

Conference Center at Constitution Center. The agenda for the morning session (open to the public) will be as follows:

- A. Minutes of the Previous Meeting
- B. Reports
  - 1. Chairman's Remarks
  - 2. Senior Deputy Chairman's Remarks
  - 3. Presentation by guest speaker Brent Seales, Professor of Computer Science at the University of Kentucky
  - 4. Reports on Policy and General Matters
    - a. Digital Humanities
    - b. Education Programs
    - c. Federal/State Partnership
    - d. Preservation and Access
    - e. Challenge Grants
    - f. Public Programs
    - g. Research Programs

The remainder of the plenary session will be for consideration of specific applications and therefore will be closed to the public.

As identified above, portions of the meeting of the National Council on the Humanities will be closed to the public pursuant to sections 552b(c)(4), 552b(c)(6), and 552b(c)(9)(B) of Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The closed sessions will include review of personal and/or proprietary financial and commercial information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants, and discussion of certain information, the premature disclosure of which could significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action. I have made this determination pursuant to the authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings dated April 15, 2016.

Please note that individuals planning to attend the public sessions of the meeting are subject to security screening procedures. If you wish to attend any of the public sessions, please inform NEH as soon as possible by contacting Melanie Gaylord at (202) 606-8322 or [gencounsel@neh.gov](mailto:gencounsel@neh.gov). Please also provide advance notice of any special needs or accommodations, including for a sign language interpreter.

Dated: June 13, 2019.

**Elizabeth Voyatzis,**

*Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities.*

[FR Doc. 2019-12937 Filed 6-18-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7536-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-219; NRC-2018-0288]

### Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Exemption; reissuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is reissuing an exemption, originally approved on December 19, 2018, that exempted Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon or the licensee) for Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (Oyster Creek) to reduce the minimum insurance coverage limit from \$1.06 billion to \$50 million. The December 19, 2018, exemption originally had an effective date of 12 months (365 days) from the certification of permanent cessation of power operations. The reissued exemption has a new effective date of 9.38 months (285 days) after from the certification of permanent cessation of power operations.

**DATES:** The exemption was reissued on June 12, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2018-0288 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0288. Address questions about NRC docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: [Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov](mailto:Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at

the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy M. Snyder, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-6822; email: [Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov](mailto:Amy.Snyder@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The text of the exemption is attached.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, on June 14, 2019.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Bruce A. Watson,**

*Chief, Reactor Decommissioning Branch, Division of Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery and Waste Programs, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

### Attachment—Exemption

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket No. 50-219

### Exelon Generation Company, LLC Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

#### Exemption

#### Onsite Property Damage Insurance

#### I. Background

Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon, the licensee), is the holder of Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-16 for Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (Oyster Creek). By letter dated February 14, 2018 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML18045A084), Exelon submitted to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) a certification in accordance with Section 50.82(a)(1)(i) of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), indicating that it plans to cease permanent operation no later than October 31, 2018. By letter dated September 25, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18268A258), Exelon submitted to the NRC a certification in accordance with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1)(ii), stating that Oyster Creek permanently ceased power operations on September 17, 2018, and that, as of September 25, 2018, all fuel had been permanently removed from the Oyster Creek reactor vessel. The facility consists of a permanently shutdown and defueled boiling-water reactor located in the town of Forked River, Ocean County, New Jersey.

## II. Request/Action

On December 19, 2018, the NRC exempted Exelon from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) to allow Exelon to reduce the minimum insurance coverage limit for Oyster Creek from \$1.06 billion to \$50 million (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML18228A852 [Cover Letter]; ML18228A851 [Exemption]). The December 19, 2018, exemption originally had an effective date of 12 months (365 days) from the certification of permanent cessation of power operations under 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1).

By letter dated April 4, 2019 (ADAMS Accession No. ML19094B776), Exelon requested to change the effective date of the December 19, 2018, exemption from 12 months (365 days) to 9.38 months (285 days) from the certification of permanent cessation of power operations under 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1). Exelon certified that Oyster Creek permanently ceased power operations on September 17, 2018. Therefore, the revised effective date of the exemption would be June 29, 2019.

