

levels to trade pursuant to the same strike setting regime. This will permit investors to employ similar investment and hedging strategies for each of these options.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹³ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹⁴

A proposed rule change filed pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6) under the Act¹⁵ normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of its filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii)¹⁶ permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Exchange stated that waiver of this requirement will ensure fair competition among the exchanges by allowing the Exchange to set the interval between strike prices of series of options on ETF shares of QQQ and IWM in a manner consistent with another exchange. Further, the Exchange stated that because the proposed rule change is based on the rules of another Self-Regulatory Organization,¹⁷ it does not introduce any new or novel regulatory issues. For these reasons, the Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Accordingly, the

Commission hereby waives the operative delay and designates the proposed rule change operative upon filing.¹⁸

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-PEARL-2019-18 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-PEARL-2019-18. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public

Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-PEARL-2019-18 and should be submitted on or before June 11, 2019.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁹

Eduardo A. Aleman,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019-10513 Filed 5-20-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-85854; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2019-01]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 1 and Order Instituting Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 1, Relating to the Listing and Trading of Shares of the Bitwise Bitcoin ETF Trust

May 14, 2019.

On January 28, 2019, NYSE Arca, Inc. ("Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to list and trade shares of the Bitwise Bitcoin ETF Trust under NYSE Arca Rule 8.201-E. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on February 15, 2019.³

On March 29, 2019, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁴ the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹⁷ See *supra* note 12.

¹⁸ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission also has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

¹⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85093 (Feb. 11, 2019), 84 FR 4589 (Feb. 15, 2019).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁵ On May 7, 2019, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, which replaced and superseded the proposed rule change as originally filed.⁶ As of May 14, 2019, the Commission has received 25 comment letters on the proposal.⁷

The Commission is publishing this notice and order to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1, from interested persons and to institute proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act⁸ to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 1.

I. Exchange's Description of the Proposal, as Modified by Amendment No. 1

The Exchange proposes to list and trade shares of the Bitwise Bitcoin ETF Trust under NYSE Arca Rule 8.201-E. This Amendment No. 1 to SR-NYSEArca-2019-01 replaces SR-NYSEArca-2019-01 as originally filed and supersedes such filing in its entirety. The proposed change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to list and trade shares ("Shares") of the Bitwise Bitcoin ETF Trust (the "Trust"), under NYSE Arca Rule 8.201-E.⁹

According to the Registration Statement, the Trust will not be registered as an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended,¹⁰ and is not required to register under such act. The Trust is not a commodity pool for purposes of the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended.¹¹

The Trust is managed and controlled by Bitwise Investment Advisers, LLC (the "Sponsor").

The Trust will offer Shares of the Trust for sale through the Trust's Marketing Agent in "Creation Units," as described below. The Marketing Agent will also assist the Sponsor and the Trust's administrator with certain functions and duties relating to distribution and marketing.

The Exchange represents that the Shares satisfy the requirements of NYSE Arca Rule 8.201-E and thereby qualify for listing on the Exchange.¹²

Operation of the Trust¹³

According to the Registration Statement, the investment objective of the Trust is to provide exposure to bitcoin that is reflective of the actual bitcoin market where investors can purchase and sell bitcoin, less the expenses of the Trust's operation. In seeking to achieve its investment objective, the Trust will hold bitcoin, and in seeking to ensure that the price of the Trust's shares is reflective of the actual bitcoin market, the Trust will value its shares daily based on prices drawn from ten bitcoin exchanges that

the Sponsor and its affiliate, Bitwise Index Services, LLC ("Bitwise Index Services") believe, based on their research and analysis (discussed below), represent substantially all of the economically significant spot trading volume on bitcoin exchanges around the world (the "Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price" or "Bitcoin Price").¹⁴

The Trust will store its bitcoin in custody at a regulated third-party custodian, and will not use derivatives that may subject the Trust to counterparty and credit risks.

The Trust will process all creations and redemptions in-kind, and accrue all fees in bitcoin (rather than cash), as a way of ensuring that the Trust holds the desired amount of bitcoin-per-share under all scenarios. The Trust will not buy or sell bitcoin under any situation other than if the Trust is required to liquidate.

The Sponsor believes that the design of the Trust will enable certain investors to more effectively and efficiently implement strategic and tactical asset allocation strategies that use bitcoin by investing in the Trust's Shares rather than purchasing, holding and trading bitcoin directly, while protecting the Trust from potential concerns around market manipulation and other factors, as explained below.

Bitcoin, Bitcoin Market, Bitcoin Exchanges and Regulation of Bitcoin

The following sections describe bitcoin, including the historical development of bitcoin and the bitcoin network, how a person holds bitcoin, how to use bitcoin in transactions, the "exchange" market where bitcoin can be bought, held and sold, and the bitcoin "over-the-counter" ("OTC") market.

¹⁴ Bitwise Index Services conducts research upon and provides pricing and indexing data related to the bitcoin market for use by the Trust and other unaffiliated parties. Bitwise Index Services manages the process for collection and dissemination of the Bitcoin Price with input from its Bitwise Crypto Index Committee, which has ultimate responsibility and authority for developing, maintaining and adjusting the Bitcoin Price as well as other cryptoasset data products and indexes. The Committee is composed of three members of the Bitwise leadership team selected for seniority and expertise in indexing, cryptoassets and data engineering. The Committee is advised in this effort by the Bitwise Crypto Index Advisory Board (the "Advisory Board"), an independent group of leading experts in the fields of both traditional asset indexing and crypto assets with members both internal and external to Bitwise. Advisory Board suggestions are not binding to the Committee. Bitwise Index Services and the Sponsor are referred to herein as "Bitwise" throughout unless the explicit clarification of a particular role of either affiliate is required to describe the operations of the Trust. Both Bitwise Index Services and the Sponsor are affiliates of Bitwise Asset Management, Inc.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 85461 (Mar. 29, 2019), 84 FR 13339 (Apr. 4, 2019). The Commission designated May 16, 2019, as the date by which the Commission shall approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove, the proposed rule change.

⁶ Amendment No. 1 is available at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysearca-2019-01/srnysearca201901-5461982-184967.pdf>.

⁷ Comments on the proposed rule change can be found at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysearca-2019-01/srnysearca201901.htm>.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁹ The Trust is a Delaware statutory trust. On January 10, 2019, the Trust filed with the Commission an initial registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a) (the "Securities Act") (File No. 333-229180). On April 6, 2019, the Trust filed with the Commission Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the initial registration statement (the initial registration statement, as amended by Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1, the "Registration Statement"). The description of the operation of the Trust herein is based, in part, on the Registration Statement.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 80a-1.

¹¹ 17 U.S.C. 1.

¹² With respect to the application of Rule 10A-3 (17 CFR 240.10A-3) under the Act, the Trust relies on the exemption contained in Rule 10A-3(c)(7).

¹³ The description of the operation of the Trust, the Shares and the bitcoin market contained herein are based, in part, on the Registration Statement. See note 9, *supra*.

Bitcoin

According to the Registration Statement, bitcoin is a digital asset that can be transferred among parties via the internet. Unlike other means of electronic payments such as credit card transactions, one of the advantages of bitcoin is that it can be transferred without the use of a central administrator or clearing agency. Because a central party is not necessary to administer bitcoin transactions or maintain the bitcoin ledger, the term decentralized is often used in descriptions of bitcoin.

Bitcoin Network

Bitcoin was first described in a white paper released in 2008 and published under the name “Satoshi Nakamoto.” The protocol underlying Bitcoin was subsequently released in 2009 as open source software and currently operates on a worldwide network of computers.

For persons that want to use bitcoins to pay for goods and services in actual transactions, the first step is to download specialized software referred to as a “bitcoin wallet.” A user’s bitcoin wallet can run on a computer or smartphone, and can be used both to send and to receive bitcoin. Within a bitcoin wallet, a user can generate one or more unique “bitcoin addresses,” which are conceptually similar to bank account numbers. After establishing a bitcoin address, a user can send or receive bitcoin from his or her bitcoin address to another user’s address. Sending bitcoin from one bitcoin address to another is similar in concept to sending a bank wire from one person’s bank account to another person’s bank account.

The amount of bitcoin associated with each bitcoin address is listed in a public ledger, referred to as the “blockchain.” Copies of the blockchain exist on thousands of computers on the Bitcoin network throughout the internet. A user’s bitcoin wallet will either contain a copy of the blockchain or be able to connect with another computer that holds a copy of the blockchain.

When a bitcoin user wishes to transfer bitcoin to another user, the sender must first request a bitcoin address from the recipient. The sender then uses his or her bitcoin wallet software to create a proposed addition (often referred to as a “transaction”) to the blockchain. The proposal will reduce the sender’s address and increase the recipient’s address by the amount of bitcoin desired to be transferred. The proposal is completely digital in nature, similar to a file on a computer, and it can be

sent to other computers participating in the Bitcoin network.

Bitcoin Transactions

A bitcoin transaction is similar in concept to an irreversible digital check. The transaction contains the sender’s bitcoin address, the recipient’s bitcoin address, the amount of bitcoin to be sent, a transaction fee and the sender’s digital signature. The sender’s use of his or her digital signature enables participants on the Bitcoin network to verify the authenticity of the bitcoin transaction.

