

A business analysis of the proposed new fee site has shown that people desire having a variety of recreation opportunities and experiences on the Flathead National Forest, such as lake-orientated developed campgrounds. A market analysis of surrounding recreation sites with similar amenities indicates that the proposed fee is comparable and reasonable.

Dated: July 5, 2018.

Glenn Casamassa,

Associate Deputy Chief, National Forest System.

[FR Doc. 2018-14911 Filed 7-11-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-18-2018]

Production Activity Not Authorized; Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 26—Atlanta, Georgia; PBR, Inc. d/b/a SKAPS Industries (Non-Woven Geotextiles); Athens, Georgia

On March 9, 2018, PBR, Inc. d/b/a SKAPS Industries (SKAPS) submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board for its facility within FTZ 26—Site 29, in Athens, Georgia.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (83 FR 13473, March 29, 2018). On July 9, 2018 the applicant was notified of the FTZ Board's decision that further review of the activity is warranted. The production activity described in the notification was not authorized. If the applicant wishes to seek authorization for this activity, it will need to submit an application for production authority, pursuant to Section 400.23.

Dated: July 9, 2018.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-14928 Filed 7-11-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-854]

Supercalendered Paper From Canada: Notice of Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2015 and 2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative reviews of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on supercalendered paper (SC paper) from Canada for the period of review August 3, 2015, through December 31, 2015, and the period of review January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016.

DATES: Applicable July 12, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Emily Halle or Nicholas Czajkowski, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0176 or (202) 482-1395, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 1, 2016, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the CVD order on SC paper from Canada for the period of review (POR) of August 3, 2015, through December 31, 2015.¹ Commerce received timely-filed requests from Verso Corporation (Verso); Catalyst Paper Corporation, Catalyst Pulp and Paper Sales Inc., and Catalyst Paper (USA) Inc. (collectively, Catalyst); Irving Paper Limited (Irving); Port Hawkesbury Paper LP (PHP); and Resolute FP Canada Inc and Resolute FP US Inc. (collectively, Resolute), in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), to conduct an administrative review of the CVD order.² Based upon this request, on

February 13, 2017, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, Commerce published a notice of initiation.³

On December 4, 2017, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the CVD order on SC paper from Canada for the period of review (POR) of January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016.⁴ Commerce received timely-filed requests from Verso Corporation, Irving Paper Limited, Port Hawkesbury Paper LP, and Resolute FP Canada Inc and Resolute FP US Inc., in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, to conduct an administrative review of the CVD order.⁵ Based upon this request, on February 23, 2018, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, Commerce published a notice of initiation.⁶

On July 5, 2018, Commerce revoked the CVD order on SC paper.⁷

Rescission of Administrative Reviews

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(g), Commerce revoked the CVD order on SC paper from Canada. The effective date of the revocation of the CVD order is August 3, 2015. As a result of the revocation, we instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to discontinue the suspension of liquidation and the collection of cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties, to liquidate all unliquidated entries that were entered on or after August 3, 2015, without regard to countervailing duties, and to refund all CVD cash deposits on all such merchandise, with applicable interest. These administrative reviews cover the period August 3, 2015 through December 31, 2015, and the period January 1, 2016 through December 31,

2016; see Letter from Resolute, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Request for Administrative Review (8/3/15-12/31/15)," December 19, 2016.

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 10457 (February 13, 2017).

⁴ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 83 FR 57219 (December 4, 2017).

⁵ See Letter from Verso, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Request for Administrative Review," December 29, 2017; see Letter from Irving, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada, Inv. No. C-122-854—Request for Administrative Review," December 15, 2017; see Letter from PHP, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Request for Administrative Review," December 20, 2017; see Letter from Resolute, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Request for Administrative Review (1/1/2016-12/31/2016)," December 21, 2017.

⁶ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 8058 (February 23, 2018).

⁷ See *Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation of Countervailing Duty Order*, signed July 5, 2018.

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 81 FR 86694 (December 1, 2016).

² See Letter from Verso, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Request for Administrative Review," January 3, 2017; see Letter from Catalyst, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Request for Administrative Review," December 9, 2016; see Letter from Irving, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada, Inv. No. C-122-854—Request for Administrative Review and Request for Expansion of Review Period," December 23, 2016; see Letter from PHP, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Request for Administrative Review," December 9,

2016. Because the revocation is retroactive to August 3, 2015, the periods covered by these ongoing administrative reviews are no longer subject to the CVD order, and there is no basis for conducting the administrative review. Therefore, Commerce is rescinding these administrative reviews.

Assessment

Because we ordered the liquidation of the entries subject to these administrative reviews, as a result of the revocation of the CVD order, there is no need to issue additional instructions to CBP.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 5, 2018.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2018-14922 Filed 7-11-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Intent To Rescind the Review in Part; 2016-2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review (AR) of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings and parts thereof, finished and unfinished (TRBs), from the People's Republic of China (China). The AR covers 20 exporters, of which Commerce selected two exporters for

individual examination (*i.e.*, GGB Bearing Technology (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. (GGB); and Luoyang Bearing Corporation (Group) (Luoyang)). The period of review (POR) is June 1, 2016, through May 31, 2017. We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise have been made below normal value (NV). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable July 12, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Medley, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4987.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes tapered roller bearings and parts thereof. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 8482.20.00, 8482.91.00.50, 8482.99.15, 8482.99.45, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.80, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, 8483.90.80, 8708.70.6060, 8708.99.2300, 8708.99.4850, 8708.99.6890, 8708.99.8115, and 8708.99.8180. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.¹

Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. For GGB, we calculated export prices in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because China is a non-market economy (NME) within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, for GGB, NV was calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. We preliminarily find that Luoyang is ineligible for a separate rate and is part of the China-wide entity.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and

¹ For a complete description of the scope of the order, *see* Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2016-2017 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, from the People's Republic of China" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), issued concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice.

Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the Appendix to this notice.

Rate for Non-Examined Companies Which Are Eligible for a Separate Rate

As indicated in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section below, we preliminarily determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 6.87 percent applies to the six firms not selected for individual review which are eligible for a separate rate. For further information, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum at "Separate Rate Assigned to Non-Selected Companies."

Preliminary Results of Review

Twelve companies involved in the administrative review did not demonstrate that they are entitled to a separate rate.² Therefore, we preliminarily finds these companies to be part of the China-wide entity.³ The rate previously established for the China-wide entity is 92.84 percent. One additional company, Hangzhou Xiaoshan Dingli Machinery Co., Ltd. (Dingli), could not demonstrate that it had a suspended entry during the POR;

² These companies are: (1) Apex Maritime Shanghai Co., Ltd.; (2) Crossroads Global Trading Co., Ltd.; (3) Honour Lane Shipping Ltd.; (4) Kinetsu World Express China Co., Ltd.; (5) Luoyang; (6) Pacific Link Intl Freight Forwarding Co., Ltd.; (7) Shanghai Dizhao Industrial Trading Co., Ltd.; (8) Thi Group Shanghai Ltd.; (9) Weifang Haoxin-Conmet Mechanical Products Co., Ltd.; (10) Yantai Huilong Machinery Parts Co.; Ltd.; (11) Zhejiang Machinery Import & Export Corp.; and (12) Zhejiang Zhao Feng Mechanical & Electronic Co., Ltd.

³ *See* Preliminary Decision Memorandum, at 8. Pursuant to Commerce's change in practice, Commerce no longer considers the NME entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. *See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963, 65970 (November 4, 2013). Under this practice, the NME entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the entity, the entity is not under review and the entity's rate is not subject to change.