To provide a complete record of the NRC staff's review, the NRC is reissuing the December 19, 2018, exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) with a revised effective date of 9.38 months (285 days) from the certification of permanent cessation of power operations under 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1). This reissued exemption supersedes the exemption issued on December 19, 2018.

The reissued exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) permits the licensee to reduce the required level of onsite property damage insurance from \$1.06 billion to \$50 million for Oyster Creek effective at 9.38 months (285 days) after certification of permanent cessation of operations at Oyster Creek, commensurate with the reduced risk of an incident at the permanently shutdown facility.

The regulation at 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) requires each licensee to have and maintain onsite property damage insurance to stabilize and decontaminate the reactor and reactor site in the event of an accident. The onsite insurance coverage must be either \$1.06 billion or whatever amount of insurance is generally available from private sources (whichever is less).

The licensee states that the risk of an incident at a permanently shutdown and defueled reactor is much less than the risk from an operating power reactor. In addition, since reactor operation is no longer authorized at Oyster Creek, there are no events that would require the stabilization of reactor conditions after an accident. Similarly, the risk of an accident that

would result in significant onsite contamination at Oyster Creek is also much lower than the risk of such an event at operating reactors. Therefore, Exelon requested an exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) to reduce its onsite property damage insurance from \$1.06 billion to \$50 million, commensurate with the reduced risk of an incident at the permanently shutdown and defueled Oyster Creek site.

## III. Discussion

Under 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 50 when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to public health or safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) any of the special circumstances listed in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2) are present.

The financial protection limits of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) were established after the Three Mile Island accident out of concern that licensees may be unable to financially cover onsite cleanup costs in the event of a major nuclear accident. The specified \$1.06 billion coverage amount requirement was developed based on an analysis of an accident at a nuclear reactor operating at power, resulting in a large fission product release and requiring significant resource expenditures to stabilize the reactor and ultimately decontaminate and cleanup the site.

These cost estimates were developed based on the spectrum of postulated accidents for an operating nuclear reactor. Those costs were derived from the consequences of a release of radioactive material from the reactor. Although the risk of an accident at an operating reactor is very low, the consequences onsite and offsite can be significant. In an operating plant, the high temperature and pressure of the reactor coolant system (RCS), as well as the inventory of relatively short-lived radionuclides, contribute to both the risk and consequences of an accident. With the permanent cessation of reactor operations at Oyster Creek and the permanent removal of the fuel from the reactor vessel, such accidents are no longer possible. As a result, the reactor vessel, RCS, and supporting systems no longer operate and have no function related to the storage of the irradiated fuel. Therefore, postulated accidents involving failure or malfunction of the reactor, RCS, or supporting systems are no longer be applicable.

During reactor decommissioning, the largest radiological risks are associated with the storage of spent fuel onsite. By

letter dated April 4, 2019, Exelon discusses both design-basis and beyond design-basis events involving irradiated fuel stored in the spent fuel pool (SFP). The licensee determined that there are no possible design-basis events at Oyster Creek that could result in an offsite radiological release exceeding the limits established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) early-phase Protective Action Guidelines (PAGs) of 1 rem (roentgen equivalent man) at the exclusion area boundary, as a way to demonstrate that any possible radiological releases would be minimal and not require precautionary protective actions (e.g., sheltering in place or evacuation). The NRC staff evaluated the radiological consequences associated with various decommissioning activities, and design-basis accidents at Oyster Creek, in consideration of a permanently shutdown and defueled condition. The possible design-basis accident scenarios at Oyster Creek have greatly reduced radiological consequences. Based on its review, the NRC staff concluded that no reasonably conceivable design-basis accident exists that could cause an offsite release greater than the EPA PAGs.

The only incident that might lead to a significant radiological release at a decommissioning reactor is a zirconium fire. The zirconium fire scenario is a postulated, but highly unlikely, beyond design-basis accident scenario that involves loss of water inventory from the SFP, resulting in a significant heatup of the spent fuel, and culminating in substantial zirconium cladding oxidation and fuel damage. The probability of a zirconium fire scenario is related to the decay heat of the irradiated fuel stored in the SFP. Therefore, the risks from a zirconium fire scenario continue to decrease as a function of the time since Oyster Creek has been permanently shut down.

