Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.1109 to read as follows:

# § 165.1109 Safety Zone; Huntington Beach Airshow, Huntington Beach, California.

- (a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All navigable waters from the surface to the sea floor consisting of a line connecting the following coordinates: 33°38.378′ N., 117°58.833′ W.; 33°37.972′ N., 117°59.200′ W.; 33°39.177′ N., 118°01.121′ W.; and 33°39.583′ N., 118°00.753′ W. All coordinates displayed are referenced by North American Datum of 1983, World Geodetic System, 1984.
- (b) *Definitions*. For the purposes of this section:

Designated representative means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Los Angeles-Long Beach (COTP) in the enforcement of the safety zone.

- (c) Regulations.
- (1) Under the general safety zone regulations in subpart C of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.
- (2) To seek permission to enter, hail Coast Guard Sector Los Angeles-Long Beach on VHF–FM Channel 16 or call at (310) 521–3801. Those in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.
- (d) Enforcement period. The safety zone will be enforced during airshow demonstrations for 4 days in September and October. The Coast Guard will provide notice regarding specific event dates and times, which will be published in the local notice to mariners at least 20 days prior to the event via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

Dated: July 21, 2017.

#### Monica L. Rochester,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port, Los Angeles-Long Beach.

[FR Doc. 2017–15945 Filed 7–27–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

# DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

## 38 CFR Part 4

RIN 2900-AP16

### Schedule for Rating Disabilities; The Genitourinary Diseases and Conditions

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Veterans Affairs proposes to amend the portion of the Schedule for Rating Disabilities that addresses the genitourinary system. The purpose of this change is to update current medical terminology, incorporate medical advances that have occurred since the last review, and provide well-defined criteria in accordance with actual, standard medical clinical practice. The proposed rule reflects the most up-to-date medical knowledge and clinical practice of nephrology and urology specialties, as well as comments from subject matter experts and the public garnered during a public forum held January 27-28,

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 26, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted through www.Regulations. gov; by mail or hand-delivery to Director, Regulation Policy and Management (00REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Room 1068, Washington, DC 20420; or by fax to (202) 273-9026. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to "RIN 2900-AP16—Schedule for Rating Disabilities; The Genitourinary Diseases and Conditions." Copies of comments received will be available for public inspection in the Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Room 1063B, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidays). Please call (202) 461-4902 for an appointment. (This is not a toll-free number.) In addition, during the comment period, comments may be viewed online through the Federal Docket Management System at www.Regulations.gov.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ioulia Vvedenskaya, M.D., M.B.A., Medical Officer, Part 4 VASRD Regulations Staff (211C), Compensation Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461–9752. (This is not a toll-free telephone number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As part of the Department of Veterans Affairs' (VA) ongoing revision of the Schedule for Rating Disabilities (VASRD), VA proposes changes to the portion of the VASRD that addresses the genitourinary system, which was last revised in 1994. See 59 FR 2523 (Jan. 18, 1994); see also 59 FR 46338 (Sep. 8, 1994). Through this revision, VA aims to eliminate ambiguities, include medical conditions not currently in the rating schedule, implement current, well-refined medical criteria, and update terminology to reflect the most recent medical advances.

## I. Proposed Changes to § 4.115

Currently, 38 CFR 4.115 ("Nephritis") does not adequately reflect current concepts of renal and urinary tract disease and conditions. Regardless of specific disease pathology, kidney conditions generally produce the same symptomatology and lead to the same functional impairment. Therefore, for rating purposes, analysis of pathology, such as is currently presented in the first three sentences of § 4.115, is unnecessary and VA proposes to remove this language.

However, VA proposes to retain the remainder of the language in § 4.115, which addresses the assignment of ratings when both renal and cardiovascular conditions are present, but replace the reference to "nephritis" in the first sentence of the proposed revised section with "renal disease" to more accurately reflect the applicability of the provision. VA proposes to retitle this provision as "Co-existence of Renal and Cardiovascular Conditions" to better address the amended content.

#### II. Proposed Changes to § 4.115a

Under the current VASRD, diseases of the genitourinary system are listed at 38 CFR 4.115b with instructions directing rating personnel to various rating criteria found at 38 CFR 4.115a, when appropriate. The rating criteria in § 4.115a address impairment of the genitourinary system, including renal dysfunction, voiding dysfunction, and infections.

The introductory paragraph in § 4.115a states that when the VASRD refers a decision maker to these areas of dysfunction, only the predominant area of disability will be considered for rating purposes. VA proposes clarifying this statement by noting that distinct disabilities may be assigned separate evaluations under this section, pursuant to the pyramiding provisions in § 4.14. This statement is intended to reflect that when a particular diagnostic code refers to multiple dysfunctions, only the

predominant dysfunction will be evaluated for that diagnostic code. Distinct disabilities resulting in nonoverlapping symptoms may be assigned separate evaluations, however.

