Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

## ACTION: Notice of a public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Pacific Fishery Management Council (Pacific Council) will convene a meeting, which is open to the public, of its Coastal Pelagic Species (CPS) Subcommittee of the Scientific and Statistical Committee (SSC).

**DATES:** The meeting will be held from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. on Tuesday, October 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held in the Pacific Conference Room of the NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center, 8901 La Jolla Shores Dr., La Jolla, CA 92037–1508.

*Council address:* Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE., Ambassador Place, Suite 101, Portland, Oregon 97220.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Kerry Griffin, Staff Officer; telephone: 503–820–2409.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Agenda

The primary purpose of the meeting is to review a biomass estimate for the central subpopulation of northern anchovy. The SSC CPS subcommittee will conduct the review, with one member each from the CPS Management Team and CPS Advisory Subpanel (CPSAS) serving as advisers. The subcommittee will submit a report to the full SSC, for further review at the November 15–21, 2016, Council meeting in Garden Grove, California. At that meeting, the Council will consider the biomass estimate along with any recommendations of the SSC, other advisory bodies, and public comment; and may consider taking management action.

### **Special Accommodations**

Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Mr. Dale Sweetnam, at 858–546–7170, at least ten days prior to the meeting date.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

Dated: September 7, 2016.

## Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 2016–21820 Filed 9–9–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### RIN 0648-XE871

#### Endangered and Threatened Species; Take of Anadromous Fish

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), this notice announces that NMFS intends to obtain information necessary to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for eight Hatchery and Genetic Management Plans (HGMP) for ongoing salmon and steelhead hatchery programs jointly submitted by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, and the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe (referred to as the co-managers), for NMFS' evaluation and determination under Limit 6 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) 4(d) Rule for threatened salmon and steelhead. The HGMPs specify the propagation of salmon and steelhead in the Puvallup-White River Basin in Washington State. NMFS provides this notice to advise other agencies and the public of its plans to analyze effects related to the action, and obtain suggestions and information that may be useful to the scope of issues and alternatives to include in the EIS.

**DATES:** Written or electronic scoping comments must be received at the appropriate address or email mailbox (see **ADDRESSES**) no later than 5 p.m. Pacific Time October 12, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be sent by any of the following methods:

• Email to the following address: PuyallupHatcheriesEIS.wcr@noaa.gov with the following identifier in the subject line: Puyallup Hatcheries Scoping.

• Mail or hand-deliver to NMFS West Coast Region, Sustainable Fisheries Division, 510 Desmond Drive SE., Suite 103, Lacey, WA 98503.

• Fax to (360) 753-9517.

Comments received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the above address. All Personal Identifying Information (for example, name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Leider, NMFS, by phone at (360) 753–4650, or email to *steve.leider@ noaa.gov*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## ESA-Listed Species Covered in This Notice

Steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): Threatened, naturally and artificially produced in Puget Sound.

Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*): Threatened, naturally and artificially produced in Puget Sound.

Chum salmon (*O. keta*): Threatened, naturally and artificially produced Hood Canal summer-run.

Bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*): Threatened Coastal Recovery Unit.

#### Background

The WDFW, the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, and the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe have jointly submitted to NMFS plans for eight ongoing hatchery programs in the Puyallup-White River Basin. The plans were submitted to NMFS from 2012 to 2016, pursuant to Limit 6 of the ESA 4(d) Rule for salmon and steelhead. The hatchery programs include releases of ESA-listed Chinook salmon and winter-run steelhead, and non-listed coho and fall-run chum salmon into the Puyallup-White River Basin.

NEPA requires Federal agencies to conduct environmental analyses of their proposed major actions to determine if the actions may affect the human environment. NMFS' action of determining that the co-managers' HGMPs meet criteria under Limit 6 of the 4(d) Rule for salmon and steelhead promulgated under the ESA is a major Federal action subject to environmental review under NEPA. Therefore, NMFS is seeking public input on the scope of the required NEPA analysis, including the range of reasonable alternatives, recommendations for relevant analysis methods, and information associated with impacts of the alternatives to the resources listed below or other relevant resources.

NMFS will perform an environmental review of the HGMPs and prepare an EIS that will identify potentially significant direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts on the following resources that may be affected by the Proposed Action or its alternatives:

• Listed and Non-listed Species and their habitats;

- Water Quantity;
- Socioeconomics;

- Environmental Justice; and
- Cumulative Impacts.