The Commission has previously authorized a lesser amount of onsite financial protection, based on this analysis of the zirconium fire risk. In SECY-96-256, "Changes to Financial Protection Requirements for Permanently Shutdown Nuclear Power Reactors, 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) and 10 CFR 140.11," dated December 17, 1996 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15062A483), the NRC staff recommended changes to the power reactor financial protection regulations that would allow licensees to lower onsite insurance levels to \$50 million upon demonstration that the fuel stored in the SFP can be air-cooled. In its Staff Requirements Memorandum to SECY-96-256, dated January 28, 1997 (ADAMS Accession No.

ML15062A454), the Commission supported the NRC staff's recommendation that, among other things, would allow permanently shutdown power reactor licensees to reduce commercial onsite property damage insurance coverage to \$50 million when the licensee was able to demonstrate the technical criterion that the spent fuel could be air-cooled if the SFP was drained of water. The NRC staff has used this technical criterion to grant similar exemptions to other decommissioning reactors (e.g., Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station, published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 1999 [64 FR 2920]; and Zion Nuclear Power Station, published in the **Federal Register** on December 28, 1999 [64 FR 72700]). These prior exemptions were based on these licensees demonstrating that the SFP could be air-cooled, consistent with the technical criterion discussed above.

In SECY-00-0145, "Integrated Rulemaking Plan for Nuclear Power Plant Decommissioning," dated June 28, 2000, and SECY-01-0100, "Policy Issues Related to Safeguards, Insurance, and Emergency Preparedness Regulations at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants Storing Fuel in the Spent Fuel Pool," dated June 4, 2001 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML003721626 and ML011450420, respectively), the NRC staff discussed additional information concerning SFP zirconium fire risks at decommissioning reactors and associated implications for onsite property damage insurance. Providing an analysis of when the spent fuel stored in the SFP is capable of air-cooling is one measure that can be used to demonstrate that the probability of a zirconium fire is exceedingly low. However, the NRC staff has more recently used an additional analysis that bounds an incomplete drain down of the SFP water, or some other catastrophic event (such as a complete drainage of the SFP with rearrangement of spent fuel rack geometry and/or the addition of rubble to the SFP). The analysis postulates that decay heat transfer from the spent fuel via conduction, convection, or radiation would be impeded. This analysis is often referred to as an adiabatic heatup.

The licensee's analyses referenced in its exemption request demonstrates that under conditions where the SFP water inventory has drained completely and only air-cooling of the stored irradiated fuel is available, there is reasonable assurance that after 9.38 months (285 days) from the permanent shutdown of the facility on September 17, 2018, the Oyster Creek spent fuel will remain at

temperatures far below those associated with a significant radiological release.

As discussed in the staff response to a question in SECY-00-0145, "the staff believes that full insurance coverage must be maintained for 5 years or until a licensee can show by analysis that its SFP is no longer vulnerable to such [a zirconium] fire."

The licensee's adiabatic heatup analyses demonstrate that there would be at least 10 hours after the loss of all means of cooling (both air and/or water) before the spent fuel cladding would reach a temperature where the potential for a significant offsite radiological release could occur. The licensee states that for this loss of all cooling scenario, 10 hours is sufficient time for personnel to respond with additional resources, equipment, and capability to restore cooling to the SFPs, even after a non-credible, catastrophic event.