VA also proposes to make changes to the rating criteria found in § 4.115a; these proposed changes are discussed

## below.

#### A. Renal Dysfunction

Currently, VA evaluates renal dysfunction as follows:

A 100 percent evaluation is assigned for any of the following: Requiring regular dialysis, or precluding more than sedentary activity from one of the following: Persistent edema and albuminuria; or, BUN more than 80 mg%; or, creatinine more than 8 mg%; or, markedly decreased function of kidney or other organ systems, especially cardiovascular.

An 80 percent evaluation is assigned for any of the following: Persistent edema and albuminuria with BUN 40 to 80 mg%; or, creatinine 4 to 8 mg%; or, generalized poor health characterized by lethargy, weakness, anorexia, weight loss, or limitation of exertion.

A 60 percent evaluation is assigned for any of the following: Constant albuminuria with some edema; or, definite decrease in kidney function; or, hypertension at least 40 percent disabling under diagnostic code 7101.

A 30 percent evaluation is assigned for any of the following: Albumin constant or recurring with hyaline and granular casts or red blood cells; or, transient or slight edema or hypertension at least 10 percent disabling under diagnostic code 7101.

A 0 percent evaluation is assigned for either albumin and casts with a history of acute nephritis; or, hypertension noncompensable under diagnostic code 7101.

Subjective terms such as "markedly," "some," and "slight" contribute to inconsistent evaluation of genitourinary disabilities rated under this criteria. Therefore, VA proposes to replace these subjective criteria with specific objective laboratory findings, such as the glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Modern medicine states the "[GFR] is widely accepted as the best overall measure of kidney function in health and disease." Nat'l Kidney Found., "K/ DOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines for Chronic Kidney Disease: Evaluation, Classification, and Stratification," Am. J. Kidney Disease 39:S1-S266, S5 (2002), available at https:// www.kidney.org/sites/default/files/docs/ ckd evaluation classification stratification.pdf (last viewed Oct. 7, 2016). In clinical practice, subject

matter experts have noted an inverse correlation between GFR and functional impairment (e.g., lower GFRs correspond to greater impairment), and individuals with GFRs less than 60 mL/ min are considered to have chronic renal disease. Id. at S12. A GFR less than 60 mL/min is also a sign of renal failure. Id. In addition to using the GFR for evaluation purposes, VA also proposes adding a note to the evaluation criteria specifying that GFR, estimated GFR (eGFR), and creatinine based approximations are acceptable for evaluation purposes, as each has been shown to be an adequate indicator of the stage of chronic kidney disease. Id. at S81. The GFR used must be medically appropriate and calculated by a medical

professional.

Based on the level of kidney function generally associated with a particular GFR, VA proposes assigning a 100 percent evaluation for a GFR less than 16 mL/min; an 80 percent evaluation for a GFR between 16 and 29 mL/min; a 60 percent evaluation for a GFR between 30 and 59 mL/min; a 30 percent evaluation for a GFR greater than or equal to 60 mL/min with at least one of the following: Albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) greater than or equal to 2.5 g/gm (nephrotic range proteinuria), or hypertension at least 10 percent disabling under diagnostic code 7101; and a 0 percent evaluation for a GFR greater than or equal to 60 mL/min with at least one of the following: ACR greater than or equal to .03 g/gm but less than or equal to 2.49 g/gm, or hypertension that is non-compensable under diagnostic code 7101. These levels of evaluation correlate to a modified staging classification of chronic kidney disease by the National Kidney Foundation. Id. At the 100 percent evaluation, the designated GFR is associated with kidney failure and, at the 0 percent evaluation, the designated GFR is associated with an increased risk of kidney damage where a diagnosis of chronic kidney disease has been made. Id. Intermediate levels of evaluation at the 30, 60, and 80 percent levels correspond to the remaining stages of chronic kidney disease as they increase in severity as manifest by declining GFR or increasing proteinuria.

Proteinuria is considered in the evaluation of chronic kidney disease at the 30 and 0 percent levels because GFR measures only the ability of the kidneys to filter the blood and does not always provide a complete picture of renal disease. For example, in the early stages of chronic renal disease resulting from kidney damage, GFR may be within the normal range and impairment may be characterized by other diagnostic

abnormalities, such as increased secretion of protein in the urine (proteinuria). Id. at S71. Proteinuria, as measured by increased urinary excretion of albumin, is an early and sensitive marker of kidney damage in many types of chronic kidney disease. Id. at S48, S101. Therefore, VA proposes that an ACR of 2.5 g/gm or greater (also called nephrotic range proteinuria) would warrant a 30 percent evaluation and an ACR of at least 0.03 g/gm but no more than 2.49 g/gm—i.e., urinary albumin that does not reach the level of nephrotic range proteinuria—would warrant a 0 percent evaluation. VA would not eliminate reference to hypertension in the 0 and 30 percent evaluation criteria because sustained elevation of arterial blood pressure may be a consequence of chronic kidney disease. Id. at S125-26.

