

findings, best practices, and lessons learned. The supported activity includes the production of the required interventions manual for the initiative documenting the ten intervention models employed by the demonstration sites in order to promote the future replication of the interventions by Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program recipients and other health care providers. Not issuing this award would severely reduce the impact of this initiative by limiting the analysis and dissemination of best practices and lessons learned to HIV providers serving these Latino/a subpopulations. This would weaken efforts to improve the health outcomes for Latinos/as living with HIV in the United States.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Adan Cajina, Chief, Demonstration Evaluation Branch, Office of Training and Capacity Development, Division of HIV Domestic Programs, HIV/AIDS Bureau, HRSA, 5600 Fishers Lane, 09N108, Rockville, MD 20857, Phone: (301) 443-3180, Email: acajina@hrsa.gov.

Dated: September 19, 2017.

George Sigounas,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2017-20541 Filed 9-25-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program: List of Petitions Received

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HRSA is publishing this notice of petitions received under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (the program), as required by the Public Health Service (PHS) Act, as amended. While the Secretary of HHS is named as the respondent in all proceedings brought by the filing of petitions for compensation under the Program, the United States Court of Federal Claims is charged by statute with responsibility for considering and acting upon the petitions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about requirements for filing petitions, and the Program in general, contact Lisa L. Reyes, Acting Clerk, United States Court of Federal Claims, 717 Madison Place NW., Washington, DC 20005, (202) 357-6400.

For information on HRSA's role in the Program, contact the Director, National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 08N146B, Rockville, MD 20857; (301) 443-6593, or visit our Web site at: <http://www.hrsa.gov/vaccinecompensation/index.html>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The program provides a system of no-fault compensation for certain individuals who have been injured by specified childhood vaccines. Subtitle 2 of Title XXI of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-10 *et seq.*, provides that those seeking compensation are to file a petition with the U.S. Court of Federal Claims and to serve a copy of the petition on the Secretary of HHS, who is named as the respondent in each proceeding. The Secretary has delegated this responsibility under the Program to HRSA. The Court is directed by statute to appoint special masters who take evidence, conduct hearings as appropriate, and make initial decisions as to eligibility for, and amount of, compensation.

A petition may be filed with respect to injuries, disabilities, illnesses, conditions, and deaths resulting from vaccines described in the Vaccine Injury Table (the Table) set forth at 42 CFR 100.3. This Table lists for each covered childhood vaccine the conditions that may lead to compensation and, for each condition, the time period for occurrence of the first symptom or manifestation of onset or of significant aggravation after vaccine administration. Compensation may also be awarded for conditions not listed in the Table and for conditions that are manifested outside the time periods specified in the Table, but only if the petitioner shows that the condition was caused by one of the listed vaccines.

Section 2112(b)(2) of the PHS Act, 42 U.S.C. 300aa-12(b)(2), requires that "[w]ithin 30 days after the Secretary receives service of any petition filed under section 2111 the Secretary shall publish notice of such petition in the **Federal Register**." Set forth below is a list of petitions received by HRSA on August 1, 2017, through August 31, 2017. This list provides the name of petitioner, city and state of vaccination (if unknown then city and state of person or attorney filing claim), and case number. In cases where the Court has redacted the name of a petitioner and/or the case number, the list reflects such redaction.

Section 2112(b)(2) also provides that the special master "shall afford all interested persons an opportunity to

submit relevant, written information" relating to the following:

1. The existence of evidence "that there is not a preponderance of the evidence that the illness, disability, injury, condition, or death described in the petition is due to factors unrelated to the administration of the vaccine described in the petition," and

2. Any allegation in a petition that the petitioner either:

a. "[S]ustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition not set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table but which was caused by" one of the vaccines referred to in the Table, or

b. "[S]ustained, or had significantly aggravated, any illness, disability, injury, or condition set forth in the Vaccine Injury Table the first symptom or manifestation of the onset or significant aggravation of which did not occur within the time period set forth in the Table but which was caused by a vaccine" referred to in the Table.

