United States agents or distributors for certain magnetic data storage tapes and cartridges containing the same that infringe the '891 patent.

On May 9, 2018, Fujifilm filed a complaint requesting that the Commission institute a formal enforcement proceeding under Commission Rule 210.75 to investigate alleged violation of the CDOs by Sony. On May 23, 2018, Sony filed a letter requesting that the Commission determine not to institute the enforcement proceeding. On May 30, 2019, Fujifilm filed a response.

Having examined the enforcement complaint, the supporting documents, and the pre-institution correspondence, the Commission has determined to institute a formal enforcement proceeding, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.75(a), to determine whether a violation of the March 8, 2018 CDOs issued in the original investigation has occurred and to determine what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate. The named respondents are the three Sony entities from the original investigation and Sony Storage Media Solutions Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Sony Storage Media Manufacturing Corporation of Miyagi, Japan; Sony DADC US Inc. of Terre Haute, Indiana; and Sony Latin America Inc. of Miami, Florida. OUII is also named as a party. The Commission has not ruled on the issues raised in the pre-institution correspondence submitted by the parties.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 7, 2018.

#### Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2018–12655 Filed 6–12–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

## Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Convertible Sofas and* 

Components Thereof, DN 3321; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission. 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's **Electronic Document Information** System (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at <a href="https://www.usitc.gov">https://www.usitc.gov</a>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <a href="https://edis.usitc.gov">https://edis.usitc.gov</a>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Sauder Manufacturing Company on June 7, 2018. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain convertible sofas and components thereof. The complaint names as a respondent: Krug, Inc. of Canada. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, a cease and desist order, and impose a bond upon respondents' alleged infringing articles during the 60-day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would

affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

- (i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States:
- (ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders:
- (iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;
- (iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and
- (v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to § 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 3321) in a prominent place on the cover page and/ or the first page. (See Handbook for Electonic Filing Procedures, Electronic Filing Procedures). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures: https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\_on\_ filing\_procedures.pdf.

statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel,<sup>2</sup> solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.3

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of §§ 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 7, 2018.

#### Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-12651 Filed 6-12-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Drug Enforcement Administration**

[Docket No. DEA-392]

Bulk Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Application: Alcami Wisconsin Corporation

**ACTION:** Notice of application.

**DATES:** Registered bulk manufacturers of the affected basic classes, and applicants therefore, may file written comments on or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration on or before August 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/DRW, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Attorney General has delegated his authority under the Controlled Substances Act to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), 28 CFR 0.100(b). Authority to exercise all necessary functions with respect to the promulgation and implementation of 21 CFR part 1301, incident to the registration of manufacturers, distributors, dispensers, importers, and exporters of controlled substances (other than final orders in connection with suspension, denial, or revocation of registration) has been delegated to the Assistant Administrator of the DEA Diversion Control Division ("Assistant Administrator") pursuant to section 7 of 28 CFR part 0, appendix to subpart R.

In accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33(a), this is notice that on May 3rd, 2018, Alcami Wisconsin Corporation, W130 N10497 Washington Dr., Germantown, WI 53022 applied to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the following basic classes of controlled substances:

| Controlled substance | Drug code    | Schedule |
|----------------------|--------------|----------|
| Thebaine             | 9333<br>9737 | II<br>II |

The company plans to provide bulk active pharmaceutical ingredient to support clinical trials.

Dated: June 6, 2018.

### John J. Martin,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2018–12684 Filed 6–12–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Drug Enforcement Administration**

# Gazelle A. Craig, D.O.; Decision and Order

On September 20, 2017, the Acting Assistant Administrator, Diversion

Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, DEA or Government), issued an Order to Show Cause to Gazelle A. Craig, D.O. (hereinafter, Respondent), of Houston, Texas. GX 2 (Order to Show Cause). The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Respondent's Certificate of Registration on the ground that she does "not have authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Texas, the [S]tate in which . . . [she is] registered with the DEA." *Id.* at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3)).

As to the Agency's jurisdiction, the Show Cause Order alleged that Respondent holds DEA Certificate of Registration No. FC1384306, which authorizes her to dispense controlled substances in schedules II through V as a practitioner, at the registered address of Gulfton Community Health Center, 6306 Gulfton St., Suite 101, Houston, Texas 77081. *Id.* The Show Cause Order alleged that this registration expires on August 31, 2018. *Id.* 

As the substantive ground for the proceeding, the Show Cause Order alleged that Respondent is "without authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Texas, the [S]tate in which . . . [she is] registered . . . with the DEA." *Id.* It further alleged that, on July 28, 2017, the Texas Medical Board temporarily suspended Respondent's medical license and that the Texas Medical Board order remains in effect. Id. The Show Cause Order asserted that Respondent is "required to possess authority from a [S]tate in order to obtain or retain a DEA Registration. . . . [and c]onsequently, the DEA must revoke . . . [her registration] based upon [her] lack of authority to handle controlled substances in the State of Texas." Id. at

The Show Cause Order notified Respondent of her right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement while waiving her right to a hearing, the procedures for electing each option, and the consequences for failing to elect either option. *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). The Show Cause Order also notified Respondent of the opportunity to submit a Corrective Action Plan. *Id.* at 2–3 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): https://edis.usitc.gov.