Finally, a 100 percent evaluation would still be assigned for chronic kidney disease requiring regular, routine dialysis. VA intends to also extend this evaluation to individuals requiring a kidney transplant who may not yet require regular, routine dialysis. Often, a patient with rapidly deteriorating chronic kidney disease will be placed on a transplant list before they require regular, routine dialysis, although dialysis may actually be required before the transplant is performed.

#### B. Urinary Tract Infection

VA proposes to preserve the existing rating criteria for urinary tract infection with little change. VA does, however, propose to clarify the criteria for a 30 percent evaluation by specifying that drainage would be by stent or nephrostomy tube. This differentiates drainage via catheterization. Stent or nephrostomy tube insertion are surgical procedures and require more intensive medical management than drainage via catheterization. Catheterization is not medically consistent with the remainder of the criteria required for a 30 percent evaluation because the need for catheterization is not generally accompanied by frequent hospitalization (greater than two times/ year) or continuous intensive management.

For the 10 percent evaluation, VA proposes to replace the ambiguous phrase "intermittent intensive management" with "suppressive drug therapy lasting six months or longer.' Antibiotic and suppressive medications are typically the treatment used to treat urinary tract infections. Charles Kodner et al., "Recurrent Urinary Tract Infections in Women: Diagnosis and Management," 82(6) Am. Family Physician 638-43 (2010); B. Lee et al.,

"Methenamine hippurate for preventing urinary tract infections," The Cochrane Library (Oct. 17, 2012), http:// onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ 14651858.CD003265.pub3/abstract (last visited April 16, 2014). However, the term "intensive management" suggests something beyond short-term courses of antibiotic treatment for urinary tract infections; this is not clear from the current definition. As such, VA intends to replace "intensive management" with the objective criterion of "suppressive drug therapy lasting six months or longer." As for the length of time selected, suppressive therapy is more appropriate for a chronic infection. B. Lee, supra. Recurrent, or chronic, infections are generally defined as two or more infections in six months, and the recommended treatment is six to twelve months of suppressive drug therapy. Kodner, supra. Therefore, VA proposes a 10 percent evaluation when there are one to two hospitalizations per year for urinary tract infections, or suppressive drug therapy lasting six months or longer is required.

The addition of a 0 percent evaluation is also proposed and would be applicable if a veteran has urinary tract infections that require suppressive drug therapy for less than 6 months. Under this evaluation, drug suppressive therapy lasting six months or longer is not required. This proposed evaluation would cover cases that are responsive to treatment and/or are not severe enough to require suppressive drug therapy for six months of more. It would also ease field application by specifying noncompensable criteria that can be compared to the criteria warranting a compensable evaluation.

#### III. Proposed Changes to § 4.115b

A. Diagnostic Codes (DCs) 7508 and 7510

VA proposes to amend these DCs based on a better understanding of the disease process and the impact of treatment. When imbalances occur in the body, substances in urine can form solid pieces within the urinary tract. These pieces are commonly referred to as stones. Nephrolithiasis, to which diagnostic code 7508 currently applies, is another name for kidney stones. Ureterolithiasis (current DC 7510) refers to stones in the ureter, which is the tube that carries urine from the kidney to the bladder.

Regardless of whether the stone is in the kidney or the ureter, symptoms may include abdominal and/or back pain and blood in the urine. This shared symptomology leads to similar functional impairment. Therefore, VA proposes to delete existing DC 7510 and to evaluate stones in either the kidney or the ureter under diagnostic code 7508.

Nephrocalcinosis, a disorder in which excess calcium accumulates in the kidneys, does not result in symptoms. Rather, if the accumulation of calcium leads to the creation of stones, the stones themselves may cause symptoms. This condition is commonly evaluated under DC 7508 as analogous to nephrolithiasis, and VA proposes that it continue to be evaluated under this code, but that it be expressly added to the diagnostic code for ease of field application. Therefore, to better express the conditions to be evaluated under DC 7508, VA proposes to rename it as "Nephrolithiasis/Ureterolithiasis/ Nephrocalcinosis.'

Proposed DC 7508 would provide a 30-percent rating for recurrent stone formation requiring invasive or non-invasive procedures more than two times per year, as current DC 7508 does, but would no longer provide a 30-percent rating for diet or drug therapy, because such therapies have no specific relationship to these disabilities and are widely recommended for the majority of medical diseases and conditions.

### B. DCs 7520 Through 7522

Current DCs 7520 and 7521 provide compensation for actual physical removal of the penis or glans. An evaluation of 30 percent is provided when there is removal of half or more of the penis under DC 7520. In addition, a 20 percent evaluation is assigned when there is removal of the glans under DC 7521. Current DCs 7520 and 7521 also permit rating these conditions alternatively as voiding dysfunction in § 4.115a. VA proposes to no longer rate these conditions as voiding dysfunction, which pertains to issues of leakage and frequency and the use of an appliance or absorbent materials. VA also proposes to revise DCs 7520 and 7521 to include a footnote reference to consider entitlement to Special Monthly Compensation (SMC) for loss of a creative organ under § 3.350. This is meant to correct the omission of this note from previous versions of the VASRD. Removal of half or more of the penis, or removal of the glans, may result in loss of a creative organ. Therefore, although consideration of SMC is considered with application of these diagnostic codes under current policy, this change would ensure consistent consideration of SMC for loss of a creative organ.