A user’s digital signature is generated via usage of the user’s so-called “private key,” one of two numbers in a so-called cryptographic “key pair.” A key pair consists of a “public key” and its corresponding private key, both of which are lengthy alphanumeric codes, derived together and possessing a unique relationship.

Public keys are bitcoin addresses that are publicly known and can accept a bitcoin transfer. Private keys are used to sign transactions that initiate the transfer of bitcoin from a sender’s bitcoin address to a recipient’s bitcoin address. Only the holder of the private key associated with a particular bitcoin address can digitally sign a transaction proposing a transfer of bitcoin from that particular bitcoin address.

A user’s bitcoin address may be safely distributed, but a user’s private key must be kept in accordance with appropriate controls and procedures to ensure it is used only for legitimate and intended transactions. Only by using a private key can a bitcoin user create a digital signature to transfer bitcoin to another user. In addition, if an unauthorized third person learns of a user’s private key, that third person could forge the user’s digital signature and send the user’s bitcoin to any arbitrary bitcoin address, thereby stealing the user’s bitcoin.

The usage of key pairs is a cornerstone of the Bitcoin network. This is because the use of a private key is the only mechanism by which a bitcoin transaction can be signed. If a private key is lost, the corresponding bitcoin is thereafter permanently non-transferable. Moreover, the theft of a private key enables the thief immediate and unfettered access to the corresponding bitcoin. For large quantities of bitcoin, holders often embrace sophisticated security measures. The Trust will use a regulated, third-party custodian with institutional design controls and redundancies in place to safeguard and hold in custody the bitcoin private keys.

The Bitcoin network incorporates a system to prevent double spending of a

single bitcoin. To prevent the possibility of double-spending a single bitcoin, each validated transaction is recorded, time stamped and publicly displayed in a “block” in the Bitcoin Blockchain, which is publicly available. Thus, the Bitcoin network provides confirmation against double-spending by memorializing every transaction in the Bitcoin Blockchain, which is publicly accessible and downloaded in part or in whole by all users of the Bitcoin network software program.

The process by which bitcoin are created and bitcoin transactions are verified is called mining. To begin mining, a user, or “miner,” can download and run a mining “client,” which, like regular Bitcoin network software programs, turns the user’s computer into a “node” on the Bitcoin network, and in this case has the ability to validate transactions and add new blocks of transactions to the Blockchain.

Miners, through the use of the bitcoin software program, engage in a set of prescribed complex mathematical calculations in order to verify transactions and compete for the right to add a block of verified transactions to the Bitcoin Blockchain and thereby confirm bitcoin transactions included in that block’s data. The miner who successfully adds a block of transactions to the Blockchain is rewarded by a grant of bitcoin. The supply of bitcoin is programmatically limited to 21 million bitcoin.

Confirmed and validated bitcoin transactions are recorded in blocks added to the Bitcoin Blockchain. Each block contains the details of some or all of the most recent transactions that are not memorialized in prior blocks, as well as a record of the award of bitcoin to the miner who added the new block. Each unique block can only be solved and added to the Bitcoin Blockchain by one miner; therefore, all individual miners and mining pools on the Bitcoin network must engage in a competitive process of constantly increasing their computing power to improve their likelihood of solving for new blocks. As more miners join the Bitcoin network and its processing power increases, the Bitcoin network adjusts the complexity of a block-solving equation to maintain a predetermined pace of adding a new block to the Bitcoin Blockchain approximately every ten minutes.

Bitcoin Market and Bitcoin Exchanges

In addition to using bitcoin to engage in transactions, investors may purchase and sell bitcoin to speculate as to the value of bitcoin in the bitcoin market, or as a long-term investment to diversify their portfolio. The value of bitcoin

within the market is determined, in part, by the supply of and demand for bitcoin in the bitcoin market, market expectations for the adoption of bitcoin by individuals, the number of merchants that accept bitcoin as a form of payment and the volume of private end-user-to-end-user transactions.

Research conducted by Bitwise Index Services indicates that the vast majority of spot trading volume of bitcoin takes place on ten exchanges, although a number of other smaller exchanges exist as well. Bitcoin exchanges operate websites designed to permit investors to open accounts with the exchange and then purchase and sell bitcoin.

As with conventional stock exchanges, an investor opening a trading account must deposit an accepted government-issued currency into their account with the exchange, or a previously acquired digital asset, before they can purchase or sell assets on the exchange. The process of establishing an account with a bitcoin exchange and trading bitcoin is different from the process of users sending bitcoin from one bitcoin address to another bitcoin address to pay for goods and services. This latter process is an activity that occurs wholly within the confines of the Bitcoin network, while the former is an activity that occurs entirely on private websites.

According to the Registration Statement, Bitwise Index Services' research has led it to believe that the bitcoin market has matured significantly in recent years. In particular, Bitwise Index Services believes that arbitrage on bitcoin exchanges (discussed below) has improved significantly since the introduction of bitcoin futures in December 2017, which fundamentally transformed the bitcoin market by creating a two-sided market and easy hedging for the first time. In addition, subsequent to the introduction of bitcoin futures, in early 2018, a large number of sophisticated market makers entered the bitcoin market, applying large balance sheets and tech-enabled trading platforms that further improved the quality of the market. By summer 2018, most major market makers were either present in the bitcoin market or actively exploring the space. In addition, over the course of 2018, a significant and efficient short lending market in bitcoin developed, with volume growing over the course of the year.

Bitwise Index Services believes that the launch of futures, the arrival of major market makers, and the development of lending combined to dramatically improve the efficiency of the bitcoin market in 2018, creating a

dynamic, institutional-quality, two-sided market for the first time. While further developments may be incrementally beneficial to the market, Bitwise Index Services believes that the spot bitcoin market today operates with an efficiency that matches or exceeds that of other major financial markets.

As discussed in more detail below, the Trust will not directly purchase or sell bitcoin. Instead, Authorized Participants will deliver bitcoin to the Trust in exchange for Shares of the Trust, and the Trust will deliver bitcoin to Authorized Participants when those Authorized Participants redeem Shares of the Trust. The Trust will use ten spot exchanges that the Sponsor and Bitwise Index Services believe represent substantially all of the economically significant bitcoin trading volume in the world (outside of capital-controlled countries) in order to derive the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price, which it will then use to price its Net Asset Value ("NAV") at the end of every business day.

Authorized Participants will have the option of purchasing and selling bitcoin used in Creation Basket transactions with the Trust either on bitcoin exchanges or in the "over-the-counter" ("OTC") markets. Over-the-counter trading of bitcoin is generally accomplished via bilateral agreements on a principal-to-principal basis. All risks and issues related to creditworthiness are between the parties directly involved in the transaction.

The Structure and Operation of the Trust Was Designed To Protect Investors and Satisfy Commission Requirements for Bitcoin-Based Exchange Traded Products

The Registration Statement and the Sponsor's submission to the Commission in connection with this filing,¹⁵ seek to explain how the structure and operation of the Trust is designed to protect investors and to respond to the concerns the Commission has raised and the requirements that must be satisfied by any bitcoin-based exchange-traded product set forth in the "Order Setting Aside Action by Delegated Authority and Disapproving a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendments

¹⁵ See Bitwise Asset Management, Presentation to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, dated March 19, 2019, attached to Memorandum from the Division of Trading and Markets regarding a March 19, 2019 meeting with representatives of Bitwise Asset Management, Inc., NYSE Arca, Inc., and Vedder Price P.C., available at <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysearca-2019-01/srnysearca201901-5164833-183434.pdf>. This document is referred to in this filing as the "Bitwise Study."

No. 1 and 2, to List and Trade Shares of the Winklevoss Bitcoin Trust" (the "Winklevoss Order")¹⁶ and the "Staff Letter: Engaging on Fund Innovation and Cryptocurrency-related Holdings" (the "Staff Letter").¹⁷

The Commission has outlined two ways that a Rule 19b-4 filing relating to a bitcoin exchange-traded product can satisfy the concerns outlined in the Winklevoss Order and in particular the concerns regarding potential market manipulation of the underlying market. Bitwise believes these Commission concerns are addressed by demonstrating that:

(1) *Unique Resistance*: The bitcoin market is uniquely resistant to market manipulation and fraudulent activity; and

(2) *Surveillance Sharing*: The listing exchange has entered into a surveillance sharing agreement with a regulated market of significant size in bitcoin or derivatives on bitcoin.

Historically, the existence of a surveilled market has been the primary consideration regarding addressing potential market manipulation, as the Commission stated when discussing its past approval of gold bullion exchange-traded products ("ETPs") in the Winklevoss Order.¹⁸

The Sponsor believes that the gold market is substantially similar to the bitcoin market in all respects that are critically important from the perspective of the federal securities laws. That is, the bitcoin market (and the Trust specifically) is uniquely resistant to manipulation, and there is a significant, regulated and surveilled market for bitcoin futures.