In the analysis provided in the Attachment to its submittal dated November 6, 2018, as supplemented by letter dated February 13, 2019, with Attachment 1, Response to NRC's Request for Additional Information and Attachment 2, Zirconium Fire Analysis for Drained Spent Fuel Pool, C-1302-226-E310-457, Revision 2, (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML18310A306, and ML19044A643, respectively), the licensee compared the conditions for the hottest fuel assembly stored in the SFP to a criterion proposed in SECY-99-168, "Improving Decommissioning Regulations for Nuclear Power Plants" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12265A598), applicable to offsite emergency response for the unit in the decommissioning process. This criterion considers the time for the hottest assembly to heat up from 30 degrees Celsius (°C) to 900 °C adiabatically. If the heatup time is greater than 10 hours, then offsite emergency preplanning involving the plant is not necessary. Based on the limiting fuel assembly for decay heat and adiabatic heatup analysis presented in the licensee's submittals, at 9.38 months (285 days) after permanent cessation of power operations (i.e., 9.38 months decay time), the time for the hottest fuel assembly to reach 900 °C is 10 hours after the assemblies have been uncovered. As stated in NUREG-1738, "Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants" (ADAMS Accession No. ML010430066), 900 °C is an acceptable temperature to use for assessing onset of fission product release under transient conditions (to establish the critical decay time for determining availability of 10 hours for deployment of mitigation equipment and, if necessary, for offsite agencies to

take appropriate action to protect the health and safety of the public, if fuel and cladding oxidation occurs in air). The NRC staff reviewed the calculation to verify that important physical properties of materials were within acceptable ranges and the results were accurate. The NRC staff determined that physical properties were appropriate. Therefore, the NRC staff found that after 9.38 months (285 days) from the permanent shutdown of the facility on September 17, 2018, more than 10 hours would be available before a significant offsite release could begin. The NRC staff concluded that the adiabatic heatup calculation provided an acceptable method for determining the minimum time available for deployment of mitigation equipment and, if necessary, implementing measures under a comprehensive general emergency plan.

The NRC staff performed an evaluation of the design-basis accidents for Oyster Creek being permanently defueled as part of SECY-18-0062, "Request By The Exelon Generation Company, LLC For Exemptions From Certain Emergency Planning Requirements For The Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station," dated May 31, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18030B340). The staff also evaluated the licensee's updated adiabatic heatup calculation in its submittal dated November 6, 2018, as supplemented by letter dated February 13, 2019 with Attachment 1, Response to NRC's Request for Additional Information and Attachment 2, Zirconium Fire Analysis for Drained Spent Fuel Pool, C-1302-226-E310-457, Revision 2, (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML18310A306, and ML19044A643, respectively).

Based on the NRC staff's evaluation of the licensee's adiabatic heatup calculation as well as the evaluation in SECY-18-0062 and SECY-96-256, the NRC staff determined \$50 million to be an adequate level of onsite property damage insurance for a decommissioning reactor, once the spent fuel in the SFP is no longer susceptible to a zirconium fire. The NRC staff has postulated that there is still a potential for other radiological incidents at a decommissioning reactor that could result in significant onsite contamination besides a zirconium fire. In SECY-96-256, the NRC staff cited the rupture of a large contaminated liquid storage tank (~450,000 gallon), causing soil contamination and potential groundwater contamination, as the most costly postulated event to decontaminate and remediate (other than a SFP zirconium fire). The postulated large liquid radiological

waste storage tank rupture event was determined to have a bounding onsite cleanup cost of approximately \$50 million. Therefore, the NRC staff determined that the licensee's proposal to reduce onsite insurance to a level of \$50 million would be consistent with the bounding cleanup and decontamination cost, as discussed in SECY-96-256, to account for the postulated rupture of a large liquid radiological waste tank at the Oyster Creek site, should such an event occur.

The NRC staff has determined that the licensee's proposed reduction in onsite property damage insurance coverage to a level of \$50 million is consistent with SECY-96-256 and subsequent insurance considerations, resulting from additional zirconium fire risks, as discussed in SECY-00-0145 and SECY-01-0100. In addition, the NRC staff notes that similar exemptions have been granted to other permanently shutdown and defueled power reactors, upon demonstration that the criterion of the zirconium fire risks from the irradiated fuel stored in the SFP is of negligible concern. As previously stated, the NRC staff concluded that 9.38 months (285 days) after the permanent shutdown of the facility on September 17, 2018, sufficient irradiated fuel decay time has elapsed at Oyster Creek to decrease the probability of an onsite radiological release from a postulated zirconium fire accident to negligible levels. In addition, the licensee's proposal to reduce onsite insurance to a level of \$50 million is consistent with the maximum estimated cleanup costs for the recovery from the rupture of a large liquid radwaste storage tank.