VA proposes to revise DC 7522 to encompass erectile dysfunction (ED), regardless of etiology. In making this change, VA intends to retitle this diagnostic code, "Erectile dysfunction." ED can occur with or without deformity of the penis, and is a symptom of many systemic, psychological, and metabolic diseases. W. Ludwig, "Organic causes of erectile dysfunction in men under 40," 92(1) Urologia Internationalis 1–6 (2014).

VA proposes to no longer provide a 20-percent rating for this condition, whether with or without penile deformity. VA provides disability compensation for conditions that result in reduced earning capacity. 38 U.S.C. 1155. Erectile dysfunction, with or without penile deformity, is not associated with reductions in earning capacity. Therefore, VA proposes to provide a 0 percent evaluation for this condition. Section 4.115b's footnote regarding consideration of SMC for loss of use a creative organ where warranted would continue to apply to DC 7522.

VA also proposes to add a note clarifying that Peyronie's disease is not a ratable condition. Peyronie's disease should not be rated analogously to ED.

#### C. DC 7524

VA does not propose any substantive changes to current DC 7524. However, it does intend to correct a typographical error in the last sentence of the existing note, which refers to "underscended" rather than "undescended" testis.

D. DCs 7525, 7527, 7533, 7534, and 7537

Currently, each of these diagnostic codes identifies one or more conditions which have similar symptomatology and functional impairment. The conditions identified are not an exclusive list; therefore, other conditions are often rated as analogous to one of these diagnostic codes. To assist the field in ensuring that the appropriate diagnostic criteria is used to evaluate other conditions not currently listed, VA proposes to rename each of these diagnostic codes and/or include a note identifying those conditions not currently listed.

First, VA proposes to rename DC 7525 as "Prostatitis, urethritis, epididymitis, orchitis (unilateral or bilateral), chronic only," as these diagnoses all refer to urinary tract infections that do not involve the kidneys and have similar symptoms. Prostatitis would not be included in proposed revised DC 7527, "Prostate gland injuries, infections, hypertrophy, postoperative residuals, bladder outlet obstruction," because it is rarely caused by a bacterial infection and generally results in repeated bladder infections. J. Stevermer et al., "Treatment of Prostatitis," 61(10) Am.

Family Physician 3015–22 (2000). As a result, the diagnoses contained in DC 7527 are not consistent with non-bacterial prostatitis. In addition, the symptoms caused by prostatitis—recurrent bladder infections—are most similar to the diagnoses contained in DC 7525. There is no change to the evaluation criteria for this DC.

VA also proposes to rename DC 7527 to include bladder outlet obstruction, which has the same functional impairment and symptomatology as the other conditions currently encompassed in this code. Bladder outlet obstruction is not included in current DC 7517, "Bladder, injury of," because this condition is not caused by an injury to the bladder, but is generally caused by another condition, such as benign prostatic hypertrophy (BPH), which is addressed in DC 7527. R. Dmochowski, "Bladder Outlet Obstruction: Etiology and Evaluation," 7(Supp. 6) Reviews in Urology S3-S13 (2005). In addition, the symptomatology for this condition may include urinary tract infections, rather than only voiding dysfunction, as contemplated by DC 7517. There is no change to the evaluation criteria for this

VA proposes to add a note to DC 7533 to identify some of the most common cystic kidney diseases seen in the veteran population, to include polycystic disease, uremic medullary cystic disease, medullary sponge kidney, and similar conditions such as hereditary nephritis, Alport's syndrome, cystinosis, primary oxalosis, and Fabry's disease. M. Bisceglia et al., "Renal cystic diseases: a review," 13(1) Advances in Anatomic Pathology 26–56 (2006). These diseases are being added as a medical update and would ensure proper field application of this DC. There is no change to the evaluation criteria for this DC.

Regarding DC 7534, which deals with atherosclerotic renal disease, VA proposes to specifically identify another atherosclerotic renal disease—large vessel disease, unspecified. Renal Failure: Diagnosis and Treatment 65 (J. Gary Abuelo ed. 1995). This disease is being added as a medical update and would ensure proper field application of this DC. There is no change to the evaluation criteria.

Finally, VA proposes to amend DC 7537 to identify the most common forms of interstitial nephritis resulting from the high prevalence of the disease, including gouty nephropathy and disorders of calcium metabolism. There is no change to the evaluation criteria.