The "Real" Market for Bitcoin

The Sponsor represents that bitcoin is a globally fungible commodity with low transaction costs, near-zero transportation costs that allows nearly instantaneous transportation to any location around the world, and low-to-zero storage costs, as follows:

- *Globally Fungible Commodity*: A bitcoin is the same anywhere around the world. Unlike wheat, oil or gold, there

¹⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83723 (July 26, 2018), 83 FR 37579 (August 1, 2018) (SR-BatsBZX-2016-30) (Order Setting Aside Action by Delegated Authority and Disapproving a Proposed Rule Change to List and Trade Shares of the Winklevoss Bitcoin Trust).

¹⁷ See letter dated January 18, 2018 from Dalia Blass, Director, Division of Investment Management, Commission, to Paul Schott Stevens, President & CEO Investment Company Institute and Timothy W. Cameron, Asset Management Group—Head, Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association.

¹⁸ See Winklevoss Order at note 216 and accompanying text.

are no varieties, purities, or geographically specific delivery locations for bitcoin.

- *Low Transaction Costs:* The median spread for bitcoin traded on Coinbase Pro, a leading bitcoin exchange, in the month of March 2019 was \$0.01, with each bitcoin valued at approximately \$5,000. This makes bitcoin one of the most tightly quoted financial instruments in the world.

- *Near-Zero Transportation Costs:* Unlike physical commodities, there is virtually no cost to transport bitcoin anywhere in the world, and that transportation can occur nearly instantly.

- *Low-To-Zero Storage Costs:* Bitcoin can safely be stored with established, regulated third-party custodians at a cost that ranges from 0% to 1.5% a year.

These four factors would, in isolation, suggest that the bitcoin market should be uniquely orderly and efficient, with tight spreads and nearly perfect arbitrage between prices on different exchanges. Unfortunately, in practice, many perceive that the market for bitcoin as disorderly and inefficient, with many unregulated operators running crypto “exchanges” from unknown domiciles.

Bitwise believes that this perception derives from the fact that leading data aggregators, including those cited by national media organizations like *The New York Times*, *The Wall Street Journal* and *Barron's*, have reported volume, price and trading data for bitcoin that includes an overwhelming percentage of volume that is fake and/or non-economic in nature. As discussed further below, Bitwise’s research concludes that when fake and/or non-economic data is removed, the remaining or “real” market for bitcoin is significantly smaller, more orderly and more regulated than commonly understood, and that, with that understanding, this filing should squarely address the concerns laid out by the Commission in the Winklevoss Order.

Bitwise’s Analysis of the Reported Market for Bitcoin Trading

Bitwise conducted a thorough, data-driven analysis of the spot market for bitcoin from March 4, 2019 through March 8, 2019.¹⁹ Bitwise analyzed all exchanges reporting more than \$1 million in average daily trading volume for bitcoin-fiat and bitcoin-stablecoin pairs to the popular data aggregator CoinMarketCap.com, which yielded 81 exchanges with approximately \$6 billion in average daily volume. Bitwise

deliberately utilized a short time period to both showcase that fake volume is a current problem impacting the bitcoin market and because, in its experience, exchanges change the algorithms driving how they fake volume over time, which obscures the results of certain data-driven analyses over longer periods.

The Bitwise Study analyzed all purportedly significant bitcoin exchanges and initially found several widespread, superficial indicators of fake or non-economic trading volume. These indicators include the following.

- *Perfectly paired buy and sell orders.* Bitwise does not believe that actual trading on exchanges generally result in perfectly-consistent alternating buy and sell orders of roughly equal size, but nonetheless exchanges exhibited this pattern in their data.

- *Spread sizes.* Bitwise does not believe that there ought to be relatively large reported spreads between bid and ask prices exhibited on exchanges that report a large volume of trades in comparison to other bitcoin exchanges with lower reported volume, absent clear economic explanations (tick size, fees, etc.), but exchanges with large amounts of claimed volume showed spreads that were 100X, 1000X or more the size of spreads on certain exchanges with much lower levels of volume.

- *Real-World Footprint.* Bitwise does not believe that exchanges with large reported amounts of volume would typically exhibit relatively small real-world footprints, including low web traffic, few known employees, minimal social media presence and limited or no fundraising or capitalization information, but it found many exchanges that exhibited these characteristics.

- *Unexplained periods of no trading.* Bitwise found that certain exchanges with large reported volume nonetheless exhibited multiple hours and days with zero volume that are not correlated with business hours, volatility, up time, or other factors.

- *Monotonic trading volume.* Bitwise found that some exchanges reported relatively large amounts of volume in which a roughly identical volume is reported every hour of every day, regardless of price movements, news, waking hours, weekends, or other real-world factors.

Given these indications, Bitwise created a computer program for collecting or “scraping” data across different bitcoin exchanges, which collected and stored both the order book and recent trades for all exchanges reporting significant volume, four times each second. Bitwise analyzed data from

these 81 different bitcoin exchanges and concluded, for reasons outlined below, that 95% of heretofore reported volume is either fake or non-economic trading. Bitwise estimates that the real total average daily bitcoin volume is approximately \$273 million, and that this volume is more regulated, more U.S.-focused and more orderly than perceived.

In separating exchanges that have real vs. non-economic transactions, Bitwise considered the following data characteristics:

- *Trade Size Histograms.* Bitwise’s computer program can produce trade size “histograms” that show the percentage of volume that occur at particular trade sizes over a specified period. Trade size histograms for the exchanges that pass all of its data tests show consistent patterns that reflect trading that Bitwise believes naturally occurs. Such patterns include volume declining as trade size increases and a greater-than-random distribution of volume at whole bitcoin sizes. These patterns are roughly consistent in size and shape across all ten exchanges that pass all of Bitwise’s data tests. Trade size histograms from other exchanges, on the other hand, reflect patterns that were idiosyncratic and often had patterns that were transparently programmatic, such as bell curve-like distributions with no apparent reason for such a clustering of trade sizes, and increasing volume for larger trade sizes rather than the decaying trend mentioned above. Most of these exchanges showed no peaks at whole bitcoin sizes.

- *Volume Spike Analysis.* Bitwise’s computer program can produce charts that show volume “spikes,” or periods of significantly increased transaction volume, across any exchange. Because the bitcoin market is a globally integrated market for a fungible good, Bitwise believed a priori that, with some limitations for time zones and holidays, volume on different exchanges would rise and fall concurrently in response to the same events or changes in market conditions.

- This pattern played out as expected among the ten exchanges that passed all data tests and that Bitwise believes constitutes substantially all of the real global spot trading volume for bitcoin, but was noticeably absent among other exchanges, which either had no discernible volume spikes or had patterns that were wholly idiosyncratic and did not repeat on other exchanges.

- *Spread Patterning Analysis.* The spread on an exchange with real volume will have two key features that Bitwise

¹⁹ See note 15, *supra*.

believes it can identify through a data driven analysis.

- First, Bitwise believes there should be a generally rational relationship between the volume on the exchange and the size of the spread (subject to limitations put in place at the exchange level, including the tick size and any exchange-level fees). In other words, exchanges with high volume should generally have smaller spreads than exchanges with low volume, and in a globally integrated market for a fungible good, those spreads should be competitive with other exchanges. Investors may tolerate a marginally higher spread on a particular exchange due to levels of comfort, design, user experience, regulatory status or other factors, but they are unlikely to trade significantly on exchanges with spreads that are many multiples larger than other available exchanges. In analyzing the data, Bitwise found many exchanges reporting very high levels of volume that nonetheless reported average spreads that were 1,000%-35,000% higher than the spreads reported on other well-established, regulated and well-capitalized exchanges that passed all of Bitwise's data tests.

- Second, as with volume, spreads change over time in reaction to market developments. Bitwise found that many exchanges exhibited spread patterns over time that revealed artificial, programmatic drivers, including spreads that unnaturally anchor on arbitrary high dollar levels (*i.e.*, Bitwise found examples of exchanges with spreads that would consistently base at a random dollar value (for example, \$10), and sometimes would change that resting mode spread in a step function (for example, going from a \$10 mode spread over multiple days to a \$7.50 mode spread over multiple days without a rational explanation owing to fees or other factors).

As a result of its research, Bitwise believes that, as of March 8, 2019, as stated earlier, the real daily spot volume of the bitcoin market is approximately \$273 million, and not the \$6 billion that is commonly reported. It further believes that this volume is spread across ten exchanges that are located or domiciled in developed markets.

Bitwise believes that this finding is significant and that it leads to the following key conclusions:

- The smaller trade volume is more aligned with *a priori* expectations for bitcoin turnover, and is still sufficiently robust to support liquidity in the Trust, as discussed below.
- The real market for bitcoin appears to be orderly and efficient, with effective arbitrage in place and robust

price discovery shared across multiple exchanges, as discussed below.

- The regulated and surveilled bitcoin futures market is much larger in comparison to the spot bitcoin market than is commonly understood, with significant implications, as discussed below.