The NRC staff also notes that in accordance with the Oyster Creek Post Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report (PSDAR) dated May 21, 2018 (ADAMS Accession No. ML18141A775), all spent fuel will be removed from the SFPs and moved into dry storage at an onsite independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) by the end of March 2024, and the probability of an initiating event that would threaten pool integrity occurring before that time is extremely low, which further supports the conclusion that the zirconium fire risk is negligible.

#### *A. The Exemption Is Authorized by Law*

The reissued exemption from 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) allows Exelon to reduce the minimum coverage limit for onsite property damage insurance no earlier than 9.38 months (285 days) after the permanent cessation of power operations. As stated above, 10 CFR 50.12 allows the NRC to grant exemptions from the requirements of 10

CFR part 50 when the exemptions are authorized by law.

As explained above, the NRC staff has determined that the licensee's proposed reduction in onsite property damage insurance coverage to a level of \$50 million is consistent with SECY-96-256. Moreover, the NRC staff concluded that 9.38 months (285 days) after the permanent shutdown of the facility, sufficient irradiated fuel decay time will have elapsed at Oyster Creek to decrease the probability of an onsite and offsite radiological release from a postulated zirconium fire accident to negligible levels. In addition, the licensee's proposal to reduce onsite insurance to a level of \$50 million is consistent with the maximum estimated cleanup costs for the recovery from the rupture of a large liquid radiological waste storage tank.

The NRC staff has determined that reissuing the licensee's proposed exemption will not result in a violation of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the Commission's regulations. Therefore, based on its review of Exelon's exemption request as discussed above, and consistent with SECY-96-256, the NRC staff concludes that the exemption is authorized by law.

#### *B. The Exemption Presents No Undue Risk to the Public Health and Safety*

The onsite property damage insurance requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) were established to provide financial assurance that following a significant nuclear incident, onsite conditions could be stabilized and the site decontaminated. The requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) and the existing level of onsite insurance coverage for Oyster Creek are predicated on the assumption that the reactor is operating. However, Oyster Creek permanently shutdown on September 17, 2018, and defueled on September 25, 2018. The permanently defueled status of the facility results in a significant reduction in the number and severity of potential accidents, and correspondingly, a significant reduction in the potential for and severity of onsite property damage. The proposed reduction in the amount of onsite insurance coverage does not impact the probability or consequences of potential accidents. The proposed level of insurance coverage is commensurate with the reduced consequences of potential nuclear accidents at Oyster Creek. Therefore, the NRC staff concludes that granting the requested exemption will not present an undue risk to the health and safety of the public.

#### *C. The Exemption Is Consistent With the Common Defense and Security*

The reissued exemption would not eliminate any requirements associated with physical protection of the site and would not adversely affect Exelon's ability to physically secure the site or protect special nuclear material. Physical security measures at Oyster Creek are not affected by the requested exemption. Therefore, the reissued exemption is consistent with the common defense and security.

#### *D. Special Circumstances*

Special circumstances, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), are present whenever application of the regulation in the particular circumstances is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the regulation.

The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) is to provide reasonable assurance that adequate funds will be available to stabilize reactor conditions and cover onsite cleanup costs associated with site decontamination, following an accident that results in the release of a significant amount of radiological material. Oyster Creek permanently shut down on September 17, 2018, and permanently defueled on September 25, 2018, it is no longer possible for the radiological consequences of design-basis accidents or other credible events at Oyster Creek to exceed the limits of the EPA PAGs at the exclusion area boundary. The licensee has evaluated the consequences of highly unlikely, beyond-design-basis conditions involving a loss of coolant from the SFP. The analyses show that after 9.38 months (285 days) from permanent cessation of power operations on September 17, 2018, the likelihood of such an event leading to a large radiological release is negligible. The NRC staff's evaluation of the licensee's analyses confirms this conclusion.

The NRC staff also finds that the licensee's proposed \$50 million level of onsite insurance is consistent with the bounding cleanup and decontamination cost, as discussed in SECY-96-256, to account for the hypothetical rupture of a large liquid radiological waste tank at the Oyster Creek site, should such an event occur. Therefore, the NRC staff concludes that the application of the current requirements in 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) to maintain \$1.06 billion in onsite insurance coverage is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule for the permanently shutdown and defueled Oyster Creek reactor.