#### E. DCs 7539 and 7541

VA proposes to move all conditions contained in DC 7541 to DC 7539, with the exception of renal involvement in diabetes mellitus, to encompass all systemic conditions that impact the kidneys. All of these conditions are, as amyloid diseases, systemic diseases with renal involvement and therefore are more appropriately evaluated under a single DC. For clarity and ease of field application, VA proposes to add a note to DC 7539 to identify all forms of glomerulonephritis, nephritis, and renal vasculitis encountered with systemic diseases. There is no change to the evaluation criteria.

As for renal involvement in diabetes mellitus (e.g., diabetic nephropathy), VA proposes to continue rating this condition separately under DC 7541. Although this condition would also be rated as renal dysfunction, VA finds there is a need to track this particular condition given its incidence and prevalence in the Veteran population, especially with regard to claims related to Agent Orange exposure.

## F. DC 7542

Based on modern clinical findings, neurogenic bladder should continue to be rated as a voiding dysfunction. However, due to high rate of urinary tract infections, VA proposes that this condition may be rated as voiding dysfunction or urinary tract infection, whichever is predominant. D. Sauerwein, "Urinary tract infection in patients with neurogenic bladder dysfunction," 19(6) Int'l J. of Antimicrobial Agents 592–97 (2002).

#### G. New Proposed DC 7543

VA proposes the introduction of new DC 7543, "Varicocele/Hydrocele," to reflect related conditions of the urinary tract that have not previously been recognized for disability evaluation purposes. Varicocele is a dilatation of the veins along the cord that receives blood from the testicles. Hydrocele is a collection of fluid in the scrotum.

The medical community now recognizes that these conditions may be associated with a decrease in fertility and, in rare instances, may be associated with infertility. Center for Male Reproductive Medicine and Vasectomy Reversal, "Varicocele Repair," http://www.malereproduction.com/male-infertility/treatment/varicocele-repair.php (last accessed April 16, 2014). As a decrease in fertility, or the existence of infertility, does not cause a reduction in earning capacity, VA proposes to assign a 0 percent evaluation to these conditions. In

instances where there is a clinical finding of infertility, these conditions may support eligibility for SMC due to loss of use of a creative organ. Therefore, to best administer this benefit, VA proposes a diagnostic code for these conditions that provides a 0 percent evaluation. Section 4.115b's footnote directing consideration of SMC would apply to DC 7543, consistent with the other DCs in the VASRD addressing a creative organ.

### H. New Proposed DC 7544

VA proposes the introduction of new DC 7544, "Renal disease caused by viral infection such as HIV, Hepatitis B, and Hepatitis C," to reflect renal dysfunctions associated with HIV and hepatitis because of increasing prevalence and incidence of diseases caused by these viruses. Perico Norberto et al., "Hepatitis C Infection and Chronic Renal Diseases," 4(1) Clinical J. Am. Soc'y of Nephrology 207–20 (2009). Hepatitis A, an acute liver disease, does not cause chronic renal disease and is therefore not included in this DC.

VA proposes to evaluate this DC as renal dysfunction under § 4.115a because, when the liver is damaged due to Hepatitis B or C infection, the accumulation of toxins in the blood can damage the kidneys, causing renal dysfunction. HIV-associated renal dysfunctions have several different etiologies, but can include direct HIV infection of the kidney, kidney damage caused by drugs used to treat HIV, and fluid loss caused by various processes associated with the advanced disease process. Moro O. Salifu, "HIV-Associated Nephropathy," Medscape, http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/ 246031-overview (Vecihi Batuman ed., 2013) (last accessed April 16, 2014).

## I. New Proposed DC 7545

VA proposes the introduction of new DC 7545, "Bladder, diverticulum of." Currently, there is no DC for diverticulum of the bladder and, as such, it is generally evaluated in the field as analogous to fistula of the bladder. A bladder fistula is an abnormal connection between the bladder and another organ of the body (e.g., the bowel). A bladder diverticulum is an abnormal pouch or sac due to weakness in the bladder's muscular wall that allows a portion of the bladder to protrude. Urology Care Foundation, "Urology A–Z: Bladder Diverticulum," http://www.urologyhealth.org/urology/ index.cfm?article=111 (last accessed April 16, 2014). The two conditions have dissimilar symptomatology and result in dissimilar functional impairment. A bladder fistula allows

urine to escape the confines of the bladder into another space such as the rectum, or externally, causing urinary leakage. A bladder diverticulum allows urine to remain in the bladder longer, often resulting in infection as well as voiding dysfunction.

The proposed addition of this new DC would ensure that the condition is more appropriately rated. VA proposes to rate DC 7545 as voiding dysfunction or urinary tract infection, whichever is predominant, because these criteria best capture the functional impairment associated with this condition.

#### Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity). Executive Order 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) defines a "significant regulatory action," which requires review by the Office of Management and Budget, as "any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order."

VA has examined the economic, interagency, budgetary, legal, and policy implications of this regulatory action, and it has been determined not to be a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

VA's impact analysis can be found as a supporting document at www.regulations.gov, usually within 48 hours after the rulemaking document is published. Additionally, a copy of this rulemaking and its impact analysis are

available on VA's Web site at www.va.gov/orpm/, by following the link for VA Regulations Published from FY 2004 Through Fiscal Year to Date.

## Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Secretary hereby certifies that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612. This proposed rule would directly affect only individuals and would not directly affect any small entities. Therefore, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this proposed rule would be exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604.

## Unfunded Mandates

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires, at 2 U.S.C. 1532, that agencies prepare an assessment of anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year. This proposed rule would have no such effect on State, local, and tribal governments, or on the private sector.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

This proposed rule contains no provisions constituting a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521).

### Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program numbers and titles affected by this document are 64.009, Veterans Medical Care Benefits; 64.104, Pension for Non-Service-Connected Disability for Veterans; 64.109, Veterans Compensation for Service-Connected Disability.

## Signing Authority

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Gina S. Farrisee, Deputy Chief of Staff, Department of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on May 26, 2017, for publication.

Dated: July 21, 2017.

#### Michael Shores,

Director, Regulation Policy & Management, Office of the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs.

#### List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 4

Disability benefits, Pensions, Veterans.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Department of Veterans Affairs proposes to amend 38 CFR part 4 as follows:

# PART 4—SCHEDULE FOR RATING DISABILITIES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 4 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 38 U.S.C. 1155, unless otherwise noted.

## Subpart B—Disability Ratings

■ 2. Revise § 4.115 to read as follows:

# § 4.115 Co-Existence of renal and cardiovascular conditions.

Separate ratings are not to be assigned for disability from disease of the heart and any form of renal disease, on account of the close interrelationships of cardiovascular diseases. If, however, absence of a kidney is the sole renal disability, even if removal was required because of nephritis, the absent kidney and any hypertension or heart disease will be separately rated. Also, in the event that chronic renal disease has progressed to the point where regular dialysis is required, any coexisting hypertension or heart disease will be separately rated.

■ 3. Amend § 4.115a by revising the introductory text and the table entries regarding "Renal dysfuntion" and "Urinary tract infection" to read as follows:

# § 4.115a Ratings of the genitourinary system—dysfunctions.

Diseases of the genitourinary system generally result in disabilities related to renal or voiding dysfunctions, infections, or a combination of these. The following section provides descriptions of various levels of disability in each of these symptom areas. Where diagnostic codes refer the decision maker to these specific areas of dysfunction, only the predominant area of dysfunction shall be considered for rating purposes. Distinct disabilities may be evaluated separately under this section, pursuant to § 4.14, if the symptoms do not overlap. Since the areas of dysfunction described below do not cover all symptoms resulting from genitourinary diseases, specific

diagnoses may include a description of symptoms assigned to that diagnosis.

						Rating
Renal dysfunction:						
Chronic kidney disea					gular, routine dialysis or	10
Chronic kidney disea	ase with GFR 16 t	o 29 mL/min				8
Chronic kidney disea	ase with GFR 30 t	o 59 mL/min				6
Chronic kidney disea	ase with GFR ≥60	mL/min with at lea	st one of the following:			
Albumin/creatini	ne ratio (ACR) ≥2	.5 g/gm (nephrotic	range proteinuria); or			
Hypertension at	least 10 percent	disabling under dia	gnostic code 7101			3
Chronic kidney disea	ase with GFR ≥60	mL/min with at lea	st one of the following:			
Albumin/creatini	ne ratio (ACR) fro	m 0.03 g/gm to 2.4	9 g/gm; or			
Hypertension the	at is non-compens	sable under diagno	stic code 7101			
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a	sable under diagno and creatinine base	stic code 7101d approximations of G	FR will be accepted	I for evaluation purposes	
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a	sable under diagno and creatinine base	stic code 7101	FR will be accepted		
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a	sable under diagno and creatinine base	stic code 7101d approximations of G	FR will be accepted		*
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate under this section	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a	sable under diagno and creatinine base	stic code 7101d approximations of G	FR will be accepted		*
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate under this section  * Urinary tract infection:	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a when determined	sable under diagno and creatinine base to be appropriate a	stic code 7101d approximations of G	FR will be accepted		*
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate under this section  * Urinary tract infection: Poor renal function:	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a when determined  * Rate as renal dys	sable under diagnound creatinine base to be appropriate a *  * function.	stic code 7101d d approximations of G and calculated by a me	FR will be accepted dical professional.	I for evaluation purposes  *	*
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate under this section  * Urinary tract infection: Poor renal function: Recurrent symptoma	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a when determined  * Rate as renal dysatic infection requi	sable under diagnound creatinine base to be appropriate a *  * function. iring drainage by s	stic code 7101d d approximations of G and calculated by a me * tent or nephrostomy to	FR will be accepted dical professional.  * ube; or requiring gr	for evaluation purposes  * eater than 2 hospitaliza-	*
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate under this section  * Urinary tract infection: Poor renal function: Recurrent symptomations per year; or a	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a when determined  * Rate as renal dystatic infection requirequiring continuor	sable under diagnoral creatinine base to be appropriate a * function. iring drainage by sus intensive manage.	stic code 7101d d approximations of G and calculated by a me * tent or nephrostomy to gement	FR will be accepted dical professional.  *  ube; or requiring gr	t for evaluation purposes  * eater than 2 hospitaliza-	*
Hypertension the Note: GFR, estimate under this section  * Urinary tract infection: Poor renal function: Recurrent symptomations per year; or Recurrent symptomations per year;	at is non-compensed GFR (eGFR), a when determined  * Rate as renal dystatic infection requirequiring continuotatic infection required	sable under diagnound creatinine base to be appropriate a * function. iring drainage by sus intensive managering 1–2 hospitaliz	stic code 7101d d approximations of G and calculated by a me * tent or nephrostomy to gement	FR will be accepted dical professional.  *  ube; or requiring grammer	for evaluation purposes  * eater than 2 hospitaliza-	*

