

FERC-725Y IN DOCKET NO. IC18-2-000

	Number and type of respondents ²	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response ³	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Annual Evaluation and Update of Training Program and Task List.	TO (331), GOP (890).	1	⁴ 1,064	6 hrs., \$408.72	6,384 hrs., \$434,878	\$68.12
Retention of Records	TO (331), GOP (890).	1	⁴ 1,064	10 hrs., \$408.90	10,640 hrs., \$435,070	40.89
Verification and Retention of Evidence of capabilities of personnel [R3, M3, C1.2], and Creation and Retention of Records on Simulation Training.	TO (331)	1	331	10 hrs., \$408.90	3,310 hrs., \$135,346	40.89
Total	20,334 hrs.; \$1,005,294	

²TO=Transmission Owner; RC=Reliability Coordinator; BA=Balancing Authority; TOP=Transmission Operator; GOP=Generator Operator.

³The estimates for cost per response are loaded hourly wage figure (includes benefits) is based on the average of three occupational categories for 2016 found on the Bureau of Labor Statistics website (http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/naics2_22.htm): Electrical Engineer (Occupational Code: 17-2071): \$68.12; Office and Administrative Support (Occupation Code: 43-0000): \$40.89.

⁴Some transmission owners are also generator operators. To eliminate double counting some entities, this figure reflects the number of unique entities (1064) within the group of TOs and GOPs. That approach is used throughout the table.

Comments: Comments are invited on:
(1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility;
(2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
(3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and
(4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: December 19, 2017.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-27731 Filed 12-22-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Revocation of Market-Based Rate Tariff

	Docket Nos.
Electric Quarterly Reports	ER02-2001-020
Niagara Generation, LLC	ER10-3154-000
C2K Energy, LLC	ER14-1751-001
Castlebridge Energy Group LLC	ER11-4629-000
Intercom Energy, Inc.	ER11-125-000
Chesapeake Renewable Energy LLC.	ER13-28-001

On November 20, 2017, the Commission issued an order

announcing its intent to revoke the market-based rate authority of the public utilities listed in the caption of that order, which had failed to file their required Electric Quarterly Reports.¹ The Commission directed those public utilities to file the required Electric Quarterly Reports within 15 days of the date of issuance of the order or face revocation of their authority to sell power at market-based rates and termination of their electric market-based rate tariffs.²

The time period for compliance with the November 20 Order has elapsed. The above-captioned companies failed to file their delinquent Electric Quarterly Reports. The Commission hereby revokes the market-based rate authority and terminates the electric market-based rate tariff of each of the companies who are named in the caption of this order.

Dated: December 19, 2017.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-27730 Filed 12-22-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP18-25-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

Take notice that on December 8, 2017, Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC (Columbia), 700 Louisiana Street, Suite 700, Houston, Texas 77002-2700, filed in Docket No. CP18-25-000 a prior notice request pursuant to sections 157.205 and 157.213(b) of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, requesting authorization to construct and operate one new horizontal storage well (Donegal Storage Well 12618), approximately 703 feet of eight-inch-diameter of related pipeline, and appurtenances at its existing Donegal Storage Field in Washington County, Pennsylvania. Columbia proposes to construct Donegal Storage Well 12618 in lieu of multiple well recompletions and stimulations. Columbia states that Donegal Storage Well 12618 could provide 15 million cubic feet per day or more during a design flow day, dependent on certain parameters. Columbia estimates the cost of the project to be approximately \$3,300,000. Columbia avers that there will be no change in the certificated physical parameters of the Donegal Storage Field, including maximum reservoir pressure, reservoir and buffer boundaries, and certificated storage capacity as a result of the proposal, all as more fully set

¹ *Electric Quarterly Reports*, 161 FERC ¶ 61,208 (2017) (November 20 Order).

² *Id.* at Ordering Paragraph A.

forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the “eLibrary” link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to Robert D. Jackson, Manager, Certificates & Regulatory Administration, Columbia Gas Transmission, LLC, 700 Louisiana Street, Suite 700, Houston, Texas 77002-2700, by telephone at (832) 320-5487, by fax at (832) 320-6487, or by email at robert.jackson@transcanada.com.

Any person or the Commission’s staff may, within 60 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission’s Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to section 157.205 of the regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the allowed time for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the NGA.

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission’s rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: Complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission’s public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other

milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff’s issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission’s public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff’s FEIS or EA.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenter’s will be placed on the Commission’s environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission’s environmental review process. Environmental commenter’s will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentary, will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission’s final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the “eFiling” link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 7 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

Dated: December 18, 2017.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-27734 Filed 12-22-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CD18-4-000]

Wallowa Resources Community Solutions Inc.; Notice of Preliminary Determination of a Qualifying Conduit Hydropower Facility and Soliciting Comments and Motions To Intervene

On December 11, 2017, Wallowa Resources Community Solutions Inc. filed a notice of intent to construct a qualifying conduit hydropower facility, pursuant to section 30 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), as amended by section 4 of the Hydropower Regulatory Efficiency Act of 2013 (HREA). The proposed Triple Creek Ranch Hydro Station Project would have an installed capacity of 85 kilowatts (kW), and would be located along the 18-inch-diameter Triple Creek Ranch irrigation pipeline. The project would be located near the Town of Joseph in Wallowa County, Oregon.

Applicant Contact: Kyle Petrocine, Wallowa Resources Community Solutions Inc., 401 NE First Street, Enterprise, OR 97828; Phone No. (541) 426-8053.

FERC Contact: Robert Bell, Phone No. (202) 502-6062; Email: robert.bell@ferc.gov.

Qualifying Conduit Hydropower Facility Description: The proposed project would consist of: (1) A new generating unit, having a generating capacity of 85 kW, housed in a new 13-foot by 17-foot powerhouse, connected to the existing 18-inch-diameter Triple Creek Ranch irrigation pipeline, and (2) appurtenant facilities. The proposed project would have an estimated annual generation of 153 megawatt-hours.

A qualifying conduit hydropower facility is one that is determined or deemed to meet all of the criteria shown in the table below.

TABLE 1—CRITERIA FOR QUALIFYING CONDUIT HYDROPOWER FACILITY

Statutory provision	Description	Satisfies (Y/N)
FPA 30(a)(3)(A), as amended by HREA ..	The conduit the facility uses is a tunnel, canal, pipeline, aqueduct, flume, ditch, or similar manmade water conveyance that is operated for the distribution of water for agricultural, municipal, or industrial consumption and not primarily for the generation of electricity.	Y
FPA 30(a)(3)(C)(i), as amended by HREA	The facility is constructed, operated, or maintained for the generation of electric power and uses for such generation only the hydroelectric potential of a non-federally owned conduit.	Y
FPA 30(a)(3)(C)(ii), as amended by HREA.	The facility has an installed capacity that does not exceed 5 megawatts	Y