Under 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(iii), special circumstances are present whenever compliance would result in undue hardship or other costs that are significantly in excess of those contemplated when the regulation was adopted, or that are significantly in excess of those incurred by others similarly situated.

The NRC staff concludes that if the licensee was required to continue to maintain an onsite insurance level of \$1.06 billion, the associated insurance premiums would be in excess of those necessary and commensurate with the radiological contamination risks posed by the site. In addition, such insurance levels would be significantly in excess of other decommissioning reactor facilities that have been granted similar exemptions by the NRC.

The NRC staff finds that compliance with the existing rule would result in an undue hardship or other costs that are significantly in excess of those contemplated when the regulation was adopted and are significantly in excess of those incurred by others similarly situated.

Therefore, the special circumstances required by 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) and 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(iii) exist.

#### *E. Environmental Considerations*

The NRC approval of the exemption to insurance or indemnity requirements belongs to a category of actions that the Commission, by rule or regulation, has declared to be a categorical exclusion, after first finding that the category of actions does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Specifically, the exemption is categorically excluded from further analysis under § 51.22(c)(25).

Under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), granting of an exemption from the requirements of any regulation of Chapter I to 10 CFR is a categorical exclusion provided that (i) there is no significant hazards consideration; (ii) there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (iii) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure; (iv) there is no significant construction impact; (v) there is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents; and (vi) the requirements from which an exemption is sought involve: Surety, insurance, or indemnity requirements.

As the Director, Division of Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery, and Waste Programs, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, I have

determined that reissuing the exemption involves no significant hazards consideration because reducing the licensee's onsite property damage insurance for Oyster Creek does not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The exempted financial protection regulation is unrelated to the operation of Oyster Creek. Accordingly, there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; and no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure.

In addition, the exempted regulation is not associated with construction, so there is no significant construction impact. The exempted regulation does not concern the source term (*i.e.*, potential amount of radiation in an accident), nor mitigation. Therefore, there is no significant increase in the potential for, or consequences of, a radiological accident. In addition, there would be no significant impacts to biota, water resources, historic properties, cultural resources, or socioeconomic conditions in the region. Moreover, the requirement for onsite property damage insurance involves surety, insurance, and indemnity matters. Accordingly, the exemption request meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25). Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) and 51.22(c)(25), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the reissue of this exemption.

#### **IV. Conclusions**

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a), reissuing the exemption originally granted on December 19, 2018, is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. Also, special circumstances are present as set forth in 10 CFR 50.12.

Therefore, the Commission hereby reissues Exelon an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(w)(1) for Oyster Creek. Exelon certified that it permanently ceased power operations at Oyster Creek on September 17, 2018. The reissued exemption will permit Oyster Creek to lower the minimum required onsite insurance to \$50 million

no earlier than 9.38 months (285 days) after the permanent cessation of power operations.

The exemption is effective on June 29, 2019 (9.38 months (285 days) after Oyster Creek permanently ceased power operations on September 17, 2019).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12 day of June 2019.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
/RA/

**John R. Tappert,**

*Director, Division of Decommissioning,  
Uranium Recovery and Waste Programs,  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and  
Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2019-12997 Filed 6-18-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket No. 50-219; NRC-2018-0288]**

### **Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Exemption; reissuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is reissuing an exemption, originally approved on December 19, 2018, that allowed Exelon to reduce the required level of primary off-site liability insurance for Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (Oyster Creek) from \$450 million to \$100 million and eliminate the requirement to carry secondary financial protection. The December 19, 2018, exemption originally had an effective date of 12 months (365 days) from the certification of permanent cessation of power operations. The reissued exemption has a new effective date of 9.38 months (285 days) after the docketing of the certification of permanent cessation of power operations at Oyster Creek.

**DATES:** The exemption was issued on June 12, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2018-0288 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking Website:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2018-0288. Address questions about NRC docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Jennifer Borges; telephone: 301-287-9127; email: [Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov](mailto:Jennifer.Borges@nrc.gov). For technical