December 31, 2015.¹ On November 23, 2016, the Department received letters from Colakoglu Metalurii A.S., Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanavi A.S., and Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S., respectively, requesting an administrative review.² On November 30, 2016, the Department received a letter from the Rebar Trade Action Coalition (RTAC, or the petitioner)³ requesting a review of 19 exporters and/or producers of subject merchandise.⁴ On January 13, 2017, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review for this CVD order.⁵ On April 13, 2017, the petitioner submitted a timely withdrawal of its request for review of DufEnergy, Duferco Celik, and Ekinciler Demir.6

Partial Rescission of the 2015 Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. The Department published the Initiation Notice for this administrative review on January 13, 2017. The petitioner timely withdrew its request for a review of DufEnergy, Duferco Celik, and Ekinciler Demir within the 90-day period. No other party requested an administrative review of these particular companies. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), and consistent with our practice,⁷ we are rescinding this review of the CVD order on rebar from Turkey with respect to DufEnergy, Duferco Celik, and Ekinciler Demir. This review will continue with respect to all other

² See Colakoglu Metalurji A.S.' November 23, 2016 Request for CVD Administrative Review; Icdas Celik Enerji Tersane ve Ulasim Sanayi A.S.' November 23, 2016 Request for CVD Administrative Review; and Kaptan Demir Celik Endustrisi ve Ticaret A.S.' November 23, 2016 Request for CVD Administrative Review.

³ The individual members of RTAC are Nucor Corporation, Gerdau Ameristeel US Inc., Commercial Metals Company, Byer Steel Group, Inc., and Steel Dynamics, Inc.

⁴ See Petitioner's November 30, 2016 Request for Administrative Review.

⁵ See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 82 FR 4294 (January 13, 2017) (Initiation Notice).

⁶ See Petitioner's April 13, 2017 Withdrawal of Requests for Administrative Review.

⁷ See, e.g., Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Notice of Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014, 81 FR 7082 (February 10, 2016). firms for which a review was requested and initiated.

Assessment

The Department will instruct Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, during the period January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 9, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2017–12409 Filed 6–14–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-991]

Chlorinated Isocyanurates From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) has completed its administrative review of the

countervailing duty (CVD) order on chlorinated isocyanurates (chloro isos) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the February 4, 2014, through December 31, 2014, period of review (POR). We have determined that mandatory respondents Heze Huayi Chemical Co., Ltd. (Heze) and Hebei Jiheng Chemical Co., Ltd. (Jiheng), and their cross-owned affiliates, where applicable, received countervailable subsidies during the POR. The final net subsidy rates are listed below in "Final Results of Administrative Review." We are also rescinding the review for Juancheng Kangtai Chemical Co., Ltd. (Kangtai) that timely certified it made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

DATES: Effective June 15, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Omar Qureshi or Justin Neuman, AD/ CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202.482.5307 or 202.482.0486, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 13, 2014, the Department published the CVD Order on chloro isos from the PRC.¹ The Department published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review in the **Federal Register** on December 13, 2016.² We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On May 19, 2017, we received case briefs from the petitioners and the Government of China (GOC).³ On May 24, 2017 we received rebuttal comments from the petitioners, Jiheng, and Heze.⁴

² See Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, and Preliminary Intent to Rescind Review, in Part; 2014, 81 FR 89896 (December 13, 2016) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (Preliminary Results).

³ See Case Brief Submitted to the Record from the Petitioners (May 19, 2017) (the Petitioners' Case Brief); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the GOC, "GOC Administrative Case Brief: First Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Chlorinate Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China (C-570-991)" (May 19, 2017) (GOC's Case Brief).

⁴ See Rebuttal Case Brief Submitted to the Record from the Petitioners (May 24, 2017); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the GOC, "GOC Administrative Rebuttal Brief: First Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China (C–570–991) (May 19, 2017) (GOC's Case Brief); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Heze, "Certain Chlorinated

¹ See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 81 FR 76920 (November 4, 2016).

¹ See Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order, 79 FR 67424 (November 13, 2014).

On May 31, 2017, the Department issued the results of its post-preliminary decision on the China Export Import Bank's Export Buyer's Credit Program, and invited comments on the Department's decision. On June 2, 2017, Heze provided comments on the postpreliminary decision.⁵ On June 5, 2017, we received rebuttal comments on the post-preliminary decision from the petitioners and the GOC.⁶

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are chloro isos, which are derivatives are cyanuric acid, described as chlorinated s-triazine triones.⁷ Chloro isos are currently classifiable under subheadings 2933.69.6015, 2933.69.6021, 2933.69.6050, 3808.50.4000, 3808.94.5000, and 3808.99.9500 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written product description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the parties' briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed is attached to this notice at Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http:// enforcement.trade.gov/frn. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and

⁵ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Heze, "Certain Chlorinated Isocyanurates from China Comments on Post-Preliminary Results" (June 2, 2017) (Heze's Post-Prelim Comments).

⁶ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the Petitioners, "Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China: Post-Preliminary Determination Rebuttal Comments" (June 5, 2017) (the Petitioners' Post-Prelim Rebuttal Comments); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the GOC, "GOC Comments in Response to Post-Prelim Comments: First Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China (C-570-991)" (June 5, 2017) (GOC's Post-Prelim Rebuttal Comments).

