regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### **Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 7, 2017.

#### James Maeder,

Senior Director performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017-16992 Filed 8-10-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### International Trade Administration

[A-552-802]

**Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp** From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Partial Rescission of **Antidumping Duty Administrative** Review; 2016-2017

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review, in part, of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) for the period February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017.

DATES: Applicable August 11, 2017. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Gorelik, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue

NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone:

(202) 482-6905.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On April 10, 2017, based on timely requests for review of 55 companies by the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee (the petitioner) 1 and of 88 companies by the American Shrimp Processors Association (ASPA)<sup>2</sup> and various Vietnamese companies,3 the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp from Vietnam covering the period February 1, 2016,

through January 31, 2017.4

On July 7, 2017, Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company withdrew its request for administrative review.<sup>5</sup> On July 7, 2017, the petitioner and ASPA withdrew their respective requests for an administrative review of Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company and its various name iterations, as were listed in the *Initiation Notice*. Subsequently, on July 10, 2017, the petitioner and ASPA also withdrew their respective requests for administrative review of Quoc Viet Seaproducts Processing Trading and Import-Export Co., Ltd., Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co., Ltd., and Seavina Joint Stock Company and their various name iterations, as were listed in the *Initiation Notice*. On July 10, 2017, Quoc Viet Seaproducts Processing Trading and Import-Export Co., Ltd. and Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co., Ltd. withdrew their respective requests for an administrative review; there are no remaining review requests on the record with respect to these companies.8 Additionally, because Seavina Joint Stock Company did not request a review of itself, there are no remaining review requests for Seavina Joint Stock Company.

#### **Partial Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Because the petitioner, ASPA, and the individual companies all withdrew their requests for administrative review within 90 days of the date of publication of the Initiation Notice, and no other interested party requested a review of these companies, the Department is rescinding this review with respect to these companies, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).9 The administrative review remains active with respect to all other companies for whom a review was initiated.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period February 1, 2016, through January 31, 2017, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, if appropriate.

#### **Notifications**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Petitioner's Request for Administrative Review, dated February 24, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See ASPA's Request for Administrative Review, dated February 28, 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See VASEP's Request for Administrative Review, dated February 27, 2017. See also Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company's Request for Administrative Review, dated February 22, 2017; Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co., Ltd.'s Request for Administrative Review, dated February 28, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 82 FR 17188 (April 10, 2017) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company's Submission, "Stapimex Withdrawal of Request for Review," dated July 7, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Petitioners' Submission, "Domestic Producers' Partial Withdrawal of Review Requests," dated July 7, 2017; and ASPA's Submission, "Partial Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated July 7, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See ASPA's Submission, "Partial Withdrawal of Review Requests," dated July 10, 2017; and Petitioner's Submission, "Partial Withdrawal of Review Requests," dated July 10, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Quoc Viet Seaproducts Processing Trading and Import-Export Co., Ltd. and Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co., Ltd.'s Submissions, "Partial Withdrawal of Review Requests," dated July 10, 2017.

 $<sup>{}^{9}\</sup>operatorname{Quoc}$  Viet Seaproducts Processing Trading and Import-Export Co., Ltd., and Quoc Viet Seaproducts Processing Trade and Import-Export Co., Ltd. (''Quoc Viet Co. Ltd.''); Seavina Joint Stock Company; Soc Trang Seafood Joint Stock Company ("STAPIMEX"); Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co., Ltd., and Viet I-Mei Frozen Foods Co. Ltd ("Viet I-Mei").

information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 7, 2017.

#### James Maeder,

Senior Director performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017–16996 Filed 8–10–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-570-836]

# Glycine From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 17, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a changed circumstances review (CCR) of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The Department preliminarily determines that Salvi Chemical Industries Ltd. (Salvi) is eligible to participate in a certification process, because Salvi has demonstrated that glycine produced by Salvi is no longer processed from Chinese-origin glycine. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Effective August 11, 2017.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madeline Heeren, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington,

DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9179.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On December 10, 2012, the Department published its final anticircumvention inquiry determination, where the record indicated that Salvi was processing Chinese glycine and

labeling it to be of Indian origin. The Department determined that glycine processed in India of Chinese origin does not change country of origin, and, therefore, Salvi had circumvented the Order.2 As part of our determination, we stated that Salvi could not take part in a certification process, whereby Salvi's importers could certify that they had not imported Chinese-origin glycine and would not be subject to the antidumping duty rate for Chinese glycine.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, we stated that Salvi could also request a CCR if it could show that its exports of glycine to the United States were not processed from Chineseorigin glycine.4 On July 18, 2016, the Department received a request from Salvi to initiate a CCR in order for the Department to determine that the glycine produced by Salvi is no longer processed from Chinese-origin glycine.<sup>5</sup> Additionally, Salvi requested that the Department determine that importers of glycine from Salvi are eligible to participate in a certification process.6 On November 16, 2017, the Department initiated this CCR, pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.216(d), upon finding that there is sufficient information to warrant a review of the Order.7

#### Scope of the Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. Glycine is produced at varying levels of purity and is used as a sweetener/taste enhancer, a buffering agent, reabsorbable amino acid, chemical intermediate, and a metal complexing agent. This proceeding includes glycine of all purity levels.

Glycine is currently classified under subheading 2922.49.4020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).<sup>8</sup> Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.<sup>9</sup>

#### Methodology

We are conducting this CCR in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https:// access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Department's Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http:// enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

## Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review

We preliminarily determine that, since the *Circumvention Notice* and Final Scope Ruling were issued, Salvi has demonstrated that glycine produced by Salvi is no longer processed from Chinese-origin glycine.

If the Department upholds these preliminary results in the final results, we will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection and allow Salvi's importers of subject merchandise to certify that the glycine being produced and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Memorandum, "Final Scope Ruling Concerning the Antidumping Duty Order on Glycine from the People's Republic of China," dated December 3, 2012 (Final Scope Ruling) at 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Final Partial Affirmative Determination of Circumvention of the Antidumping Duty Order, 77 FR 73426 (December 10, 2012) (Circumvention Notice) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination of the Anti-Circumvention Inquiry of the Antidumping Duty Order on Glycine from the People's Republic of China; see also Antidumping Duty Order: Glycine from the People's Republic of China, 60 FR 16116 (March 29, 1995) (Order); see also Final Scope Ruling.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 3}\,See$  Circumvention Notice and Final Scope Ruling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Circumvention Notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Letter, "Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Request for Changed Circumstances Review," dated July 18, 2016.

<sup>6</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 81 FR 81064 (November 17, 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In separate scope rulings, the Department determined that: (a) D(-) Phenylglycine Ethyl Dane Salt is outside the scope of the order and (b) PRC-glycine exported from India remains the same class or kind of merchandise as the PRC-origin glycine imported into India. See Notice of Scope Rulings and Anticircumvention Inquiries, 62 FR 62288 (November 21, 1997) and Circumvention Notice, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Order

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review of Glycine from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with this determination and hereby adopted by this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).