

1(b)(4)(viii)(c)(2)(ii) is the same as the text of § 1.704–1T(b)(4)(viii)(c)(2)(ii) published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.]

(iii) [The text of the proposed amendments to § 1.704–1(b)(4)(viii)(c)(2)(iii) is the same as the text of § 1.704–1T(b)(4)(viii)(c)(2)(iii) published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.]

(3) [The text of the proposed amendments to § 1.704–1(b)(4)(viii)(c)(3) is the same as the text of § 1.704–1T(b)(4)(viii)(c)(3) published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.]

(4) [The text of the proposed amendments to § 1.704–1(b)(4)(viii)(c)(4) is the same as the text of § 1.704–1T(b)(4)(viii)(c)(4) published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.]

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Allocation and apportionment of CFTEs to CFTE categories.* (1) [The text of the proposed amendments to § 1.704–1(b)(4)(viii)(d)(1) is the same as the text of § 1.704–1T(b)(4)(viii)(d)(1) published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.]

\* \* \* \* \*

(5) \* \* \*

*Example 25.* [The text of the proposed amendments to § 1.704–1(b)(5) *Example 24* is the same as the text of § 1.704–1T(b)(5) *Example 25* published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.]

\* \* \* \* \*

*Example 36.* [The text of the proposed amendments to § 1.704–1(b)(5) *Example 36* is the same as the text of § 1.704–1T(b)(5) *Example 36* published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.]

*Example 37.* [The text of the proposed amendments to § 1.704–1(b)(5) *Example 37* is the same as the text of § 1.704–1T(b)(5) *Example 37* published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.]

\* \* \* \* \*

**John Dalrymple,**

*Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 2016–01948 Filed 2–3–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 100

[Docket Number USCG–2015–1108]

RIN 1625–AA08

### Special Local Regulation, Daytona Beach Grand Prix of the Seas; Atlantic Ocean, Daytona Beach, FL

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to establish a special local regulation on the waters of the Atlantic Ocean east of Daytona Beach, Florida during the Daytona Beach Grand Prix of the Seas, a series of high-speed personal watercraft boat races. This action is necessary to provide for the safety of life on the navigable waters surrounding the event. This special local regulation will be enforced daily 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., from April 22 through April 24, 2016. This proposed rulemaking would prohibit persons and vessels from being in the regulated area unless authorized by the Captain of the Port (COTP) Jacksonville or a designated representative. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before March 7, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2015–1108 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call or email Lieutenant Allan Storm, Sector Jacksonville, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone (904) 564–7563, email [Allan.H.Storm@uscg.mil](mailto:Allan.H.Storm@uscg.mil).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
E.O. Executive order  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
Pub. L. Public Law  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

## II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

On December 7, 2015, Powerboat P1–USA, LLC notified the Coast Guard that it will be conducting a series of high speed boat races in the Atlantic Ocean, offshore from Daytona Beach, FL from April 22 through 24, 2016. The COTP Jacksonville has determined that the potential hazards associated with the high speed boat races necessitate the establishment of a special local regulation.

The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure the safety of life on the navigable waters of the United States by prohibiting all vessels and persons not participating in the event from entering the regulated area. The Coast Guard proposes this rulemaking under authority in 33 U.S.C. 1233.

## III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The COTP proposes to establish a special local regulation for the Daytona Beach Grand Prix of the Seas, a series of high-speed personal watercraft boat races. The regulated area includes the waters of the Atlantic Ocean offshore from Daytona Beach, Florida and will be enforced daily 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., from April 22 through April 24, 2016. Approximately 90 high-speed personal watercraft are anticipated to participate in the races. The regulated area would encompass an approximated offshore area that is 1,350 yards wide that extends from 600 yards south of the Daytona Beach pier to 1,900 yards north of the pier. No vessel or person would be permitted to enter the regulated area without obtaining permission from the COTP or a designated representative. The regulatory text we are proposing appears at the end of this document.

## IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders (E.O.s) related to rulemaking. Below, we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and E.O.s, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

### *A. Regulatory Planning and Review*

E.O.s 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This NPRM has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under E.O. 12866. Accordingly,

the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

The Coast Guard has determined that this NPRM is not a significant regulatory action for the following reasons: (1) the special local regulation would be enforced for a total of only 27 hours over the course of three days; (2) although persons and vessels would not be able to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area without authorization from the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative, they would be able to operate in the surrounding area during the enforcement period; (3) persons and vessels would still be able to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area if authorized by the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative; and (4) the Coast Guard would provide advance notification of the special local regulation to the local maritime community via Broadcast Notice to Mariners or by on-scene designated representative.

