed liquidity of last resort at a price. Like CCS interest, the CCO would enable DMMs to provide additional liquidity at specific price points in their assigned securities when NYSE-listed securities transition to Pillar. The Exchange notes that there is no need to offer this modifier to non-DMMs because they are the only member organizations on the Exchange with the affirmative obligation to engage in a course of dealings for their own accounts to assist in the maintenance, so far as practicable, of a fair and orderly market, including the maintenance of price continuity with reasonable depth.<sup>33</sup> Specifically, DMMs have an obligation to use their own capital when lack of price continuity, lack of depth, or disparity between supply and demand exists or is reasonably to be anticipated.<sup>34</sup> Like CCS interest, the CCO would allow DMMs to trade in their assigned securities at the CCO's working price without contributing to visible depth of market.35

The Exchange believes that the proposed differences to how the CCO would function as compared to CCS would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system because the proposed differences are designed to streamline the functionality and simplify the operation of such liquidity, while still achieving the same goal to provide the DMMs with a tool to meet their unique affirmative obligations. To achieve this goal, the

Exchange proposes that the CCO would function similarly to Tracking Orders, as described in NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(d)(4) and NYSE National Rule 7.31(d)(4), in that a CCO would be a Limit Order that is not displayed, it would not trade on arrival, and instead would be triggered to trade by a contraside Aggressing Order that has exhausted all other interest eligible to trade at the CCO's working price and is equal to or less than the size of the CCO. Also similar to the Tracking Order, a CCO with a later working time would trade ahead of a CCO with an earlier working time (which can only be from the same DMM) if not designated for a partial execution and could not execute in full against the Aggressing Order. The Exchange believes it promotes just and equitable principles of trade for the CCO with the later working time to trade ahead of a same-priced CCO Order with an earlier working time if the earlier CCO chose to forgo the option for a partial execution, particularly since all CCOs in a security are entered by the same DMM. For similar reasons, the Exchange believes that it would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system for an Aggressing Order with an MTS to ignore a CCO because if an Aggressing Order with an MTS has a condition that it is not eligible to trade, the Exchange does not believe that the Aggressing Order with an MTS should be denied an opportunity to trade if the MTS could otherwise be met by other orders on the Exchange Book.

The Exchange believes it would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system to retain the optional functionality currently available for CCS for a CCO to provide a partial execution to an incoming order, as such option would provide for more execution opportunities at the Exchange. Similarly, the Exchange believes it would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system for an arriving CCO to trigger a resting D Order to trade because it would provide for additional execution opportunities for D Orders. Because CCOs would trade at their limit price, the Exchange believes that the proposal to make such orders ineligible to trade if the limit price is equal to or through the PBBO, NBBO, Price Bands, or resting orders on the Exchange Book, or if the PBBO or NBBO is crossed, would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system because it

<sup>&</sup>quot;Primary Peg Orders" with the term "Primary Pegged Orders" in Rule 7.18(c)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Pursuant to Rule 7.34(c)(1)(D), Non-Displayed Limit Orders, MPL Orders, Last Sale Peg Orders, Limit Orders designated IOC, and proposed Floor broker cross transactions pursuant to Rule 76.10 in Auction-Eligible Securities will be rejected if entered before the Core Trading Session begins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See supra note 5.

<sup>31 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> See Rule 104(f)(ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos.
75578 (July 31, 2015), 80 FR 47008, 47013 at n. 61 (August 6, 2015) (SR–NYSE–2015–26) (Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change Making Permanent the Rules of the NYSE New Market Model Pilot and the NYSE Supplemental Liquidity Providers Pilot).

would reduce the potential for a CCO to trade through the PBBO, NBBO or resting orders on the Exchange Book.

The Exchange believes that the proposed processing of sell short CCOs during a Short Sale Period under proposed Rule 7.16(f)(5)(E) would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a fair and orderly market because it would provide that CCOs would not trade at or below the NBB during a Short Sale Period in violation of Rule 201 of Regulation SHO. Proposed Rule 7.16(f)(5)(E) is also based on NYSE Arca Rule 7.16–E(f)(5)(E) and NYSE National Rule 7.16(f)(5)(E) for Tracking Orders.

Lastly, the Exchange believes the proposed changes to Rules 7.36 and 7.37 describing how CCOs would be ranked and allocated would remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanisms of, a free and open market and a national market system because having CCOs as an interest of last resort is consistent with how CCS currently functions when trading at prices equal to the BBO or outside the BBO. Prioritizing CCOs behind Yielding Orders 36 complies with subsection (G) of Section 11(a)(1)37 of the Act (the "G Rule") because CCOs represent DMM interest only. In sum, the G Rule requires orders entered by DMMs or Floor Brokers to yield priority to all orders entered by non-members of the Exchange at the same price. Therefore, the G Rule does not require that Yielding Orders yield priority to CCOs, which may only be entered by DMMs.

