

is made normally through a notice of appearance. *Id.* No party petitioned for review.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The notice of investigation and complaint are amended.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 20, 2019.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019-10852 Filed 5-23-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1106]

Certain Toner Cartridges and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Affirm an Initial Determination Granting Respondents' Motions for Summary Determination of Non-Infringement; Finding of No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to affirm the initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 40) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting certain respondents' respective motions for summary determination of non-infringement. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to find no violation of section 337. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 29, 2018, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Canon Inc. of Tokyo, Japan; Canon U.S.A. Inc. of Melville, New York; and Canon Virginia, Inc. of Newport News, Virginia (collectively, "Canon"). 83 FR 13516-17. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toner cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,746,826; 9,836,026; 9,841,727 ("the '727 patent"); 9,841,728 ("the '728 patent"); 9,841,729; 9,857,764; 9,857,765; 9,869,960; and 9,874,846. The Commission's notice of investigation named numerous respondents, including: Ninestar Corporation and Ninestar Image Tech Limited, both of Guangdong, China; Ninestar Technology Company, Ltd. of City of Industry, California; and Static Control Components, Inc. of Stanford, North Carolina (collectively, "Ninestar"); Print-Rite N.A., Inc. of La Vergne, Tennessee; Union Technology International (M.C.O.) Co. Ltd. of Rodrigues, Macau; Print-Rite Unicorn Image Products Co. Ltd. of Zhuhai, China; The Supplies Guys, Inc. of Lancaster, Pennsylvania; and LD Products, Inc. of Long Beach, California (collectively, "Print-Rite"); and Aster Graphics, Inc. of Placentia, California; Aster Graphics Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; and Jiangxi Yibo E-tech Co., Ltd. of Jiangxi, China (collectively, "Aster"; all collectively, "the active respondents"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is also a party to the investigation. The '727 and '728 patents have been terminated from the investigation. *See* Order No. 18 (June 28, 2018), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (July 23, 2018).

All other respondents have been found in default or terminated from the investigation based on withdrawal of Canon's allegations as to those respondents. *See, e.g.,* Order No. 11 (May 2, 2018) (ID finding eleven respondents in default); *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (May 23, 2018); Order

No. 30 (Oct. 22, 2018) (ID terminating the investigation as to a single respondent); *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Nov. 19, 2018). Specifically, the following thirty-five respondents have been found in default: Arlington Industries, Inc. of Waukegan, Illinois; Ourway US Inc. of City of Industry, California; Print After Print, Inc. d/b/a OutOfToner.com of Phoenix, Arizona; GPC Trading Co. Limited d/b/a GPC Image of Kowloon, Hong Kong; ACM Technologies, Inc. of Corona, California; Ourway Image Tech. Co., Ltd., Ourway Image Co., Ltd., and Zhuhai Aowei Electronics Co., Ltd., all of Zhuhai, China; Acecom, Inc.—San Antonio d/b/a InkSell.com of San Antonio, Texas; Bluedog Distribution Inc. of Hollywood, Florida; i8 International, Inc. d/b/a Ink4Work.com of City of Industry, California; Ink Technologies Printer Supplies, LLC of Dayton, Ohio; Linkyo Corp. d/b/a SuperMediaStore.com of La Puente, California; CLT Computers, Inc. d/b/a Multiwave and MWave of Walnut, California; Imaging Supplies Investors, LLC d/b/a SuppliesOutlet.com, SuppliesWholesalers.com, and OnlineTechStores.com of Reno, Nevada; Online Tech Stores, LLC d/b/a SuppliesOutlet.com, SuppliesWholesalers.com, and OnlineTechStores.com of Grand Rapids, Michigan; Fairland, LLC d/b/a ProPrint of Anaheim Hills, California; 9010-8077 Quebec Inc. d/b/a Zeetoner of Quebec, Canada; World Class Ink Supply, Inc. of Woodbury, New Jersey; EIS Office Solutions, Inc. and Zinyaw LLC d/b/a TonerPirate.com, both of Houston, Texas; eReplacements, LLC of Grapevine, Texas; Garvey's Office Products, Inc. of Niles, Illinois; Master Print Supplies, Inc. d/b/a HQ Products of Burlingame, California; Reliable Imaging Computer Products, Inc. of Northridge, California; Frontier Imaging Inc. of Compton, California; Hong Kong BoZe Company Limited d/b/a Greensky of New Kowloon, Hong Kong; Apex Excel Limited d/b/a ShopAt247 of Rowland Heights, California; Billiontree Technology USA Inc. d/b/a Toner Kingdom of City of Industry, California; Kuhlmann Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Precision Roller of Phoenix, Arizona; FTrade Inc. d/b/a ValueToner of Staten Island, New York; V4INK, Inc. of Ontario, California; Do It Wiser LLC d/b/a Image Toner of Alpharetta, Georgia; Global Cartridges of Burlingame, California; and Kingway Image Co., Ltd. d/b/a Zhu Hai Kingway Image Co., Ltd. of Zhuhai, China.