#### *B. Impact on Small Entities*

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit through the regulated area may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section IV.A above, this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person

listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### *C. Collection of Information*

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### *D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments*

A rule has implications for federalism under E.O. 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in E.O. 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under E.O. 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section above.

#### *E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### *F. Environment*

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42

U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves a special local regulation that would prohibit persons and vessels from transiting through a 2,500 yard by 1,350 yard regulated area during a three day racing event lasting nine hours daily. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(h) of Figure 2–1 of Commandant Instruction M16475.ID. A preliminary environmental analysis checklist and Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

#### *G. Protest Activities*

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

### **V. Public Participation and Request for Comments**

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, you may review a Privacy Act notice regarding the Federal Docket Management System in the March 24,

2005, issue of the **Federal Register** (70 FR 15086).

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that Web site's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

#### PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233.

■ 2. Add § 100.35T07–1108 to read as follows:

**§ 100.35T07–1108 Special Local Regulation, Daytona Beach Grand Prix of the Seas; Atlantic Ocean, Daytona Beach, FL.**

(a) *Regulated Area.* The following regulated area is a special local regulation located offshore from Daytona Beach, FL. All waters of the Atlantic Ocean encompassed within the following points: Starting at Point 1 in position 29°14.580' N., 081°00.820' W., thence northeast to Point 2 in position 29°14.783' N., 081°00.101' W., thence southeast to Point 3 in position 29°13.646' N., 081°59.549' W., thence southwest to Point 4 in position 29°13.434' N., 081°00.224' W., thence northwest back to origin. These coordinates are based on North American Datum 1983.

(b) *Definition.* The term “designated representative” means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders, including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, state, and local officers designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port (COTP) Jacksonville in the enforcement of the regulated area.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area unless authorized by the COTP Jacksonville or a designated representative.

(2) Persons and vessels desiring to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area may

contact the COTP Jacksonville by telephone at 904–564–7511, or a designated representative via VHF–FM radio on channel 16 to request authorization. If authorization is granted, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must comply with the instructions of the COTP Jacksonville or designated representative.

(3) The Coast Guard will provide notice of the regulated area through Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM channel 16 or by on-scene designated representatives.

(d) *Enforcement Period.* This section will be enforced daily 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. from April 22 through April 24, 2016.

Dated: January 25, 2016.

J.F. Dixon,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Jacksonville.

[FR Doc. 2016–02097 Filed 2–3–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

##### 34 CFR Chapter II

[Docket ID ED–2015–OESE–0130]

#### Negotiated Rulemaking Committee; Negotiator Nominations and Schedule of Committee Meetings

**AGENCY:** Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Intent to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee.

**SUMMARY:** We announce our intention to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee prior to publishing proposed regulations to implement part A of title I, Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies, of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). The negotiating committee will include representatives of constituencies that are significantly affected by the topics proposed for negotiations, including Federal, State, and local education administrators, tribal leadership, parents and students, including historically underrepresented students, teachers, principals, other school leaders (including charter school leaders), paraprofessionals, members of State and local boards of education, the civil rights community, including representatives of students with disabilities, English learners, and other historically underserved students, and the business community. We request nominations for individual negotiators

who represent key stakeholder constituencies for the issues to be negotiated to serve on the committee, and we set a schedule for committee meetings.

**DATES:** We must receive your nominations for negotiators to serve on the committee on or before February 25, 2016. The dates, times, and locations of the committee meetings are set out in the *Schedule for Negotiations* section in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your nominations for negotiators to James Butler, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 3W246, Washington, DC 20202. Telephone (202) 260–9737 or by email: [OESE.ESSA.nominations@ed.gov](mailto:OESE.ESSA.nominations@ed.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Butler, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 3W246, Washington, DC 20202. Telephone (202) 260–9737 or by email: [OESE.ESSA.nominations@ed.gov](mailto:OESE.ESSA.nominations@ed.gov).

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf or a text telephone, call the Federal Relay Service, toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On December 10, 2015, the President signed into law the ESSA, amending the ESEA. Among other things, the ESSA reauthorizes, for a four-year period, programs under title I of the ESEA, which are designed to provide all children significant opportunity to receive a fair, equitable, and high-quality education, and to close educational achievement gaps.

On December 22, 2015, we published a request for information and notice of meetings (RFI) in the **Federal Register** (80 FR 79528), seeking advice and recommendations on regulatory issues under title I of the ESEA, and providing notice of regional meetings at which stakeholders were able to provide such advice and recommendations. Those meetings were held on January 11, 2016, in Washington, DC, and on January 19, 2016, in Los Angeles, California. The Department will post transcripts from the hearings on its Web site at <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/index.html> as soon as they are available.

In response to the RFI, the Department received written comments from more than 370 individuals and organizations. Those written comments may be viewed through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Instructions for finding comments are available on the site under “How to Use