In accordance with Section 2112(b)(2), all interested persons may submit written information relevant to the issues described above in the case of the petitions listed below. Any person choosing to do so should file an original and three (3) copies of the information with the Clerk of the U.S. Court of Federal Claims at the address listed above (under the heading **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**), with a copy to HRSA addressed to Director, Division of Injury Compensation Programs, Healthcare Systems Bureau, 5600 Fishers Lane, 08N146B, Rockville, MD 20857. The Court's caption (*Petitioner's Name v. Secretary of HHS*) and the docket number assigned to the petition should be used as the caption for the written submission. Chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, related to paperwork reduction, does not apply to information required for purposes of carrying out the program.

Dated: September 19, 2017

George Sigounas,
Administrator.

List of Petitions Filed

1. Teresa Audino and David Audino on behalf of C. A., Vienna, Virginia
Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1033V
2. Stephanie C. Savage, Greensboro, North Carolina
Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1036V
3. Alfred Wade, Jr. on behalf of Elaine D. Wade, Deceased, Wilmington, North Carolina
Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1039V
4. Tiffany S. Gaiter and Deverett S. Gaiter on behalf of Deverett S. Jr. Gaiter, Bay City, Michigan

5. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1040V
Larry Tomczak, Washington, District of Columbia
6. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1041V
Sergio Flores, Chula Vista, California
7. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1042V
Kimberly Magro, Rockville, Maryland
8. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1043V
Ruth Turner, Washington, District of Columbia
9. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1044V
Joseph Diaz, Washington, District of Columbia
10. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1045V
Robert Williams, Los Angeles, California
11. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1046V
Joy Whittemore, Washington, District of Columbia
12. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1047V
Martha A. Boudreau, Marietta, Georgia
13. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1048V
Harry Robinson, Juneau, Alaska
14. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1050V
Hazel Palm, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
15. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1051V
Kathy Reid, Royal Oak, Michigan
16. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1052V
Steven Washington, Wilmington, Delaware
17. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1053V
Beth Larrington, Leon, Iowa
18. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1054V
Rosa Monzon, Hollywood, Florida
19. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1055V
James T. Lawrence, Louisville, Kentucky
20. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1056V
Steven Dingmann, Clearwater, Minnesota
21. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1058V
Terra Rhea Varner, Ballentine, South Carolina
22. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1060V
Michaelanne Graeff, St. Charles, Missouri
23. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1062V
Robert Kissinger, Folsom, California
24. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1063V
Leigh Ann Palmer, Portland, Oregon
25. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1067V
Cheryl Desnick, Eden Prairie, Minnesota
26. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1071V
Dana B. Strode, Spokane, Washington
27. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1072V
Michael Wallace, Framingham, Massachusetts
28. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1074V
Jennifer Gross, O'Fallon, Illinois
29. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1075V
Marilynne Leshner, Lansdale, Pennsylvania
30. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1076V
David Lans Stout, Jacksonville Beach, Florida
31. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1077V
Kathryn Johnson, White Bear Lake, Minnesota
32. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1078V
April J. Barr, Nashville, Indiana
33. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1079V
Karen Aarons, Peekskill, New York
34. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1081V
Douglas H. McElroy, Kernersville, North Carolina
35. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1083V
Linda Baker on behalf of James Baker, Bluffton, South Carolina
36. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1085V
Betty Jones, Atlanta, Georgia
37. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1086V
Lily Wells, Houston, Texas
38. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1087V
Jane O. Witham, Springfield, Vermont
39. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1095V
Simon Olschansky, Phoenix, Arizona
40. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1096V
Stephen Kaiser, McPherson, Kansas
41. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1099V
Claire B. Steinberger, New York, New York
42. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1101V
Dustin Logan, Colorado Springs, Colorado
43. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1102V
Ellen Stoler, Charleston, South Carolina
44. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1106V
Jennifer Green, Prattville, Alabama
45. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1107V
Leah Marsh and Jeremy Marsh on behalf of E. M., West Jordan, Utah
46. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1109V
Kenneth Keith, San Diego, California
47. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1110V
James Izuwa, Honolulu, Hawaii
48. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1111V
Eileen Schmigel, Ridgecrest, California
49. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1112V
Linda Kolacny, Junction City, Kansas
50. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1113V
Kenneth Rickard, Redding, California
51. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1114V
Sarah Snyder on behalf of S. S., Omaha, Nebraska
52. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1116V
Daniel H. Trigoboff, Hamburg, New York
53. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1117V
Katrina Marie Brusatto on behalf of Domingo Rafael Brusatto, Spanaway, Washington
54. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1118V
Paisley A. Kauffmann, Minneapolis, Minnesota
55. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1119V
Derek Saunders, McDonough, Georgia
56. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1120V
Heather Adams, Washington, District of Columbia
57. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1121V
Jeffrey Cobb and Kimberly Cobb on behalf of T. C., Panora, Iowa
58. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1123V
Gary Helvig, Salem, Oregon
59. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1124V
Thida Phann, Kent, Washington
60. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1125V
Michael Bacotti, Washington, District of Columbia
61. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1126V
Joshua Bradley, Trenton, Georgia
62. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1128V
Doris DiPonziano, Cherry Hill, New Jersey
63. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1130V
Aaron Tomskey, Princeton, Minnesota
64. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1132V
Judy Barton and Jones Barton on behalf of E. B., Cary, North Carolina
65. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1133V
Julie Bulow, Washington, District of Columbia
66. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1134V
Edgar C. Kisby, Egg Harbor Township, New Jersey
67. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1135V
Carolyn Pierson, Roseburg, Oregon
68. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1136V
Francine M. Skinner on behalf of H. S., Wilmington, North Carolina
69. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1137V
Raquel Fournier, Winnetka, California
70. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1139V
Cynthia S. Simon, St. Joseph, Missouri
71. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1140V
Crystal Allen, Dallas, Texas
72. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1141V
Linda Cartmel, Phoenix, Arizona
73. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1146V
Jesse Lubin, Rockville Centre, New York
74. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1147V
Ilya Ben, Middletown, New Jersey
75. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1149V
Arthur F. Lute and Evan A. Lute on behalf of Arthur F. Lute, Imperial Beach, California
76. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1150V
Carmen Murillo, Naperville, Illinois
77. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1152V
Tara M. Lohman, Knoxville, Tennessee
78. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1153V
Denise McGrath, Brooklyn, New York
79. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1154V
Rebecca J. McDowell, Des Moines, Iowa
80. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1156V
Nikko Cerrone, Farmington Hills, Michigan
81. Court of Federal Claims No: 17-1158V
Gloria Manuel, Phoenix, Arizona

- Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1159V
82. Sandra Blevins, New York, New York
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1161V
83. Michele Harding on behalf of W. J. H., Madison, Wisconsin
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1164V
84. Jody Larsen, Seattle, Washington
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1165V
85. Alexis Garner on behalf of K. T. G., Hyattsville, Maryland
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1166V
86. Elvira Cruz, Englewood, New Jersey
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1167V
87. Rasheedah Smith, Lawrenceville, Georgia
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1169V
88. Carol Clark, Boston, Massachusetts
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1170V
89. Lesa Marie Bowman-Harris, Salem, Oregon
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1172V
90. Jennifer Claypool, Dayton, Nevada
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1176V
91. Theresa Anderson, White Plains, New York
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1178V
92. Maureen C. Clavio, Orland Park, Illinois
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1179V
93. Ellen Honea, Beverly Hills, California
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1180V
94. Jared Sipes, Jacksonville, North Carolina
Court of Federal Claims No: 17–1181V

[FR Doc. 2017–20540 Filed 9–25–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4165–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Resources and Services Administration

Challenge Competition: Improving Remote Monitoring of Pregnancy

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration, Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Health Resources and Services Administration's (HRSA's) Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB) announces a prize competition to support the development and testing of low-cost, scalable technology-based innovations to improve the ability of prenatal care providers to monitor the health and wellbeing of pregnant women remotely, especially women who live in rural and medically underserved areas who have limited access to on-site prenatal care.