On November 28, 2018, Print-Rite and Aster each moved for summary determination that their respective

accused products do not infringe the asserted patents. On the same date, Ninestar filed an unopposed motion for leave to file a motion for summary determination that its accused products do not infringe the asserted patents. All of the active respondents' motions were contingent on the ALJ construing the asserted claims to require a pivotable coupling member. Also, on the same date, Canon moved for summary determination of infringement with respect to all of the respondents' accused products, both active and defaulting. Canon's motion was contingent on the ALJ construing the asserted claims to require a coupling member that does not need to pivot or incline. On December 10, 2018, Canon stated in its response to the two pending summary determination motions that it would not oppose the motions if the ALJ construed the asserted claims to require a pivotable coupling member. On the same date, OUII filed a response supporting all of the motions for summary determination of non-infringement, including Ninestar's motion for leave to file its motion for summary determination of non-infringement.

On February 28, 2019, the ALJ issued her *Markman* Order (Order No. 38) construing the asserted claims to require a pivotable coupling member. On March 6, 2019, Ninestar moved, based on the *Markman* Order's claim construction, for summary determination of non-infringement. On March 8, 2019, Canon stated in its response to Ninestar's motion that it would not oppose the motion based on the *Markman* Order.

On March 13, 2019, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 40) granting each motion for summary determination of non-infringement. In the subject ID, the ALJ also denied Canon's motion for summary determination of infringement as moot. On March 25, 2019, Canon and the Active Respondents each petitioned for review of the subject ID. On April 1, 2019, Canon and the Active Respondents each filed a response in opposition to the other party's petition for review. On the same date, OUII filed a response in opposition to each petition for review.

On May 6, 2019, the Commission determined to review the ID and the underlying *Markman* Order in their entirety and requested the parties to respond to certain questions concerning the issues under review. On May 14, 2019, Canon filed its written submission in response to the Commission questions. Canon stated that it does not seek relief against the defaulting respondents unless the *Markman*

Order's construction requiring a pivotable coupling member is modified.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including Order No. 40 and the *Markman* Order, the parties' briefing, and Canon's response, the Commission has determined to affirm the subject ID. Accordingly, the Commission finds no violation of section 337. The investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: May 20, 2019.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2019-10848 Filed 5-23-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On May 17, 2019, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America v. BKF Capital Group, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 8:18-cv-01863-VMC-TGW.

The Consent Decree resolves the United States' claims set forth in its complaint against BKF Capital Group, Inc. ("Defendant") for cost recovery under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA") relating to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances into the environment at Cattle Dipping Vat A (Site No. OT-59A) in Polk County, Florida, and Cattle Dipping Vats C and D (Site Nos. OT-59C and OT-59D) in Highlands County, Florida (together, the "Vat Sites") within the Avon Park Air Force Range ("APAFR"). Under the terms of the proposed consent decree, Defendant will reimburse \$725,000 of the costs incurred by the United States Air Force in connection with response actions at the Vat Sites. In return, the United States agrees not to sue or take administrative action against Defendant under Section 107(a) or Section 113 of CERCLA with regard to the Vat Sites.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States of America v. BKF Capital Group, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-11242. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the appendix and signature pages, the cost is \$3.00.

Henry Friedman,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2019-10941 Filed 5-23-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Office of Government Information Services

[NARA-2019-023]

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Advisory Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Office of Government Information Services (OGIS), National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

ACTION: Notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: We are announcing an upcoming Freedom of Information Act