The Real Market for Bitcoin Is Extremely Efficient, Well-Arbitraged and More Regulated Than Commonly Understood

As described above, Bitwise found that just ten exchanges passed all of its data tests. It believes that these ten exchanges represent substantially all of the real global spot market for bitcoin, and notes that these exchanges are more established, more likely to be located in developed markets, more regulated, and more likely to have sophisticated market surveillance tools in place than the broader set of exchanges reporting significant volume. Whereas most of the broader set of analyzed exchanges have no known domicile, all ten of the exchanges that passed Bitwise's data tests are domiciled or based in developed markets, including the U.S., the UK, Malta and Japan. Nine of the ten exchanges are regulated by the U.S. Department of Treasury's FinCEN division as Money Services Businesses, and six have a BitLicense from the New York State Department of Financial Services.²⁰ Finally, five of the ten exchanges have either robust internal (one) or robust third-party (four) market surveillance tools in place to monitor, report and correct for abusive trading behavior.²¹

Bitwise acknowledges that the regulatory status of these exchange platforms is not co-extensive to the obligations of and oversight for national securities exchanges or futures exchanges, but notes that these platforms are required to comply with particular obligations and types of regulatory compliance that provide business oversight and regulatory compliance requirements.

For instance, the nine exchanges that are regulated by the U.S. Department of Treasury's FinCEN division as Money

²⁰ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 1. As of April 26, 2019, Bitfinex was removed by the Bitwise Crypto Index Committee from the exchanges contributing prices to deriving the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price pursuant to the New York Attorney General's claims towards iFinex Inc., operator of Bitfinex. As a result, the exchanges contributing to the Reference Price was reduced from ten to nine.

²¹ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 2.

Services Businesses are charged with various responsibilities including:²²

- Identifying people with ownership stakes or controlling roles in the MSB;
- Establishing a formal Anti-Money Laundering (AML) policy in place with documentation, training, independent review, and a named compliance officer;
- Having strict customer identification and verification policies and procedures;
- Filing Suspicious Activity Reports (SARs) for suspicious customer transactions;
- Filing Currency Transaction Reports (CTRs) for cash-in or cash-out transactions greater than \$10,000; and
- Maintaining a five-year record of currency exchanges greater than \$1,000 and money transfers greater than \$3,000.

The six exchanges that are regulated by the New York State Department of Financial Services ("NYDFS") under the BitLicense program have additional obligations, including the following:²³

- Submission of audited financial statements including income statements, statement of assets/liabilities, insurance, and banking.
- Capitalization requirements set at NYDFS's discretion.
- Full reserves of custodian assets selling/encumbering prohibited.
- Fingerprints and photographs of employees with access to customer funds.
- Qualified Chief Information Security Officer and annual penetration testing/audits.
- Documented business continuity and disaster recovery plan, independently tested annually.
- Independent exam by NYDFS.
- Implementing measures designed to effectively detect, prevent, and respond to fraud, attempted fraud, and similar wrongdoing, including market manipulation, and to monitor, control, investigate and report back to the New York State Department of Financial Services any wrongdoing.²⁴

An Efficient, Well-Arbitraged Market

The Sponsor believes that, while the bitcoin market is commonly perceived to be disorderly and inefficient, when focused only on the ten exchanges

²² See BSA Requirements for MSBs, FinCEN website: <https://www.fincen.gov/bsa-requirements-msbs>.

²³ See "New York's Final 'BitLicense' Rule: Overview and Changes from July 2014 Proposal," June 5, 2015, Davis Polk, available at https://www.davispolk.com/files/new_yorks_final_bitlicense_rule_overview_changes_july_2014_proposal.pdf.

²⁴ See "DFS Takes Action to Deter Fraud and Manipulation in Virtual Currency Markets," available at <https://www.dfs.ny.gov/about/press/pr1802071.htm>.

referenced above, which it believes represent substantially all of the real spot trading volume in bitcoin, the bitcoin market is shown to be extraordinarily efficient, well-arbitrated, resilient and robust.

Bitwise notes that, from January 1, 2018, through March 17, 2019, the price of bitcoin on each of the ten exchanges has traded almost perfectly in-line.²⁵

The Bitwise Study further showed that the average deviation from the aggregate price from the ten exchanges ranged from 0.13% to 0.25% over this time period. It noted that this average deviation is well within the expected arbitrage band between these exchanges; many of these exchanges charge fees of up to 0.30% for trading, and one cannot expect average deviations below these exchanges to be arbitrated away.²⁶

In addition, the Bitwise Study showed that the existence of sustained deviations—defined as differences in price greater than 1% that lasted for more than 100 seconds—were extremely rare over the time period studied. In the histogram attached as Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], each sustained deviation is marked as a thin white line.²⁷

In sum, Bitwise believes that the Bitwise Study shows that the real market for bitcoin is extremely efficient and that arbitrage exists between and among the ten exchanges with real volume.

Bitwise further believes, as discussed above, that the efficiency of the market has improved dramatically over the past eighteen months. Bitwise further believes that the market is approaching the practical limit of these improvements, in that prices among different exchanges are nearly perfectly arbitrated, spreads are incredibly tight, and the market is liquid on a twenty four hour/seven day a week basis.

Protections Against Market Manipulation Specific to the Trust's Design

Bitwise believes that the specific design of the Trust's NAV calculation process, as described below, its exclusive use of in-kind creation/redemptions, and its decision to accrue all fees in bitcoin support its efforts to meet the concerns set forth in the Winklevoss Order.

Net Asset Value

According to the Registration Statement, the Trust's per Share NAV will be calculated by dividing the value

of the net assets of the Trust (*i.e.*, the value of its total assets less total liabilities) by the total number of Shares outstanding. The Trust's NAV will be calculated on each trading day on the Exchange. The Trust will compute its NAV as of 4:00 p.m. E.T. The Trust's NAV will be calculated only once each trading day. The Trust's daily NAV may be found at the Trust's website.

In calculating the NAV, the Trust relies on the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price, which is produced once per day at 4:00 p.m. E.T. using the methodology outlined below.

First, Bitwise tracks a universe of over 200 on-line cryptocurrency exchanges that purport to offer trading on bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies. Bitwise eliminates a significant portion of the exchanges based on a number of factors. Those factors include, but are not limited to:

- Eliminating exchanges that are domiciled in emerging market countries;
- Eliminating exchanges domiciled in countries that have capital controls;
- Eliminating exchanges that lack functioning and stable Application Programming Interfaces ("API") for the transmission of price and volume data;
- Eliminating exchanges which, in the judgment of Bitwise, have issues with significant downtime, problems with customers withdrawal abilities, or known security issues;
- Eliminating exchanges which, in the judgement of Bitwise, are or may be subject to extraordinary legal or regulatory activity; and
- Eliminating exchanges that do not have at least \$1 million in average daily trading volume for bitcoin-fiat or bitcoin-stablecoin trading pairs over the past calendar quarter.²⁸

In addition, on no less than a quarterly basis, the Bitwise Crypto Index Committee (the "Committee") reviews the actual published trading data of all exchanges that pass the above-mentioned screens. This further analysis includes bid/ask spreads, actual claimed executed trades with price and volume, and any other factors the Committee deems relevant. Exchanges that show persistent signs of artificial or inflated volume may be removed from the list of exchanges contributing prices to the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price and the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Price (the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price, or

"Bitcoin Price," is published once daily based on the procedures described herein and used for NAV calculation purposes, while the "Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Price" is published continuously for indicative purposes).

As a result of this screening process, Bitwise's list of exchanges currently used to price the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price narrows from over 200 considered exchanges down to ten.²⁹ Bitwise believes that these exchanges currently account for substantially all of the real, spot global volume of bitcoin traded on exchanges with economic intent, excluding capital-controlled countries, although both the number of exchanges and the percentage of global volume they represent is subject to change over time.

The Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price relies on the prices and volume reported on these ten exchanges. To calculate the price, Bitwise examines six five-minute periods leading up to 4:00 p.m. E.T. It then calculates an equal-weighted average of the volume-weighted median price of these six five-minute periods.³⁰

The Sponsor believes these procedures are designed to protect the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price and therefore the Trust's NAV from potential attempts at manipulation. Specifically, the Sponsor believes that using six consecutive five-minute segments over a thirty-minute period means malicious actors would need to sustain efforts to manipulate the market over an extended period of time, or would need to replicate efforts multiple times, potentially triggering review by exchange trading platforms, market participants, and regulators.

In addition, the use of a median price eliminates the ability of outlier prices to impact the NAV, as it systematically excludes those prices from the NAV calculation.³¹

The use of a volume-weighted median (as opposed to a traditional median) protects against attempts to manipulate the NAV by executing a large number of low-dollar trades, because, any manipulation attempt would have to involve a majority of global spot bitcoin volume in a five-minute window to have any influence on the NAV.³²

The use of ten exchanges³³ representing substantially all of the real global spot volume for bitcoin also mitigates against idiosyncratic exchange risk, as the failure of any individual

²⁸ The volume requirement described in the last bullet in the list above may be waived by Bitwise Index Services for otherwise qualified exchanges if they are in fact being currently used to price publicly-listed cryptocurrency investment products such as futures contracts, non-U.S. exchange-traded funds and non-U.S. exchange-traded notes.

²⁹ See note 20, *supra*.

³⁰ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 6.

³¹ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 7.

³² See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 8.

³³ See note 20, *supra*.

²⁵ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 3.

²⁶ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 4.

²⁷ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 5.

exchange will not materially impact pricing for the Trust. It also allows the Administrator to calculate the NAV in a manner that significantly deters manipulation. The fact that there are multiple exchanges contributing prices to the NAV also makes manipulation more difficult in a well-arbitrated and fractured market, as a malicious actor would need to manipulate multiple exchanges simultaneously or dramatically skew the historical distribution of volume between the various exchanges in order to impact the NAV. Capturing substantially all of the spot trading in bitcoin further increases the difficulty, since significantly more capital would be required in any attempt to influence the NAV and attempts to profit from that manipulation would be difficult.

Bitwise notes that the methodology for the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price is similar in many respects to the CME CF Bitcoin Reference Rate, which is the rate at which the CME bitcoin futures settle.³⁴

Indicative Fund Value

In order to provide updated information relating to the Trust for use by investors, market professionals and other market data vendors, the Exchange will calculate an updated “Intraday Indicative Value” (“IIV”). The IIV will be calculated by using the prior day’s closing net assets of the Trust as a base and updated throughout the Exchange’s Core Trading Session of 9:30 a.m. E.T. to 4:00 p.m. E.T. to reflect changes in the most recently reported price level of the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Price, as reported by Bloomberg, L.P. or another reporting service.

As stated, the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Price is calculated from the same exchanges as the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Rate, and also uses a volume-weighted median price methodology. Instead of equally weighting prices captured over six five-minute periods, however, the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Price uses only the last trade on each exchange, and uses the trailing 30-minute volume on those exchanges as the weighting factor.

The IIV will be disseminated on a per Share basis every 15 seconds during the Exchange’s Core Trading Session and will be widely disseminated by one or

more major market data vendors during the NYSE Arca Core Trading Session.

Creation and Redemption of Shares

According to the Registration Statement, the Trust intends to create and redeem Shares in one or more Creation Baskets. A Creation Basket is a block of 25,000 Shares of the Trust. Except when aggregated in Creation Units, the Shares are not redeemable securities.

Only Authorized Participants may purchase and redeem Creation Baskets. Authorized Participants must be (1) registered broker-dealers or other securities market participants, such as banks and other financial institutions, that are not required to register as broker-dealers to engage in securities transactions described below, and (2) the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) Participants. An Authorized Participant is an entity that has entered into an Authorized Participant Agreement with the Trust and the Sponsor.

Creation Procedures

On any business day, an Authorized Participant may place an order with the Marketing Agent to create one or more Creation Baskets. For purposes of processing both purchase and redemption orders, a “business day” means any day other than a day when the Exchange or the New York Stock Exchange is closed for regular trading.

All creation baskets are processed in-kind. By placing a purchase order, an Authorized Participant agrees to deposit bitcoin with the Trust. Prior to the delivery of baskets for a purchase order, the Authorized Participant must also have wired to the custodian the nonrefundable transaction fee due for the purchase order. Authorized Participants may not withdraw a creation request. If an Authorized Participant fails to consummate the foregoing, the order shall be cancelled.

Redemption Procedures

According to the Registration Statement, the procedures by which an Authorized Participant can redeem one or more baskets mirror the procedures for the creation of creation baskets. On any business day, an Authorized Participant may place an order with the Marketing Agent to redeem one or more baskets. A redemption order so received will be effective on the date it is received in satisfactory form by the Marketing Agent (“Redemption Order Date”). The redemption procedures allow Authorized Participants to redeem baskets and do not entitle an individual shareholder to redeem any shares in an amount less than a Creation Basket, or

to redeem baskets other than through an Authorized Participant.

By placing a redemption order, an Authorized Participant agrees to deliver the baskets to be redeemed through DTC’s book-entry system to the Trust not later than noon E.T. on the second business day following the effective date of the redemption order. Prior to the delivery of the redemption distribution for a redemption order, the Authorized Participant must also have wired to the Sponsor’s account at the custodian the non-refundable transaction fee due for the redemption order. An Authorized Participant may not withdraw a redemption order.

All redemption orders are processed in-kind. By placing a redemption order, an Authorized Participant agrees to receive bitcoin.

The manner by which redemptions are made is dictated by the terms of the Authorized Participant Agreement. If an Authorized Participant fails to consummate the foregoing, the order shall be cancelled.

Determination of Redemption Distribution

The redemption distribution from the Trust will consist of a transfer to the redeeming Authorized Participant of an amount of bitcoin that is in the same proportion to the total assets of the Trust (net of estimated accrued but unpaid fees, expenses and other liabilities) on the date the order to redeem is properly received as the number of shares to be redeemed under the redemption order is in proportion to the total number of shares outstanding on the date the order is received. The Sponsor, directly or in consultation with the Administrator, determines the requirements for bitcoin that may be included in distributions to redeem baskets. The Marketing Agent will publish an estimate of the redemption distribution per basket as of the beginning of each business day.

Fee Accrual

The Sponsor proposes to accrue all fees in bitcoin.

The Impact of the Exclusive Use of In-Kind Creations, Redemptions and Fee Accruals

Bitwise believes that the exclusive use of in-kind creations, redemptions and fee accruals, in all situations except when the Trust is required to liquidate, provides long-term investors in the Trust with significant, redundant and strong protection against attempts to manipulate the price of bitcoin in such a way as to impact the Bitwise Daily

³⁴ Bitwise notes that a detailed analysis on how a volume-weighted median pricing approach both theoretically and empirically protects against potential manipulation is available in the paper “Analysis of the CME CF Bitcoin Reference Rate and CME CF Bitcoin Real Time Index” by Andrew Paine and William J. Knottenbelt of the Imperial College Centre for Cryptocurrency Research and Engineering, November 14, 2016.

Bitcoin Reference Rate and therefore the NAV of the Trust.

That is because, while Bitwise believes that the NAV will accurately reflect the globally integrated price for bitcoin, and that that price is uniquely resistant to market manipulation, and acknowledges that this is important, it gains additional comfort that long-term investors in the Trust are protected from short-term attempts to manipulate that NAV by the Trust's exclusive use of in-kind creations, redemptions and fee accruals, because denominating those transactions exclusively in bitcoin ensures that the Trust maintains the appropriate amount of bitcoin-per-Share in all scenarios, even if the NAV or the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price were somehow to be manipulated.

How The Trust Meets Standards in the Winklevoss Order

The preceding information, both about the real nature of the bitcoin market and the structure of the Trust, informs the means by which Bitwise believes that the Trust meets the concerns and conditions set forth in the Winklevoss Order.

Regarding the first condition—namely, showing that the bitcoin market is uniquely resistant to manipulation—Bitwise believes that the digital nature of bitcoin makes it unique compared to other commodities in three important ways, which combine to provide unique protections against attempts to manipulate the market:

1. *Fungibility*: As mentioned, unlike other commodities (like oil, wheat or even gold), as mentioned, there are no varieties, purities or geographical delivery locations for a bitcoin.

2. *Transportability*: Bitcoin has no physical manifestation. As a result, it can be instantly transported from one location to another, anywhere in the world, at a cost approaching zero.

3. *Exchange Tradability*: Most commodities trade over-the-counter or rely on representative, derivative futures contracts because they lack the characteristics listed above. Bitcoin is unique in that the commodity itself trades directly on exchange, allowing for open price discovery.

These unique features allow the bitcoin market to be uniquely resistant to market manipulation in critical ways.

For example, Bitwise [sic] believes that the fact that bitcoin's price is set on the open market makes it uniquely resistant to manipulation compared to other commodities whose price is set by coordinated fix pricing. The Bitwise Study notes that many of the largest recent market manipulation scandals have been driven by coordinated fix

pricing, including those related to London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) (2012), Global Forex (2013), Gold Fix (2014), and the Australian Bank Bill Swap Rate (ASIC) (2016), among others. Bitwise believes that the fact that the bitcoin market engages in price discovery in an open, transparent and online setting introduces certain risks that must be considered and controlled through the careful design of the Trust, but notes that these risks can be weighed against the benefits that accrue to the public, transparent and open nature of that price discovery.

The Bitwise Study and related research also show that the fact that bitcoin uniquely has no physical delivery location renders it immune to another common form of attempted and successful commodity market manipulation. For instance, in May 2011, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission filed suit against three American and international trading firms for attempting to manipulate the price of oil by cornering or partially cornering the market for oil storage in Cushing, Oklahoma.³⁵ Cushing is the delivery point for the popular NYMEX WTI Crude Oil futures contract, the most liquid crude oil futures contract in the world, which is widely seen as the benchmark price for WTI crude oil in the U.S. While the price of the WTI contract is used as a proxy for the price of all WTI crude, just 5%–10% of U.S. crude oil storage is available in Cushing. This disconnect between the size of the storage market for the reference price contract and the much larger real market for WTI crude oil creates an opportunity for individuals and firms to attempt to profit from artificially manipulating the relatively small market for crude oil storage while holding broader positions in the underlying physical commodity. Because bitcoin itself trades on exchanges and does so at a globally integrated price, these types of attempts at market manipulation are not possible, because there is no narrowly constructed representative price with a physical storage limitation that can be manipulated.

Other factors further contribute to the unique resistance to market manipulation that exists in the bitcoin market. For instance, as described above, the fact that bitcoin is fungible and transportable means that bitcoin trades at a single price on real exchanges around the world, and that

extremely effective arbitrage is in place between those exchanges. Because there is a single global price for bitcoin, any attempt to manipulate the market must involve a non-trivial amount of the total global liquidity, which makes it more difficult to achieve and significantly more risky to attempt.

In addition, the fact that bitcoin itself (and not some derivative of it) is traded on exchanges means profiting from any such market manipulation would be difficult. The Trust's NAV captures substantially all of the spot bitcoin trading volume in the world, and the Trust's NAV is designed in a volume-weighted way, meaning attempts to manipulate must involve a majority of trading volume over a significant period of time.

Further, Bitwise believes that the fact that bitcoin is fungible and transportable has allowed a distributed market to emerge, which provides unique resistance to market manipulation given the factors identified above. Bitwise's research notes that no single exchange represents the majority of real trading volume on the bitcoin market, and that volume is spread amongst ten different exchanges. This contributes to bitcoin's unique resistance to market manipulation, as any attempt to manipulate the market must either be coordinated synchronously across multiple exchanges or must involve a significant spike of volume on a single exchange (an action that would trigger review in the Trust's NAV process). Bitwise notes that there is a carefully designed lag between the strike time of the NAV (4:00 p.m. E.T.) and the time that the NAV is distributed (approximately 5:30 p.m. E.T.), which allows time for Bitwise Index Services to review contributed prices in both an algorithmic and manual way to ensure that no anomalous behavior exists.

Bitwise further believes that the unique design of the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Rate, and, therefore, the NAV—as well as the Trust's exclusive use of in-kind creations and redemptions, and its decision to accrue all fees in bitcoin—provide additional unique resistance to any short-term attempts at market manipulation for the reasons described above.

A Significant, Regulated and Surveilled Market Exists and Is Closely Connected With Spot Market for Bitcoin

In the Winklevoss Order, the Commission laid out both the need for and the definition of a surveilled market of significant size. Specifically, the Commission explained that:

³⁵ See "U.S. Suit Sees Manipulation of Oil Trades" by Graham Bowley, May 24, 2011, *The New York Times*, available at <https://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/25/business/global/25oil.html?ref=todayspaper>.

[for the] commodity-trust ETPs approved to date for listing and trading, there has been in every case at least one significant, regulated market for trading futures on the underlying commodity—whether gold, silver, platinum, palladium, or copper—and the ETP listing exchange has entered into surveillance-sharing agreements with, or held Intermarket Surveillance Group membership in common with, that market.³⁶

Further, the Commission stated that the Commission interprets terms “significant market” and “market of significant size” to include:

a market (or group of markets) as to which (a) there is a reasonable likelihood that a person attempting to manipulate the ETP would also have to trade on that market to successfully manipulate the ETP, so that a surveillance-sharing agreement would assist the ETP listing market in detecting and deterring misconduct, and (b) it is unlikely that trading in the ETP would be the predominant influence on prices in that market.³⁷

Bitwise believes that, in light of a better understanding of the true size of the spot bitcoin market, the combined CME/CFE futures market represents a large, surveilled and regulated market, as required above. Over the time period covered in the Bitcoin Study, the average daily volume of the bitcoin futures market was \$91 million. While this appears tiny in relation to the reported volume of \$6 billion, it is meaningful in relation to the actual volume of \$273 million.³⁸

In addition, the CME futures market is larger than all but one spot bitcoin exchange and nearly as large as the largest bitcoin exchange.³⁹

The Bitwise Study found that the prices on the CME and CFE futures markets are closely aligned with the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price on a once-a-day basis, and with the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Price on an intraday basis. This follows logically, given that the CME futures settlement price is based on prices pulled from four of the ten exchanges that contribute to the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price and the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Rate, and the CFE futures settlement price is based on prices pulled from one of the ten exchanges that contribute to the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price and the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Rate. The tightness-of-fit between the two prices is limited by the term structure of the futures contract and the asymmetric cost of hedging a futures position—it is less expensive to hedge a short position

in bitcoin futures than it is to hedge a long position in bitcoin futures. Nonetheless, the connection between the two prices is strong and arbitrage exists between the two prices.

Given the significant size of the CME and CFE futures markets (or the CME futures market in isolation), and the close relationship in prices between the derivatives market and the spot market, there is a reasonable likelihood that a person attempting to manipulate the ETP would also have to trade on that market to successfully manipulate the ETP, since arbitrage between the derivative and spot markets would tend to counter an attempt to manipulate the spot market alone. As a result, the Exchange’s ability to obtain information regarding trading in the Shares and futures from markets and other entities that are members of the Intermarket Trading Group (“ISG”), which includes the CME and CFE, would assist the ETP listing market in detecting and deterring misconduct.

Impact on the Spot Market for Bitcoin

In the Winklevoss Order, the Commission noted that it wanted to see a market where “it is unlikely that trading in the ETP would be the predominant influence on prices in that market”.⁴⁰ While future inflows to the proposed Trust cannot be predicted, to provide comparable data, Bitwise examined total net inflows in the first year of existence for two types of ETPs: Commodity ETPs that were first to market in the U.S. and blockchain ETFs. Bitwise found that one year net inflows ranged from \$2 million to approximately \$3 billion for the ETPs meeting that definition.⁴¹

Given the size of these inflows versus the size of the real bitcoin market (\$273 million in average daily volume), Bitwise believes that it is unlikely that trading in the ETP would become the predominant influence on prices in that market.

Conclusion Regarding Standards in the Winklevoss Order

In summary, the Commission articulated two ways that a proposed bitcoin ETP could meet the standards set forth in the Winklevoss Order. The Commission explained that the proposed ETP must show either that the underlying market for bitcoin is uniquely resistant to market manipulation, and/or that a surveilled derivatives market of significant size existed alongside that market. Bitwise believes that the information presented

above attempts to address those concerns, showing both the ways in which the bitcoin market (as the first digital commodity) is uniquely resistant to market manipulation, and that the CME and CFE are large, surveilled and regulated markets that fulfill the requirements for surveillance sharing. Bitwise further believes that the careful construction of the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price (and the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Price), and thereby the NAV (and IIV), the decision to process all creations and redemptions in-kind, and the decision to accrue all fees in-kind, provide additional protections against attempts to manipulate the spot market for bitcoin.

Availability of Information Regarding Bitcoin

The NAV for the Trust’s Shares will be disseminated daily to all market participants at the same time.

Quotation and last-sale information regarding the Shares will be disseminated through the facilities of the CTA. The IIV will be available through online information services.

In addition, the Trust’s website will display the applicable end of day closing NAV. The daily holdings of the Trust will be available on the Trust’s website before 9:30 a.m. E.T. The Trust’s total portfolio composition will be disclosed each business day that NYSE Arca is open for trading, on the Trust’s website. The Trust’s website will also include a form of the prospectus for the Trust that may be downloaded. The website will include the Shares’ ticker and CUSIP information, along with additional quantitative information updated on a daily basis for the Trust. The Trust’s website will include (1) the prior business day’s trading volume, the prior business day’s reported NAV and closing price, and a calculation of the premium and discount of the closing price or mid-point of the bid/ask spread at the time of NAV calculation (“Bid/Ask Price”) against the NAV; and (2) data in chart format displaying the frequency distribution of discounts and premiums of the daily closing price or Bid/Ask Price against the NAV, within appropriate ranges, for at least each of the four previous calendar quarters. The Trust’s website will be publicly available prior to the public offering of Shares and accessible at no charge.

The spot price of bitcoin as reflected in the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price will also be available on a 24-hour basis from the Trust’s website.

Trading Halts

With respect to trading halts, the Exchange may consider all relevant

³⁶ Winklevoss Order at note 209 and accompanying text.

³⁷ Winklevoss Order, 83 FR at 37594.

³⁸ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 9.

³⁹ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 10.

⁴⁰ See Winklevoss Order, 83 FR at 37594.

⁴¹ See Exhibit 3 [to Amendment No. 1], Item 11.

factors in exercising its discretion to halt or suspend trading in the Shares of the Trust.⁴² Trading in Shares of the Trust will be halted if the circuit breaker parameters in NYSE Arca Rule 7.12-E have been reached. Trading also may be halted because of market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading in the Shares inadvisable.

The Exchange may halt trading during the day in which an interruption to the dissemination of the IIV occurs.⁴³ If the interruption to the dissemination of the IIV or the value of the Index persists past the trading day in which it occurred, the Exchange will halt trading no later than the beginning of the trading day following the interruption. In addition, if the Exchange becomes aware that the NAV with respect to the Shares is not disseminated to all market participants at the same time, it will halt trading in the Shares until such time as the NAV is available to all market participants.

Trading Rules

The Exchange deems the Shares to be equity securities, thus rendering trading in the Shares subject to the Exchange's existing rules governing the trading of equity securities. Shares will trade on the NYSE Arca Marketplace from 4 a.m. to 8 p.m. E.T. in accordance with NYSE Arca Rule 7.34-E (Early, Core, and Late Trading Sessions). The Exchange has appropriate rules to facilitate transactions in the Shares during all trading sessions. As provided in NYSE Arca Rule 7.6-E, the minimum price variation ("MPV") for quoting and entry of orders in equity securities traded on the NYSE Arca Marketplace is \$0.01, with the exception of securities that are priced less than \$1.00 for which the MPV for order entry is \$0.0001.

Further, NYSE Arca Rule 8.201-E sets forth certain restrictions on Equity Trading Permit Holders acting as registered Market Makers in the Shares to facilitate surveillance. Under NYSE Arca Rule 8.201-E(g), an Equity Trading Permit Holder acting as a registered Market Maker in the Shares is required to provide the Exchange with information relating to its trading in the underlying commodity, related futures or options on futures, or any other related derivatives. Commentary .04 of NYSE Arca Rule 11.3-E requires an Equity Trading Permit Holder acting as a registered Market Maker, and its affiliates, in the Shares to establish,

maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent the misuse of any material nonpublic information with respect to such products, any components of the related products, any physical asset or commodity underlying the product, applicable currencies, underlying indexes, related futures or options on futures, and any related derivative instruments (including the Shares).

As a general matter, the Exchange has regulatory jurisdiction over its Equity Trading Permit Holders and their associated persons, which include any person or entity controlling an Equity Trading Permit Holder. A subsidiary or affiliate of an Equity Trading Permit Holder that does business only in commodities or futures contracts would not be subject to Exchange jurisdiction, but the Exchange could obtain information regarding the activities of such subsidiary or affiliate through surveillance sharing agreements with regulatory organizations of which such subsidiary or affiliate is a member.

Surveillance

The Exchange represents that trading in the Shares of the Trust will be subject to the existing trading surveillances administered by the Exchange, as well as cross-market surveillances administered by FINRA on behalf of the Exchange, which are designed to detect violations of Exchange rules and applicable federal securities laws.⁴⁴ The Exchange represents that these procedures are adequate to properly monitor Exchange trading of the Shares in all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and federal securities laws applicable to trading on the Exchange.

The surveillances referred to above generally focus on detecting securities trading outside their normal patterns, which could be indicative of manipulative or other violative activity. When such situations are detected, surveillance analysis follows and investigations are opened, where appropriate, to review the behavior of all relevant parties for all relevant trading violations.

The Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, will communicate as needed regarding trading in the Shares and bitcoin futures with other markets and other entities that are members of the ISG, and the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading

information regarding trading in the Shares and bitcoin futures from such markets and other entities. In addition, the Exchange may obtain information regarding trading in the Shares from markets and other entities that are members of ISG (including the CME and CFE) or with which the Exchange has in place a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement ("CSSA").⁴⁵

Also, pursuant to NYSE Arca Rule 8.201-E(g), the Exchange is able to obtain information regarding trading in the Shares and the underlying bitcoin through ETP Holders acting as registered "Market Makers", in connection with such ETP Holders' proprietary or customer trades through ETP Holders which they effect on any relevant market.

In addition, the Exchange also has a general policy prohibiting the distribution of material, non-public information by its employees.

All statements and representations made in this filing regarding (a) the description of the portfolios of the Trust or the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price, (b) limitations on portfolio holdings, reference assets or the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price, or (c) the applicability of Exchange listing rules specified in this rule filing shall constitute continued listing requirements for listing the Shares on the Exchange.

The issuer has represented to the Exchange that it will advise the Exchange of any failure by the Trust to comply with the continued listing requirements, and, pursuant to its obligations under Section 19(g)(1) of the Act, the Exchange will monitor for compliance with the continued listing requirements. If the Trust is not in compliance with the applicable listing requirements, the Exchange will commence delisting procedures under NYSE Arca Rule 5.5-E(m).

Information Bulletin

Prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange will inform its ETP Holders in an Information Bulletin of the special characteristics and risks associated with trading the Shares. Specifically, the Information Bulletin will discuss the following: (1) The risks involved in trading the Shares during the Early and Late Trading Sessions when an updated IIV will not be calculated or publicly disseminated; (2) the procedures for purchases and redemptions of Shares in Creation Units

⁴² See NYSE Arca Rule 7.12-E.

⁴³ A limit up/limit down condition in the futures market would not be considered an interruption requiring the Trust to be halted.

⁴⁴ FINRA conducts cross-market surveillances on behalf of the Exchange pursuant to a regulatory services agreement. The Exchange is responsible for FINRA's performance under this regulatory services agreement.

⁴⁵ For a list of the current members of ISG, see www.isgportal.org. The Exchange notes that not all components of the Trust may trade on markets that are members of ISG or with which the Exchange has in place a CSSA.

(and that Shares are not individually redeemable); (3) NYSE Arca Rule 9.2–E(a), which imposes a duty of due diligence on its ETP Holders to learn the essential facts relating to every customer prior to trading the Shares; (4) how information regarding the IIV is disseminated; (5) how information regarding portfolio holdings is disseminated; (6) the requirement that ETP Holders deliver a prospectus to investors purchasing newly issued Shares prior to or concurrently with the confirmation of a transaction; (7) trading information; and (8) NYSE Arca suitability rules.

The Information Bulletin will also discuss any exemptive, no-action, and interpretive relief granted by the Commission from any rules under the Act. In addition, the Information Bulletin will reference that the Trust is subject to various fees and expenses described in the Registration Statement.

The Information Bulletin will also disclose the trading hours of the Shares that the NAV for the Shares will be calculated after 4:00 p.m. E.T. each trading day. The Information Bulletin will disclose that information about the Shares will be publicly available on the Trust's website.

2. Statutory Basis

The basis under the Act for this proposed rule change is the requirement under Section 6(b)(5)⁴⁶ that an exchange have rules that are designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices and to protect investors and the public interest in that the Shares will be listed and traded on the Exchange pursuant to the initial and continued listing criteria in NYSE Arca Rule 8.201–E. As discussed above, bitcoin trades in a well-arbitrated and distributed market that is significantly smaller, more orderly, and more regulated than commonly reported. As a result, as discussed above, any attempts at manipulation must involve a large share of global bitcoin volume, which would be substantially difficult to achieve. Accordingly, the notional size of the regulated, surveilled CME and CFE bitcoin futures markets (or even the CME market in isolation) is larger than all but one of the ten spot bitcoin

exchanges, and is nearly as big as the largest exchange. In addition, prices on the CME and CFE futures markets are closely related to prices on the bitcoin spot market, and arbitrage between those prices is well-established. Given the significant size of the CME and CFE futures market, and the close relationship in prices between the derivatives market and the spot market, there is a reasonable likelihood that a person attempting to manipulate the ETP would also have to trade on that market to successfully manipulate the ETP, since arbitrage between the derivative and spot markets would tend to counter an attempt to manipulate the spot market alone. As a result, the fact that the CME and CFE are ISG members would assist the Exchange in detecting and deterring misconduct.⁴⁷

The Exchange has in place surveillance procedures that are adequate to properly monitor trading in the Shares in all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and applicable federal securities laws. The Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, will communicate as needed regarding trading in the Shares and bitcoin futures with other markets and other entities that are members of the ISG, and the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading information regarding trading in the Shares from such markets and other entities. In addition, the Exchange may obtain information regarding trading in the Shares from markets and other entities that are members of ISG or with which the Exchange has in place a CSSA. The Exchange is also able to obtain information regarding trading in the Shares and bitcoin futures or the underlying bitcoin through ETP Holders, in connection with such ETP Holders' proprietary or customer trades which they effect through ETP Holders on any relevant market.

Quotation and last-sale information regarding the Shares will be disseminated through the facilities of the CTA. The Trust's website will also include a form of the prospectus for the Trust that may be downloaded. The website will include the Shares' ticker and CUSIP information, along with additional quantitative information updated on a daily basis for the Trust. The Trust's website will include (1) daily trading volume, the prior business day's reported NAV and closing price, and a calculation of the premium and discount of the closing price or midpoint of the Bid/Ask Price against the NAV; and (2) data in chart format

displaying the frequency distribution of discounts and premiums of the daily closing price or Bid/Ask Price against the NAV, within appropriate ranges, for at least each of the four previous calendar quarters. The Trust's website will be publicly available prior to the public offering of Shares and accessible at no charge.

Moreover, prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange will inform its ETP Holders in an Information Bulletin of the special characteristics and risks associated with trading the Shares. The Information Bulletin will also discuss any exemptive, no-action, and interpretive relief granted by the Commission from any rules under the Act. In addition, the Information Bulletin will reference that the Trust is subject to various fees and expenses described in the Registration Statement. The Information Bulletin will also disclose the trading hours of the Shares and that the NAV for the Shares will be calculated after 4:00 p.m. E.T. each trading day. The Information Bulletin will disclose that information about the Shares will be publicly available on the Trust's website.

Trading in Shares of the Trust will be halted if the circuit breaker parameters in NYSE Arca Rule 7.12–E have been reached or because of market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading in the Shares inadvisable.

The proposed rule change is designed to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest in that it will facilitate the listing and trading of a new type of exchange-traded product based on the price of bitcoin that will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace. As noted above, the Exchange has in place surveillance procedures that are adequate to properly monitor trading in the Shares in all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and applicable federal securities laws.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change will facilitate the listing and trading of a new type of Commodity-Based Trust Share based on the price of bitcoin that will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace.

⁴⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁴⁷ See note 15, *supra*.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Proceedings To Determine Whether To Approve or Disapprove SR–NYSEArca–2019–01 and Grounds for Disapproval Under Consideration

The Commission is instituting proceedings pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act⁴⁸ to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved. Institution of such proceedings is appropriate at this time in view of the legal and policy issues raised by the proposed rule change. Institution of proceedings does not indicate that the Commission has reached any conclusions with respect to any of the issues involved. Rather, as described below, the Commission seeks and encourages interested persons to provide comments on the proposed rule change.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act,⁴⁹ the Commission is providing notice of the grounds for disapproval under consideration. The Commission is instituting proceedings to allow for additional analysis of the proposed rule change's consistency with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be "designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade," and "to protect investors and the public interest."⁵⁰

IV. Procedure: Request for Written Comments

The Commission requests that interested persons provide written submissions of their views, data, and arguments with respect to the issues identified above, as well as any other concerns they may have with the proposal. In particular, the Commission invites the written views of interested persons concerning whether the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) or any other provision of the Act, or the rules and regulations thereunder. Although there do not appear to be any issues relevant to approval or disapproval that would be facilitated by an oral presentation of views, data, and arguments, the Commission will consider, pursuant to Rule 19b–4, any

request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation.⁵¹

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments regarding whether the proposal should be approved or disapproved by June 11, 2019. Any person who wishes to file a rebuttal to any other person's submission must file that rebuttal by June 25, 2019. The Commission asks that commenters address the sufficiency of the Exchange's statements in support of the proposal, which are set forth in Amendment No. 1,⁵² in addition to any other comments they may wish to submit about the proposed rule change. In particular, the Commission seeks comment on the following questions and asks commenters to submit data where appropriate to support their views:

1. What are commenters' views on the assertions by the Exchange and the Sponsor that bitcoin is uniquely resistant to manipulation?⁵³ What are commenters' views on the Sponsor's analysis as described by the Bitwise Presentation, and by the Exchange in Amendment No. 1, including the factual basis for the assertions made and the selection of the trading periods analyzed?

2. What are commenters' views on the assertions by the Exchange and the Sponsor regarding the nature of the market for bitcoin, including the efficiency of that market, the susceptibility of that market to manipulation, and the ways in which that market is, or is not, similar to the markets for other commodities?

3. What are commenters' views on the assertion by the Exchange and the Sponsor that a significant, regulated and surveilled market for bitcoin futures exists and that it is closely connected with the spot market for bitcoin? What are commenters' views on whether there is a reasonable likelihood that a person attempting to manipulate the Shares would also have to trade in the bitcoin futures market to manipulate the Shares? What are commenters' views on whether it is likely that trading in the

Shares would be the predominant influence on prices in the bitcoin futures market?

4. What are commenters' views on the relationship between the bitcoin futures market and the bitcoin spot market? For example, what is the relative size of these markets, and where does bitcoin price formation occur? Does the market, spot or futures, in which price formation occurs affect commenters' analysis of whether it is reasonably likely that someone attempting to manipulate the Shares would be reasonably likely to have to trade in the bitcoin futures market, or that trading in the Shares would be the predominant influence on prices in the bitcoin futures market? To what extent, if at all, do recent developments in the bitcoin futures market—namely, the cessation of new bitcoin futures contract trading on the Chicago Futures Exchange— affect commenters' analysis of these questions?

5. What are commenters' views on whether the Exchange could enter into surveillance-sharing agreements with regulated spot markets of significant size related to bitcoin?

6. What are commenters' views on the Sponsor's assertions that a large percentage of publicly reported spot volume in bitcoin is "fake" or "non-economic in nature"? What are commenters' views on the method by which the Sponsor purports to distinguish "real" bitcoin trading volume from "fake" bitcoin trading volume? What are commenters' views on the Sponsor's estimate of the average daily "real" volume of trading in the bitcoin spot market?

7. What are commenters' views on the Sponsor's assertion that the 10 identified bitcoin trading venues represent "substantially all of the economically significant bitcoin trading volume in the world (outside of capital-controlled countries)"? What are commenters' views on whether over-the-counter trading in bitcoin is economically significant, and what are commenters' views on the share of bitcoin spot trading that takes place in the over-the-counter market? Does economically significant bitcoin spot trading occur elsewhere?

8. What are commenters' views on the effectiveness of arbitrage among the 10 bitcoin trading venues identified by the Sponsor? What are commenters' views on whether the price of bitcoin on these venues can be affected by activity on other bitcoin trading venues, including other centralized trading venues, the over-the-counter market, or bitcoin derivatives markets? What are commenters' views on the Sponsor's

⁴⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁴⁹ *Id.*

⁵⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁵¹ Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, as amended by the Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Public Law 94–29 (June 4, 1975), grants the Commission flexibility to determine what type of proceeding—either oral or notice and opportunity for written comments—is appropriate for consideration of a particular proposal by a self-regulatory organization. See Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Senate Comm. on Banking, Housing & Urban Affairs, S. Rep. No. 75, 94th Cong., 1st Sess. 30 (1975).

⁵² See *supra* note 6.

⁵³ The Sponsor made a number of representations to the Commission in a presentation dated March 19, 2019 ("Bitwise Presentation"). See *supra* note 15.

description of the bitcoin market as a “globally integrated market for a fungible good”?

9. What are commenters’ views on the degree to which each of the 10 identified bitcoin trading venues is subject to regulation? What are commenters’ views on the extent to which each of these venues can, or does, conduct surveillance of bitcoin trading activity?

10. What are commenters’ views on the methodologies by which the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price and the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Reference Price are calculated? What are commenters’ views on the role of the Bitwise Crypto Index Committee in determining which trading venues will contribute prices to the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price and the Bitwise Real-Time Bitcoin Reference Price?

11. What are commenters’ views on the use of the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price to calculate the net asset value of the Shares? What are commenters’ views on the alternative valuation methods proposed by the Sponsor? What are commenters’ views on whether any of these pricing mechanisms, primary or alternate, would be affected by, or resistant to, manipulative activity in bitcoin markets?

12. The Exchange represents that, as of April 26, 2019, the Bitwise Crypto Index Committee removed Bitfinex from the list of trading venues that contribute prices to derive the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price. The Exchange states that this action was taken “pursuant to the New York Attorney General’s claims towards iFinex Inc., operator of Bitfinex.” What are commenters’ views on whether the removal of Bitfinex—which the Sponsor asserts is a “real” trading venue—from the calculation of the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price might affect the reliability or accuracy of that price? Does the removal of the Bitfinex venue from the calculation of this reference price because of regulatory or legal activity affect commenters’ views of the Sponsor’s screening process for bitcoin trading venues or its general distinction between “real” and “fake” bitcoin trading volume? Does the removal of the Bitfinex venue from the calculation of this reference price affect commenters’ views of whether it is appropriate to use the Bitwise Daily Bitcoin Reference Price to calculate the net asset value of the Shares?

13. What are commenters’ views on the Sponsor’s assertions regarding how bitcoin trading versus Tether compares to or might affect bitcoin pricing more generally? What are commenters’ views

on whether bitcoin trading versus Tether might affect the calculation of the net asset value of the Shares?

14. What are commenters’ views on the Sponsor’s assertions that the proposed in-kind creation and redemption mechanism and payment of Trust expenses directly in bitcoin would insulate holders of the Shares from harm resulting from manipulation of the Shares’ net asset value?

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEArca–2019–01 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2019–01. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2019–01 and should be submitted by June 11, 2019. Rebuttal comments should be submitted by June 25, 2019.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵⁴

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–10351 Filed 5–20–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: 2:00 p.m. on Thursday, May 23, 2019.

PLACE: The meeting will be held at the Commission’s headquarters, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549.

STATUS: This meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters also may be present.

In the event that the time, date, or location of this meeting changes, an announcement of the change, along with the new time, date, and/or place of the meeting will be posted on the Commission’s website at <https://www.sec.gov>.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3), (5), (6), (7), (8), 9(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (a)(5), (a)(6), (a)(7), (a)(8), (a)(9)(ii) and (a)(10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the closed meeting.

The subject matters of the closed meeting will consist of the following topics:

Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;

Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings; Resolution of litigation claims; and

Other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting agenda items that may consist of adjudicatory, examination, litigation, or regulatory matters.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

For further information; please contact Vanessa A. Countryman from the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

⁵⁴ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12) & 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(57).