

instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate.²⁰

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties, where applicable.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters identified above, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a previously completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity (i.e., 60.85 percent); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their

responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notifications to All Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to Administrative Protective Order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: June 12, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: International Freight Surrogate Value
 - Comment 2: Irrecoverable Value-Added Tax Adjustment
 - Comment 3: Truck Freight Surrogate Value
 - Comment 4: Preliminary Margin Calculation Clerical Errors
 - A. Treatment of Packing Labor
 - B. Calculation of By-Product

C. Copper Cathode Calculation
D. Date of Sale Variable
V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017–12525 Filed 6–15–17; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Review; Correction

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 2, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice in the **Federal Register** that inadvertently omitted the initiation for the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel pipe from Taiwan. This notice is a correction.

DATES: Effective June 1, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs and Liaison Unit, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In the *Initiation Notice* published in the **Federal Register** on June 2, 2017,¹ the Department inadvertently omitted the initiation for the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Taiwan. The Department is now correcting that notice. The initiation is effective June 1, 2017.

Correction of Initiation of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(c), effective June 1, 2017, we are initiating the Sunset Review of the following antidumping duty order:

DOC Case No.	ITC Case No.	Country	Product	Department contact
A–583–814	731–TA–536	Taiwan	Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe (4th Review)	Jacqueline Arrowsmith (202) 482–5255.

Effect of Correction of Initiation Notice

Additional information concerning the Department’s Sunset proceedings can be found in the “Filing

Information,” “Letters of Appearance and Administrative Protective Orders,” and “Information Required from Interested Parties” sections of the

Initiation Notice.² All filing requirements and deadlines under section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), and 19 CFR

²⁰ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 82 FR 25599 (June 2, 2017) (*Initiation Notice*).

² *Id.*, at 25599–25600.

351.218 for the above-identified Sunset Review were established with publication of the *Initiation Notice* on June 2, 2017. Because of the circumstances requiring this correction of the *Initiation Notice*, and pursuant to 19 CFR 351.302(b), the Department will consider requests from interested parties for the extension of the deadlines established by 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) for filing of a notice of intent to participate, by 19 CFR 351.218(d)(2)(i) for filing of a statement of waiver, and by 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i) for filing of a substantive response.

This correction of the notice of initiation is published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).

Dated: June 13, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017-12523 Filed 6-15-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-825]

Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from Brazil. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2015, through January 31, 2016. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Villares Metals S.A. (Villares). For the final results of this review, we continue to find that subject merchandise has not been sold at less than normal value.

DATES: Effective June 16, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477, and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 1, 2017, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of the

administrative review in which it determined that subject merchandise has not been sold at less than fair value.¹ The Department stated its intent to issue a supplemental questionnaire after the *Preliminary Results* in light of pre-preliminary comments from Carpenter Technology Corporation, Crucible Industries LLC, Electralloy, a Division of G.O. Carlson, Inc., North America Stainless, and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (collectively, the petitioners).² On March 10, 2017, the Department issued a supplemental questionnaire to Villares regarding its reporting of certain expense and revenue items,³ and received a response on April 3, 2017.⁴ No party commented on Villares' April 3, 2017, supplemental questionnaire response. The Department also gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, but received no comments. The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SSB. The term SSB with respect to the order means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons or other convex polygons. SSB includes cold-finished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process. Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut-length flat-rolled products (*i.e.*, cut-length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the

thickness), wire (*i.e.*, cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes and sections. The SSB subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

After consideration of Villares' April 3, 2017, supplemental questionnaire response, the Department made minor changes to its calculations announced in the *Preliminary Results*.⁵ Specifically, for the final results of this administrative review, we used Villares' revised sales databases that it submitted to the Department in response to a March 10, 2017, supplemental questionnaire, which we issued after the *Preliminary Results*.⁶

In addition, in our March 10, 2017, supplemental questionnaire, we requested that Villares ensure and confirm that it reported gross unit prices net of any expenses and that any expenses (freight, insurance, merchandise processing fee, harbor maintenance fees, entry fee, etc.) were properly reported in their corresponding expense field.⁷ Villares provided the Department a U.S. sales database in Excel format with the appropriate expense fields. We reviewed the information provided by Villares and determined that the freight amounts reported constituted as freight revenue and therefore, consistent with the Department's practice, it is appropriate to treat freight revenue as offsets to certain expenses.⁸ Accordingly, for the final results, we capped Villares' freight

⁵ For further details, see Memorandum to the File, "Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil: Final Analysis Memorandum for Villares Metals S.A.; 2015–2016," dated concurrently with this notice (Final Analysis Memorandum).

⁶ See the Department's supplemental questionnaire dated March 10, 2017.

⁷ See the Department's March 10, 2017, third supplemental questionnaire at page 5.

⁸ See *Certain Orange Juice from Brazil: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 46584 (August 11, 2008), and the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (I&D Memo) at Comment 7, and *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 6857 (February 11, 2009), and the accompanying I&D Memo at Comment 6.

¹ See *Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015–2016*, 82 FR 12197 (March 1, 2017) (*Preliminary Results*) and Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, dated February 23, 2017 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

² See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 2.

³ See the Department's supplemental questionnaire, dated March 10, 2017.

⁴ See Villares' supplemental questionnaire response, dated April 3, 2017.