Orders Not Available to Market Makers

The Exchange believes the proposed changes to Rule 7.31(a)(1) to specify that Market Orders would not be available to DMMs and Rule 7.31(i)(4) to specify that the Last Sale Peg Modifier would not be available to DMMs would promote just and equitable principles of trade because these changes would provide additional transparency by specifying that Market Orders and the Last Sale Peg Modifier would not be available to DMMs when the Exchange transitions Exchange-listed securities to Pillar. These proposed changes are based on current functionality, as described in Rule 104(d)(iv), which states that Market Orders and the Buy Minus Zero Plus modifier are not available to DMMs trading in Exchange-listed securities.

Proposed Amendments to Rules 7.18 and 7.34

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change to amend Rules 7.18 and 7.34 would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system because it would update the Exchange's rules by including CCOs and RPIs, which are both non-displayed orders in Exchangelisted securities that are eligible to trade only in the Core Trading Session, in the rules that describe how non-displayed orders in Exchange-listed securities are processed during a halt or pause or if entered before the Core Trading Session begins. The proposed rule change does not propose any new or novel functionality, but rather, would provide that CCOs and RPIs would be processed in the same manner as other nondisplayed order types during halts and pauses or if entered before the Core Trading Session begins. The Exchange further believes that the proposed rule change would protect investors and the public interest, in general, because it is designed to promote transparency and clarity in Exchange rules.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,38 the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed change would provide DMMs with functionality currently available on the Exchange when Exchange-listed securities transition to Pillar. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed CCO would impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate because such orders are designed to provide additional liquidity on the Exchange without providing DMMs with any execution priority for CCOs over other orders. This order type thus does not confer any execution priority benefits to DMMs, but rather, would assist the DMM in meeting its affirmative obligation to maintain depth and continuity in its assigned securities. The proposed rule change also specifies that Market Orders and the Last Sale Peg Modifier would continue to be unavailable to DMMs when Exchangelisted securities transition to Pillar, as is the case today under Rule 104(d)(iv). The Exchange does not believe this proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition because these

order types are not necessary for the DMMs to meet their affirmative obligations pursuant to Rule 104 and are not currently available to DMMs.

The proposed rule change to amend Rules 7.18 and 7.34 is not designed to address any competitive issues, but rather, would update those rules to include CCOs and RPIs, which are both non-displayed orders in Exchange-listed securities that are eligible to trade only in the Core Trading Session. The Exchange therefore believes that the proposed rule change is designed to promote transparency and clarity in Exchange rules.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

# III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.<sup>39</sup> In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2, is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,40 which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, and that the rules not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange proposes to: (i) Amend NYSE Rule 7.31 (Orders and Modifiers) to add a new order type, Capital Commitment Order, that is only available to DMMs, (ii) specify that Market Orders and the Last Sale Peg Modifiers will not be available to DMMs, and (iii) make related, conforming changes to NYSE Rules 7.16 (Short Sales), 7.18 (Halts), 7.34 (Trading

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Rule 7.31(i)(5). Yielding Orders aid Floor brokers in complying with the G Rule when trading on Pillar by yielding priority to all displayed and non-displayed orders at the same price.

<sup>37 15</sup> U.S.C. 78k(a)(1)(G).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Sessions), 7.36 (Order Ranking and Display), and 7.37 (Order Execution and Routing). These changes would be implemented during the transition of Exchange-listed securities to the Pillar Trading Platform.

## A. Capital Commitment Order

The Exchange proposes a new order type, the CCO, which is based in part on the current CCS.41 Like CCS interest, the proposed CCO would only be available to DMMs in their assigned securities and would enable DMMs to provide additional, non-displayed liquidity of last resort at specific price points.42 The CCO, as proposed, differs from the CCS primarily in that it is a Limit Order rather than a schedule of non-displayed liquidity.43

Additionally, as a Limit Order, the CCO would function similarly to the Tracking Order that is currently available on NYSE Arca and NYSE National.44 in that the order would not be displayed, it generally would not trade on arrival, and it would be triggered to trade by a contra-side Aggressing Order that has exhausted all other interest eligible to trade at the CCO's working price and is equal to, or less than, the size of the CCO. 45 The CCO would also operate like a Tracking Order in that its working price would be equal to its limit price; 46 it would not be eligible to trade if its limit price is equal to or higher (equal to or lower) than the PBO (PBB), NBO (NBB), Upper (Lower) Price Band,47 or the working price of any resting sell (buy) order on the Exchange Book; 48 and it would not be eligible to trade when the PBBO or NBBO is locked or crossed. 49 Finally, like a Tracking Order, a CCO with a later working time would trade ahead of a CCO at the same price with an earlier working time that is not designated as