⁷ For a complete description of the Scope of the Order, *see Preliminary Results.*

the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on case briefs, rebuttal briefs, and all supporting documentation, we made changes from the *Preliminary Results.* For Heze, the Department has corrected the average useful life range from 9 to 10 years. The Department also found the Export Buyer's Credit Program to be countervailable and applied an adverse inference in a postpreliminary decision memorandum.⁸ However, the Department has adjusted the adverse inference rate in these final results.

Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we find that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.⁹ The Issues and Decision Memorandum contains a full description of the methodology underlying the Department's conclusions, including any determination that relied upon the use of adverse facts available pursuant to sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act.

Partial Rescission of Administrative Review

As noted in the Preliminary Results, the Department timely received a noshipment response from Kangtai. The Department stated its intention to rescind the review with respect to this company. The Department inquired with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) whether Kangtai had shipped merchandise to the United States during the POR, and CBP provided no evidence to contradict the claims of no shipments made by this company. Accordingly, the Department is rescinding the administrative review on Kangtai, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3).

Final Results of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), we determine the following net subsidy rates for the 2014 administrative review:

Company	Net subsidy rates (percent <i>ad</i> <i>valorem</i>)
Hebei Jiheng Chemical Co., Ltd Heze Huayi Chemical Co.,	21.76
Ltd	1.91

Assessment Rates

The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review, to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after February 4, 2014, through December 31, 2014, at the *ad valorem* rates listed above.

Cash Deposit Instructions

In accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act, the Department intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts shown for each of the respective companies listed above. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 9, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. List of Interested Party Comments
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Changes Since the Preliminary Results and Post-Preliminary Results

Isocyanurates from China Rebuttal Brief' (May 24, 2017) (Heze's Rebuttal Brief); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Jiheng, "Certain Chlorinated Isocyanurates from China Rebuttal Brief of Hebei Jiheng Chemical Co., Ltd." (May 24, 2017) (Jiheng's Rebuttal Brief).

^a See Memorandum from Gary Taverman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Ronald Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Countervailing Duty Administrative Review of Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People's Republic of China: Post-Preliminary Results Decision Memorandum" (May 31, 2017).

⁹ See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

- VI. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
- VII. Subsidies Valuation Information
- VIII. Benchmarks
- IX. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
- X. Programs Determined To Be Countervailable
- XI. Programs Determined Not To Confer Measurable Benefits
- XII. Programs Determined Not To Be Used During the POR
- XIII. Analysis of Comments
- XIV. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2017–12449 Filed 6–14–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Massachusetts Medical School, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106– 36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 16–002. Applicant: University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01655. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 81 FR 71702, October 18, 2016.

Docket Number: 16–011. Applicant: Van Andel Research Institute, Grand Rapids, MI 49503. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 81 FR 71702–03, October 18, 2016.

Docket Number: 16–012. Applicant: Van Andel Research Institute, Grand Rapids, MI 49503. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 81 FR 71702–03, October 18, 2016.

Docket Number: 16–013. Applicant: Van Andel Research Institute, Grand Rapids, MI 49503. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 81 FR 71702–03, October 18, 2016.

Docket Number: 16–015. Applicant: Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, the Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 81 FR 71702–03, October 18, 2016.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as this instrument is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: June 9, 2017.

Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–12407 Filed 6–14–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Purdue University, et al.; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 16–004. Applicant: Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907. Instrument: SGR YAG pulsed laser. Manufacturer: Beamtech Optronics, Co., LTD, China. Intended Use: See notice at 81 FR 71702, October 18, 2016. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order. Reasons: The instrument will be used for pulsed laser annealing and nanostructure integrated laser shock peening, to improve the microstructure of thin film for better electrical and optical properties. Requirements for the experiment include three wave lengths (355nm, 532nm, 1064 nm), pulse energy 2J, flat hat beam, and pulse duration tunable from 10ns to 25ns.

Docket Number: 16–008. Applicant: California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125. Instrument: **Cryogenic Temperature Scanning** Tunneling Microscope System. Manufacturer: Unisoku Co., LTD., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 81 FR 71703, October 18, 2016. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order. Reasons: The instrument will be used to investigate structural and electrical surface properties with atomic resolution at cryogenic temperatures (-459 Fahrenheit-0.4 K) and high magnetic fields, at which conditions materials can exhibit unusual quantum properties such as topological superconductivity and fractionalization of charge carriers. Experiments to be conducted with the instrument include mapping of the local electronic density of states of gated nanostructures by measuring current-voltage curves at different points, mapping of the electron spin structure using scanning tips made of magnetic materials, and probing the size of the energy gap in topological insulators and topological superconductors. For this type of research an instrument capable of performing scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) at cryogenic temperatures and high magnetic fields is essential.

Dated: June 9, 2017.

Gregory W. Campbell,

Director, Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance. [FR Doc. 2017–12406 Filed 6–14–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XF473

Endangered and Threatened Species; Take of Anadromous Fish

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Applications for three new scientific research permits, one permit modification, and four permit renewals.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that NMFS has received eight scientific research permit application requests