NMFS will rigorously explore and objectively evaluate a full range of reasonable alternatives in the EIS, including the Proposed Action (implementation of the co-managers' submitted HGMPs) and a no-action alternative (continuation of the hatchery programs with no 4(d) determination). Other alternatives may include a decreased production alternative and a no-production alternative. For all potentially significant impacts, the EIS will identify measures to avoid, minimize, and mitigate the impacts, where feasible, to a level below significance.

#### **Request for Comments**

NMFS provides this notice to: (1) Advise other agencies and the public of its plans to analyze effects related to the action, and (2) obtain suggestions and information that may be useful to the scope of issues and the full range of alternatives to include in the EIS.

NMFS invites comment from all interested parties to ensure that the full range of issues related to the eight salmon and steelhead HGMPs is identified. Comments should be as specific as possible.

Written comments concerning the Proposed Action and the environmental review should be directed to NMFS as described above (see **ADDRESSES**). All comments and materials received, including names and addresses, will become part of the administrative record and may be released to the public.

#### Authority

The environmental review of the eight salmon and steelhead HGMPs in the Puyallup-White River Basin of Washington State will be conducted in accordance with requirements of the NEPA of 1969 as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), NEPA Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), other appropriate Federal laws and regulations, and policies and procedures of NMFS for compliance with those regulations. This notice is being furnished in accordance with 40 CFR 1501.7 to obtain suggestions and information from other agencies and the public on the scope of issues and alternatives to be addressed in the EIS.

Dated: September 6, 2016.

### Angela Somma,

Chief, Endangered Species Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2016–21779 Filed 9–9–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2009-0088]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Third Party Conformity Assessment Body Registration Form

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA") of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission ("Commission" or "CPSC") announces that the Commission has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for extension of approval of a collection of information under the requirements pertaining to a third party conformity assessment body registration form, approved previously under OMB Control No. 3041-0143. In the Federal Register of June 30, 2016 (81 FR 42669), the CPSC published a notice to announce the agency's intention to seek extension of approval of the collection of information. The Commission received one comment that was out of scope. Therefore, by publication of this notice, the Commission announces that CPSC has submitted to the OMB a request for extension of approval of that collection of information, without change. **DATES:** Written comments on this request for extension of approval of information collection requirements should be submitted by October 12, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments about this request by email: *OIRA\_ submission@omb.eop.gov* or fax: 202– 395–6881. Comments by mail should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the CPSC, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. In addition, written comments that are sent to OMB also should be submitted electronically at: *http:// www.regulations.gov*, under Docket No. CPSC–2009–0088.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: *rsquibb*@ *cpsc.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CPSC seeks to renew the following currently approved collection of information:

*Title:* Third Party Conformity Assessment Body Registration Form. *OMB Number:* 3041–0143. *Type of Review:* Renewal of collection.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Affected Public: Third party conformity assessment bodies seeking acceptance of accreditation or continuing accreditation.

#### **General Description of Collection**

The Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 ("CPSIA") requires third party testing be conducted by a third party conformity assessment body for any children's product subject to a children's product safety rule, before importing for consumption or warehousing or distributing in commerce. The CPSIA allows accreditation of third party conformity assessment bodies to be conducted, either by the Commission, or by an independent accreditation organization designated by the Commission, and furthermore, requires that the Commission maintain on its Web site an up-to-date list of entities that have been accredited to assess conformity with children's product safety rules. With the exception of firewalled third party conformity assessment bodies, the Commission has chosen to accept the accreditation of third party conformity assessment bodies that meet accreditation requirements of an independent accreditation organization.

To assess a third party conformity assessment body's qualifications for acceptance by CPSC, information related to location, accreditation, and ownership must be collected from third party conformity assessment bodies. The CPSC uses an online collection form, CPSC Form 223, to gather information from third party conformity assessment bodies voluntarily seeking acceptance by CPSC. The information collected relates to location, accreditation, and ownership. The Commission staff uses this information to assess:

• A third party conformity assessment body's status as either an independent third party conformity assessment body, a government-owned or government-controlled conformity assessment body, or a firewalled conformity assessment body;

• Qualifications for acceptance by CPSC to test for compliance to specified children's product safety rules; and

• Eligibility for acceptance on the CPSC Web site.

Part 1112 requires the collection of information in CPSC Form 223: