that the Caltrans has taken final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1) by issuing licenses, permits, and approvals for the following highway project in the State of California: The project proposes to make improvements to State Route (SR) 133 in both directions from just south of El Toro Road to SR-73 between Post Miles 3.1 and R4.1 in Laguna Beach. The project will make drainage improvements, widen the shoulders, add a Class II bike lane, and underground overhead utilities. The project also includes safety improvements from 1,700 feet (ft) south of El Toro Road to 1,200 ft north of El Toro Road. The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Environmental Assessment/ Finding of No Significant Impact (EA/ FONSI) for the project, approved on October 1, 2018. The EA/FONSI and other project records are available by contacting Caltrans at the addresses provided above. The Caltrans EA/FONSI can be viewed and downloaded from the project website at: http:// www.dot.ca.gov/d12/DEA/133/0P94U (web address is case-sensitive, use capital letters as indicated).

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

not innited to.

- General: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321– 4351)
- 2. Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q))
- 3. Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703–712)
- 4. Historic and Cultural Resources: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470(f) et seq.)
- 5. Clean Water Act (Section 401) (33 U.S.C. 1251–1377)
- 6. Federal Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531–1543)
- 7. Executive Order 11990—Protection of Wetlands
- 8. Department of Transportation Act of 1966, Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. 303)
- 9. Executive Order 13112—Invasive Species
- 10. Historic Sites Act of 1935;
- 11. Executive Order 13112, Invasive Species; and
- 12. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.) Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(1)(1).

#### Tashia J. Clemons,

Director, Planning and Environment, Federal Highway Administration, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 2018–24543 Filed 11–8–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2018-0017]

# **Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision**

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of final disposition.

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces its decision to exempt 12 individuals from the vision requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) to operate a commercial motor vehicle (CMV) in interstate commerce. They are unable to meet the vision requirement in one eye for various reasons. The exemptions enable these individuals to operate CMVs in interstate commerce without meeting the vision requirement in one eye.

**DATES:** The exemptions were applicable on October 11, 2018. The exemptions expire on October 11, 2020.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Christine A. Hydock, Chief, Medical Programs Division, (202) 366–4001, fmcsamedical@dot.gov, FMCSA, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W64–224, Washington, DC 20590–0001. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. If you have questions regarding viewing or submitting material to the docket, contact Docket Services, telephone (202) 366–9826.

### I. Public Participation

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Viewing Documents and Comments

To view comments, as well as any documents mentioned in this notice as being available in the docket, go to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>. Insert the docket number, FMCSA–2018–0017, in the keyword box, and click "Search." Next, click the "Open Docket Folder" button and choose the document to review. If you do not have access to the internet, you may view the docket online by visiting the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the Ground Floor of the DOT West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE,

Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### B. Privacy Act

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL–14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

#### II. Background

On September 10, 2018, FMCSA published a notice announcing receipt of applications from 12 individuals requesting an exemption from vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) and requested comments from the public (83 FR 45750). The public comment period ended on October 10, 2018, and two comments were received.

FMCSA has evaluated the eligibility of these applicants and determined that granting the exemptions to these individuals would achieve a level of safety equivalent to or greater than the level that would be achieved by complying with the current regulation

49 CFR 391.41(b)(10).

The physical qualification standard for drivers regarding vision found in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) states that a person is physically qualified to drive a CMV if that person has distant visual acuity of at least 20/40 (Snellen) in each eye without corrective lenses or visual acuity separately corrected to 20/40 (Snellen) or better with corrective lenses, distant binocular acuity of a least 20/40 (Snellen) in both eves with or without corrective lenses, field of vision of at least 70° in the horizontal meridian in each eye, and the ability to recognize the colors of traffic signals and devices showing red, green, and amber.

#### **III. Discussion of Comments**

FMCSA received two comments in this proceeding. Vicky Johnson of the Minnesota Department of Public Safety has no objections to Nathanael Lee or James Wright being granted an exemption. Valerie Chung agrees with FMCSA's decision to grant exemptions to the drivers listed in this notice, and supports the determination that doing so is likely to achieve an equivalent or greater level of safety than would be achieved without the exemption.

#### **IV. Basis for Exemption Determination**

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may grant an exemption for up to five years from the vision standard in

49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) if the exemption is likely to achieve an equivalent or greater level of safety than would be achieved without the exemption. The exemption allows applicants to operate CMVs in interstate commerce. FMCSA grants exemptions from the FMCSRs for a two-year period to align with the maximum duration of a driver's medical certification.

The Agency's decision regarding these exemption applications is based on medical reports about the applicants' vision as well as their driving records and experience driving with the vision deficiency. The qualifications, experience, and medical condition of each applicant were stated and discussed in detail in the September 10, 2018, **Federal Register** notice (83 FR 45750) and will not be repeated in this notice.