- 4. Amend § 4.115b by:
- a. Removing diagnostic code 7510.
- b. Revising diagnostic codes 7508, 7520, 7521, 7522, 7524, 7525, 7527, 7533, 7534, 7537, 7539, 7541, and 7542
- c. Adding diagnostic codes 7543, 7544, and 7545.

The revisions and additions read as follows:

§ 4.115b Ratings of the genitourinary system—diagnoses.

						Rating	g
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
	is/Ureterolithiasis/Nep		ation requiring invas	ive or non-invasive pr	ocedures more than tw	vo	
							30
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
							1 30
7521 Penis, remov	al of glans						120
	inction, with or withou s disease is not a rata						10
rtoto. r cyromo c	diodado lo riot a rate	.s.o corrainom					
* 7504 Taalia waxaa	*	*	*	*	*	*	
7524 Testis, remov							1 30
							10
				I injury or disease, oth			Ů
				he other testis unrelate			
		for the service-con	nected testicular los	ss. Testis, undescende	d, or congenitally und	le-	
	a ratable disability.						
	ethritis, epididymitis, o	orchitis (unilateral or	bilateral), chronic o	nly:			
Rate as urinary	rfact infection. rfections: Rate in acco	ordance with 88.4.89	Sh or 4.80 whicheve	ur ie annronriato			
				adder outlet obstruction	1:		
	dysfunction or urinary						
		,	•				

7533 Cystic diseases of the kidneys:

Rate as renal dysfunction.

Note: Cystic diseases of the kidneys include, but are not limited to, polycystic disease, uremic medullary cystic disease, medullary sponge kidney, and similar conditions such as hereditary nephritis, Alport's syndrome, cystinosis, primary oxalosis, and Fabry's disease.

7534 Atherosclerotic renal disease (renal artery stenosis, atheroembolic renal disease, or large vessel disease, unspecified): Rate as renal dysfunction.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

7537 Interstitial nephritis, including gouty nephropathy, disorders of calcium metabolism:

						Rating
Rate as renal dy	ysfunction.					
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
diseases, su Scleroderma,	ysfunction. nostic code pertains t ch as Lupus eryth	ematosus-Systemic ndrome, Polyarteriti	lupus erythematos s, Wegener's granul	sus nephritis, Henoc omatosis, other Vasc	is and in other systemic ch-Schonlein syndrome, ulitis and its derivatives,	
Rate as renal dy 7542 Neurogenic b Rate as voiding 7543 Varicocele/Hy	ladder: dysfunction or urinary drocele	r tract infection, whi	······································		*	*
Rate as renal dy 7545 Bladder, dive		ŕ				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Review for entitlement to special monthly compensation under § 3.350 of this chapter.

- 5. Amend Appendix A to Part 4 by:
- a. Adding § 4.115.
- b. Revising § 4.115a.
- $\blacksquare$  c. In § 4.115b, revising the entries for diagnostic codes 7508, 7510, 7520

through 7522, 7524, 7525, 7527, 7533, 7534, 7537, 7539, 7541, and 7542.

■ d. In § 4.115b, adding diagnostic codes 7543 through 7545.

The additions and revisions to read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 4—Table of Amendments and Effective Dates Since 1946

diagnostic codes 7500, 7510, 7520			1340				
	Section	Diagnostic code No.					
*	*		* * * * * *				
			<ul> <li>Retitled and revised [insert effective date of final rule].</li> <li>Re-designated and revised as § 4.115b; new § 4.115a "Ratings of the genitourinary sy dysfunctions" added February 17, 1994; revised [insert effective date of final rule].</li> </ul>	ystem-			
4.115b.			-,				
*	*		* * * * *				
		7508	8 Evaluation February 17, 1994; title, criterion [insert effective date of final rule].				
*	*		* * * * *				
		7510	0 Evaluation February 17, 1994; removed [insert effective date of final rule].				
*	*		* * * * *				
		7520 7521 7522	1 Criterion February 17, 1994; criterion, footnote [insert effective date of final rule].				
*	*		* * * * * *				
		7524 7525	effective date of final rule].	•			
*	*		* * * * * *				
		7527	7 Criterion February 17, 1994; title [insert effective date of final rule].				
*	*		* * * * * *				
			Added February 17, 1994; title and note [insert effective date of final rule].  Added February 17, 1994; title [insert effective date of final rule].				
*	*		* * * * * *				
		7537	7 Added February 17, 1994; title [insert effective date of final rule].				
*	*		* * * * * *				
		7539	9 Added February 17, 1994; note [insert effective date of final rule].				
*	*		* * * * *				
		7541 7542	<ul><li>Added February 17, 1994; title [insert effective date of final rule].</li><li>Added February 17, 1994; criterion [insert effective date of final rule].</li></ul>				