<sup>41</sup> See, e.g., Notice, supra note 4, 84 FR at 20449; NYSE Rules 1000(d)–1000(g). See also CCS Approval Order, supra note 9.

eligible for a partial execution and cannot execute in full against the Aggressing Order.<sup>50</sup>

The Exchange also proposes substantive differences between the CCO and the CCS in that: (1) An Aggressing Order with an MTS modifier could ignore a resting CCO if the CCO does not meet the MTS,51 (2) a CCO may be designated with an STP Modifier and would be rejected if combined with any other modifiers,52 and (3) incoming CCOs could interact and trade with

resting D Orders.53

The Commission notes that the proposed CCO is based primarily on existing functionality on the Exchange, NYSE Arca, and NYSE National. Because CCS and Tracking Orders both represent last resort liquidity, the Commission believes that combining functionality from both types of liquidity, as the Exchange has proposed, is reasonably designed to streamline and simplify the operation of the CCO as last resort liquidity for DMMs in their assigned securities for Exchange-listed securities on the Pillar Trading Platform and to provide DMMs with a tool to meet their obligations to facilitate the maintenance of a fair and orderly market and of price continuity with reasonable depth.54

The Commission also believes that the proposed substantive differences between the CCO and the CCS are also consistent with the Act. Specifically, the Commission believes it is consistent with the Act for an Aggressing Order with an MTS modifier not to trade with a CCO if the CCO does not meet the MTS because such a result would be consistent with the intent and operation of the Aggressing Order with the MTS modifier to forego an execution when the resting order does not have adequate size. The Commission also believes that it is consistent with the Act for a CCO that is designated with a STP Modifier to be rejected if combined with any

other modifiers because this functionality is reasonably designed to reduce the likelihood that a DMM would trade with itself and to simplify and streamline the operation of the functionality. Finally, the Commission believes it is consistent with the Act to permit an incoming CCO to interact and trade with a resting D Order because this functionality would provide additional execution opportunities for D Orders without providing the DMMs with an additional advantage compared to the orders that are already resting on the book.

### B. Orders Not Available to DMMs

The Exchange proposes to amend NYSE Rule 7.31(a)(1), which describes Market Orders, and NYSE Rule 7.31(i)(4), which describes the Last Sale Peg Modifier, to specify that neither would be available to DMMs when Exchange-listed securities transition to Pillar. These proposed changes are based on NYSE Rule 104(b)(vi), which states that DMMs may not enter Market Orders or Buy Minus Zero Plus instruction in Exchange-listed securities. $^{55}$  The Commission believes that these proposed rule provisions are substantially similar to current Exchange functionality and are based on current Exchange rules. Accordingly, the Commission believes that these proposed changes do not raise regulatory issues or concerns and that they are consistent with the Act.

### C. Other Related Conforming Amendments

The Commission believes that the related, conforming amendments to NYSE Rules 7.16 (Short Sales), 7.18 (Halts), 7.34 (Trading Sessions), 7.36 (Order Ranking and Display), and 7.37 (Order Execution and Routing) are consistent with the Act. Specifically, the proposed change to NYSE Rule 7.16 is based on the operation of Tracking Orders during Short Sales, as described in NYSE Arca Rule 7.16-E(f)(5)(E) and NYSE National Rule 7.16(f)(5)(E). The Exchange also proposes to add references to RPIs and CCOs to update NYSE Rules 7.18 and 7.34(c)(1)(D) without adding any new functionality.56

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> In general, the CCS allows a DMM to create a schedule of additional non-displayed liquidity at various price points at which the DMM is willing to interact with other trading interest (i.e., outside, at, and inside the Exchange BBO) and provide price improvement to orders in the Exchange's systems CCS interest is separate and distinct from other DMM interest and the Exchange characterizes CCS interest as "generally interest of last resort." See CCS Approval Order, supra note 9, 80 FR at 47011. See also Notice, supra note 4, 84 FR at 20449; Proposed NYSE Rule 7.35(d)(5).

<sup>43</sup> See id.

<sup>44</sup> See, e.g., Notice, supra note 4, 84 FR 20449-51; NYSE Arca Rule 7.31-E(d)(4); NYSE National Rule 7.31(d)(4).

<sup>45</sup> See Proposed NYSE Rules 7.31(d)(5) and 7.36(e)(5).

<sup>46</sup> See Proposed NYSE Rule 7.31(d)(5)(B).

<sup>47</sup> See Proposed NYSE Rule 7.31(d)(5)(B)(1).

<sup>49</sup> See Proposed NYSE Rule 7.31(d)(5)(B)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> See Proposed NYSE Rule 7.31(d)(5)(C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> See Proposed NYSE Rule 7.31(d)(5)(C)(2).

<sup>52</sup> See Proposed NYSE Rule 7.31(d)(5)(D). 53 See Proposed NYSE Rule 7.31(d)(5)(A)(2).