The statutory authority for this challenge competition is Section 105 of

the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010.

This challenge, structured in three phases, will reach a diverse population of innovators and problem solvers including families, coders, public health experts, community leaders, individuals affiliated with academic institutions, research and development communities in the private sector, and others.

All submissions will be evaluated; separate prizes will be awarded for each of the three phases below.

Phase 1: Design

Phase 2: Development and Small Scale Testing

Phase 3: Scaling

Estimated dates for each phase are as follows:

Phase 1: Effective on January 2, 2018

Phase 1 Submission Period Ends:

January 31, 2018, 11:59 p.m. ET

Phase 1 Judging Period: February 1–February 28, 2018

Phase 1 Winners Announced: March 12, 2018

Phase 2 Begins: March 13, 2018

Phase 2 Submission Period Ends: July 11, 2018

Phase 2 Judging Period: July 12–August 12, 2018

Phase 2 Winners Announced: August 20, 2018

Phase 3 Begins: August 21, 2018

Phase 3 Submission Period Ends: February 21, 2019

Phase 3 Winner Announced: March 1, 2019

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jessie Buerlein, MSW, Office of Policy and Planning, MCHB, JBuerlein@hrsa.gov, (301) 443–8931, or James Resnick, Office of the Associate Administrator, MCHB, JResnick@hrsa.gov, (301) 443–3222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On January 4, 2011, the America COMPETES Reauthorization Act of 2010 was signed into law allowing the use of challenges and prize competitions increasing agencies' ability to promote and harness innovation. Competitions run by the federal government result in a number of benefits to the public, including the following:

- (a) Increasing the number and diversity of the individuals, teams, and organizations that are addressing a particular problem or challenge of national significance;
- (b) Improving the skills of the participants in the competition; and
- (c) Directing attention to new market opportunities and stimulating private sector investment.

Subject of Challenge Competition

In recent years, technological advances have improved the ability of

healthcare providers to monitor their patients from afar. For example, wearable biosensors provide for the remote monitoring of patients, athletes, premature infants, children, psychiatric patients, people who need long-term care, the elderly, and people in rural and medically underserved areas. Telemedicine is improving access for patients, while smartphone apps are improving patients' ability for self-care.

At the same time, recent scientific advances around developmental origins of health and disease point to the important role that environmental exposures, nutrition, and stress play in maternal health and fetal programming. Remote, real-time, and more continuous monitoring of harmful environmental exposures, nutritional intake and energy expenditure, and stress and sleep, along with blood pressure, proteinuria, blood glucose, and fetal heart rate, has the potential to improve prenatal care quality and pregnancy outcomes while reducing healthcare costs.

Recent trends in hospital closures in rural America also increase the need for technological innovations that support remote monitoring of pregnant women. Between 2004 and 2014, 179 rural counties (9 percent of all rural counties) lost access to in-county hospital obstetric services, and the percent of all rural counties in the U.S. that lacked hospital obstetric services increased from 45 to 54 percent, due to hospital and obstetric-unit closures.¹ Many low-income women, in both rural and urban communities, do not access prenatal care. Fully conflicting priorities such as work, childcare, and transportation make it difficult to make the approximately 15 visits to their provider's office, which include critical medical assessments and instructions about self-care. This challenge is designed to make technology work for pregnant women, increase access, improve communications (between patients and providers and across providers), and empower pregnant women to take better care of themselves.

This challenge will support the development and testing of low-cost, scalable technology-based innovations to improve the ability of prenatal care providers to monitor the health and wellbeing of pregnant women from afar (e.g., in their homes); utilizing technology to empower patients and providers with more complete and up-to-date information.

Key design features of the innovations should include:

¹ http://rhrc.umn.edu/wp-content/files_mf/1491501904UMRHRCOBlosuresPolicyBrief.pdf.