	Diagno code	ostic No.				
		7544 Added [in	sert effective date of sert effective date of sert effective date of	final rule].		
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
■ 6. Amend Append ■ a. Revising diagnor 7522, 7525, 7527, 75 7541.	stic codes 7508,	■ c. Addin	ving diagnostic cod g diagnostic codes 145.	7543 follo	he revisions and acous:  pendix B to Part 4— Disabilities	
Diagnostic code	e No.					
		Th	e Genitourinary Sys	stem		
* 7508	* Nepl	* orolithiasis/Uretero	* lithiasis/Nephrocalcing	* osis	*	*
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	Erec	tile dysfunction.	•	•	•	•
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7525 7527	Pros	tatitis, urethritis, ep tate gland injuries,	oididymitis, orchitis (u infections, hypertrop	nilateral or bilateral), hy, postoperative res	chronic only. siduals, bladder outlet	obstruction.
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7533 7534	Athe	ic diseases of the rosclerotic renal d specified).	kidneys. isease (renal artery s	stenosis, atheroembo	olic renal disease, or l	arge vessel disease,
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7537	Inter	stitial nephritis, inc	luding gouty nephrop	athy, disorders of ca	lcium metabolism.	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7541	Hena	ai invoivement in d	labetes meilitus type	i or ii.		
* 7543	* Vario	*	*	*	*	*
7544 7545	Rena	al disease caused		n as HIV, Hepatitis B	, and Hepatitis C.	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
■ 7. Amend Append ■ a. Revising the entr	ries for diagnostic	diagnostic ■ c. Addin	ving the reference t code 7510; g diagnostic codes	follo 7543 <b>Ap</b> r	he revisions and acoows: pendix C to Part 4—	
codes 7508, 7522, 75	25, 7527, 7533,				ex of Disabilities	
codes 7508, 7522, 75 7537, and 7541.	525, 7527, 7533,	through 75	545.	Ind	ex of Disabilities	
	525, 7527, 7533,	through 75	545.	Ind	CX Of Disubilities	Diagnostic code No.
7537, and 7541.	*	through 75	*	Ind.	*	Diagnostic code No.
7537, and 7541.  * Bladder:  *	*	*	*	*	*	Diagnostic code No.  *  * 7545
* Bladder:  * Diverticulum of	*	*	* *	*	*	code No.  * 7545
7537, and 7541.  * Bladder:  *	* * *	* * *	* *	* *	* *	code No.  *  7545  * 7522

						Diagnostic code No.	:
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Cystic diseases	of the					753	33
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Nephrolithiasis/Urete	erolithiasis/Nephrocal	cinosis				750	80
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Prostate gland injurie Prostatitis, urethritis,	es, infections, hypertr epididymitis, orchitis	ophy, postoperative re (unilateral or bilateral	esiduals, bladder out ), chronic only	let obstruction		752 752	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Renal:							
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Disease caused	by viral infection suc	ch as HIV, Hepatitis B	, and Hepatitis C			754	44
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Involvement in o	diabetes mellitus type	l or II				754	41
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Varicocele/Hydrocele	e					754	43
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

[FR Doc. 2017–15765 Filed 7–27–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2017-0365; FRL-9965-29-Region 4]

Air Plan Approval; Kentucky; Revisions to Louisville; Definitions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On August 29, 2012, the Commonwealth of Kentucky, through the Kentucky Division for Air Quality (KDAQ), submitted changes to the Kentucky State Implementation Plan (SIP) on behalf of the Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District (District). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a portion of the submission that modifies the District's air quality regulations as incorporated into the SIP. Specifically, the revisions pertain to definitional changes, including the modification of the definition of "volatile organic compounds". EPA is proposing to approve this portion of the SIP revision because the Commonwealth has demonstrated that these changes are consistent with the Clean Air Act. EPA will act on the other portion of KDAQ's August 29, 2012, submittal in a separate action.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before August 28, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R04-OAR-2017-0365 at http:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the Web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nacosta C. Ward, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9140. Ms. Ward can be reached via electronic mail at ward.nacosta@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

Dated: July 11, 2017.

### V. Anne Heard,

 $Acting \ Regional \ Administrator, Region \ 4.$  [FR Doc. 2017–15738 Filed 7–27–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P