

parties in the Central Records Unit in Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>10</sup> Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument a statement of the issue, a brief summary of the argument, and a table of authorities.<sup>11</sup>

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Department within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice.<sup>12</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) The number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230.<sup>13</sup> The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results of this review, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review.<sup>14</sup> We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the companies under review that we determine in the final results to be part of the PRC-wide entity at the PRC-wide rate of 40.41 percent. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this review in the **Federal Register**.<sup>15</sup>

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For companies that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity (*i.e.*, 40.41 percent); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 315.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: August 1, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Companies Covered by This Review

1. Anhui Fresh Taste International Trade Co., Ltd.
2. Baoji Fufeng Biotechnologies Co., Ltd.

3. Blu Logistics (China) Co., Ltd.
4. Bonroy Group Limited
5. Forehigh Trade and Industry Co., Ltd.
6. Fujian Province Jianyang Wuyi MSG Co., Ltd.
7. Golden Banyan Foodstuffs Industry Co., Ltd.
8. Henan Lotus Flower Gourmet Powder Co.
9. Hong Kong Sungiven International Food Co., Limited
10. Hulunbeier Northeast Fufeng Biotechnologies Co., Ltd.
11. K&S Industry Limited
12. King Cheong Hong International
13. Langfang Meihua Bio-Technology Co., Ltd.
14. Liangshan Linghua Biotechnology Co., Ltd.
15. Lotus Health Industry Holding Group
16. Meihua Group International Trading (Hong Kong) Limited
17. Meihua Holdings Group Co., Ltd., Bazhou Branch
18. Neimenggu Fufeng Biotechnologies Co., Ltd.
19. Pudong Prime Int'l Logistics, Inc.
20. Qinhuangdao Xingtai Trade Co., Ltd.
21. S.D. Linghua M.S.G. Incorporated Co.
22. Shandong Linghua Monosodium Glutamate Incorporated Company
23. Shanghai Totole Food Ltd.
24. Shijiazhuang Standard Imp & Exp Co., Ltd.
25. Sunrise (HK) International Enterprise Limited
26. Tongliao Meihua Biological Sci-Tech Co., Ltd.
27. Zhejiang Medicines & Health

[FR Doc. 2017-16576 Filed 8-4-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-520-804]

#### Certain Steel Nails From the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain steel nails from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable August 7, 2017.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Annatheia Cook, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1) and (2).

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c) and (d); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 310(d).

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>15</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0250.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 27, 2011, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the notice of the *AD Order* on nails from the UAE.<sup>1</sup> On April 3, 2017, the Department published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the *AD Order*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Act).<sup>2</sup> On April 4, 2017, the Department received a notice of intent to participate from one domestic interested party: Mid Continent Steel & Wire, Inc. (Mid Continent) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>3</sup> Mid Continent claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer in the United States of a domestic like product. On May 4, 2017, the Department received a complete and adequate substantive response from Mid Continent within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>4</sup> The Department received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the *AD Order*.

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order includes certain steel nails having a shaft length up to 12 inches. These imports are currently classified under subheadings 7317.00.55, 7317.00.65, and 7317.00.75 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written product description remains dispositive.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 27421 (May 10, 2012) (*AD Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 82 FR 16159 (April 3, 2017) (Notice of Initiation).

<sup>3</sup> See Mid Continent's submission "Re: Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates: Entry of Appearance, Notice of Intent to Participate in Review, and APO Application" (April 4 2017).

<sup>4</sup> See Mid Continent's submission "Re: Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates: Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation of Sunset review" (May 3, 2017).

<sup>5</sup> For a full description of the scope of the *AD Order*, see Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Gary Taverman, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "First Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results," dated concurrently with, and adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

### Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation of the *AD Order* and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the order were revoked, is provided in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.<sup>6</sup> The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B0824 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to section 751(c)(1) and 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the *AD Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 184.41 percent.

### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.218, and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(5)(ii).

<sup>6</sup> See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Dated: July 28, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. History of the Order
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
  1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
  2. Magnitude of the Margins Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017-16500 Filed 8-4-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

[A-570-972; A-583-848]

#### Certain Stilbenic Optical Brightening Agents From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stilbenic optical brightening agents (stilbenic OBAs) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) and Taiwan would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Reviews" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Effective August 7, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eli Lovely, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1593.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On May 10, 2012, the Department published the antidumping duty orders on stilbenic OBAs from the PRC and Taiwan.<sup>1</sup> On April 3, 2017, the

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Stilbenic Optical Brightening Agents From the People's Republic of China: Amended*