FMCSA recognizes that some drivers do not meet the vision requirement but have adapted their driving to accommodate their limitation and demonstrated their ability to drive safely. The 12 exemption applicants listed in this notice are in this category. They are unable to meet the vision requirement in one eye for various reasons, including amblyopia, enucleation, macular hole, macular scar, prosthesis, retinal detachment, and retinal scar. In most cases, their eve conditions were not recently developed. Six of the applicants were either born with their vision impairments or have had them since childhood. The six individuals that sustained their vision conditions as adults have had it for a range of 3 to 20 years. Although each applicant has one eye which does not meet the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), each has at least 20/40corrected vision in the other eye, and in a doctor's opinion, has sufficient vision to perform all the tasks necessary to operate a CMV.

Doctors' opinions are supported by the applicants' possession of a valid license to operate a CMV. By meeting State licensing requirements, the applicants demonstrated their ability to operate a CMV, with their limited vision in intrastate commerce, even though their vision disqualified them from driving in interstate commerce. We believe that the applicants' intrastate driving experience and history provide an adequate basis for predicting their ability to drive safely in interstate commerce. Intrastate driving, like interstate operations, involves substantial driving on highways on the interstate system and on other roads built to interstate standards. Moreover, driving in congested urban areas exposes the driver to more pedestrian

and vehicular traffic than exists on interstate highways. Faster reaction to traffic and traffic signals is generally required because distances between them are more compact. These conditions tax visual capacity and driver response just as intensely as interstate driving conditions.

The applicants in this notice have driven CMVs with their limited vision in careers ranging for 3 to 80 years. In the past three years, no drivers were involved in crashes, and no drivers were convicted of moving violations in CMVs. All the applicants achieved a record of safety while driving with their vision impairment, demonstrating the likelihood that they have adapted their driving skills to accommodate their condition. As the applicants' ample driving histories with their vision deficiencies are good predictors of future performance, FMCSA concludes their ability to drive safely can be projected into the future.

Consequently, FMCSA finds that in each case exempting these applicants from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) is likely to achieve a level of safety equal to that existing without the exemption.

#### V. Conditions and Requirements

The terms and conditions of the exemption are provided to the applicants in the exemption document and includes the following: (1) Each driver must be physically examined every year (a) by an ophthalmologist or optometrist who attests that the vision in the better eye continues to meet the standard in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) and (b) by a certified Medical Examiner who attests that the individual is otherwise physically qualified under 49 CFR 391.41; (2) each driver must provide a copy of the ophthalmologist's or optometrist's report to the Medical Examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (3) each driver must provide a copy of the annual medical certification to the employer for retention in the driver's qualification file, or keep a copy in his/ her driver's qualification file if he/she is self-employed. The driver must also have a copy of the exemption when driving, for presentation to a duly authorized Federal. State, or local enforcement official.

#### VI. Preemption

During the period the exemption is in effect, no State shall enforce any law or regulation that conflicts with this exemption with respect to a person operating under the exemption.

#### VII. Conclusion

Based upon its evaluation of the 12 exemption applications, FMCSA exempts the following drivers from the vision requirement, 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), subject to the requirements cited above: John A. Edison (GA) Rodney P. Hains (ND) Darryl D. Kelley (TX) Thomas J. Knapp (WA) Darrell D. Kropf (CA) Nathanael Lee (MN) John G. Mudd (KY) Jeffrey Ridenhour (AR) John R. Russ II (NC) Gary A. Ulitsch (CT) Casev O. Wootan (MT) James C. Wright (MN)

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, each exemption will be valid for two years from the effective date unless revoked earlier by FMCSA. The exemption will be revoked if the following occurs: (1) The person fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained prior to being granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136 and 31315.

Issued on: November 1, 2018.

#### Larry W. Minor,

Associate Administrator for Policy.
[FR Doc. 2018–24553 Filed 11–8–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION** 

## Federal Motor Carrier Safety

Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA-2017-0270]

Hours of Service of Drivers: National Tank Truck Carriers, Massachusetts Motor Transportation Association; Exemption Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT. **ACTION:** Correction of exemption.

**SUMMARY:** FMCSA amends its April 9, 2018, Notice of Final Disposition granting a limited exemption to the National Tank Truck Carriers, Inc. (NTTC) and the Massachusetts Motor Transport Association, Inc. (MMTA) from the requirement that drivers of commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) obtain a 30-minute rest break. The Agency granted the limited exemption to drivers of CMVs transporting specified fuels, and failed to include propane gas as a specified fuel as