<sup>54</sup> See NYSE Rule 104(f) (providing, in part, that the function of DMMs includes "the maintenance, in so far as reasonably practicable, of a fair and orderly market on the Exchange in the stocks in which he or she is so acting. The maintenance of a fair and orderly market implies the maintenance of price continuity with reasonable depth, to the extent possible consistent with the ability of participants to use reserve orders, and the minimizing of the effects of temporary disparity between supply and demand. In connection with the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, it is commonly desirable that a member acting as DMM engage to a reasonable degree under existing circumstances in dealings for the DMM's own account when lack of price continuity, lack of depth, or disparity between supply and demand exists or is reasonably to be anticipated.").

<sup>55</sup> The Last Sale Peg Modifier is based on the Buy Minus Zero Plus instruction. See NYSE Rule 13(f)(4). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85158 (Feb. 15, 2019), 84 FR 5794 (Feb. 22, 2019) (SR–NYSE–2018–52) (Approval Order).

 $<sup>^{56}\,\</sup>mbox{RPIs}$  and CCOs are non-displayed orders for Exchange-listed securities that may only trade during the Core Trading Session, and the rules that would be amended describe how these orders are processed if there is a halt or pause or if order entry occurs before the beginning of the Core Trading Session. See supra Section II.A.1.

Finally, proposed NYSE Rules 7.34(c)(1)(A), Rule 7.36(e)(5), and Rule 7.37(b)(1) are based on current Exchange rules related to the order ranking and display and the order execution and routing of the CCS. Accordingly, the Commission believes that these proposed changes do not raise regulatory issues or concerns and that they are consistent with the Act.

## IV. Solicitation of Comments on Amendment No. 2 to the Proposed Rule Change

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether Amendment No. 2 is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@* sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSE–2019–22 on the subject line.

### Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2019-22. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from

comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSE–2019–22 and should be submitted on or before July 17, 2019.

# V. Accelerated Approval of Amendment No. 2

As noted above,<sup>57</sup> in Amendment No. 2, as compared to the original proposal,<sup>58</sup> the Exchange proposes to make conforming amendments to NYSE Rules 7.18 (Halts) and 7.34 (Trading Sessions).

As discussed above,<sup>59</sup> the Commission believes that the amendments to proposed NYSE Rules 7.18 (Halts) and 7.34 (Trading Sessions) do not raise any regulatory issues and are consistent with the Act because these changes introduce no new functionality, but rather conform Exchange rules to include references to RPIs and CCOs in rules related to the processing of non-displayed orders in Exchange-listed securities during a halt or pause in trading or when the order entry occurs before the beginning of the Core Trading Session.

Therefore, the Commission finds that Amendment No. 2 to the proposal raises no novel regulatory issues, that it is reasonably designed to protect investors and the public interest, and that it is consistent with the requirements of the Act. Accordingly, the Commission finds good cause, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>60</sup> to approve the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2. on an accelerated basis.

## VI. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>61</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR–NYSE–2019–22), as modified by Amendment No. 2, be, and hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{62}$ 

# Vanessa A. Countryman,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019-13536 Filed 6-25-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-86163; File No. SR-NYSE-2019-09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 1 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, To Amend Exchange Rules 104 and 36 To Require Communications From a Designated Market Maker ("DMM") to a Designed Senior Representative of an Issuer of Registered Listed Securities

June 20, 2019.

#### I. Introduction

On March 8, 2019, the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to amend NYSE Rules 104 and 36 to require Designated Market Makers ("DMMs") to communicate with a designated senior representatives of the issuers of the DMM's assigned securities. The proposed rule change was published in the Federal Register on March 26, 2019.3

On May 10, 2019, the Commission designated a longer time period within which to approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove, the proposed rule change.<sup>4</sup> On June 18, 2019, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1, which superseded the original filing, to the proposed rule change.<sup>5</sup> The Commission has received no comments on the proposal.

 $<sup>^{57}\,</sup>See\;supra$  note 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> See Notice, supra note 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> See supra Section III.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>61 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>62 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85367 (Mar. 20, 2019), 84 FR 11382 (Mar. 26, 2019) ("Notice").

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85826 (May 10, 2019), 84 FR 22173 (May 16, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange modified its original proposed rule change to clarify in proposed NYSE Rule 36.31 that a Permitted Communication Device shall only permit written electronic communications between individuals located at the DMM unit's post on the Floor with: (1) Individuals with whom telephone communications are permitted under NYSE Rules 36.30 and 98, subject to the same content restrictions set forth in those rules or (2) the listed issuer representatives designated under NYSE Rules 104(l)(1), subject to the same content restrictions set forth in that rule, provided that a DMM unit may not use a Permitted Communications Device for this purpose from 9:15 a.m. Eastern Time until the security is opened and from 15 minutes before the scheduled closing time for a security until the security is closed.