

Society's World IPv6 Day to test the IPv6 functionality of Web sites and services.

Moving forward, NTIA intends to engage more directly in promoting IPv6 deployment and use, with a particular focus on implementation. To assist in this purpose, NTIA is asking those who have implemented IPv6 to share their experiences and to highlight in particular the factors and circumstances that supported their decision to move ahead and adopt the protocol. NTIA hopes to utilize input received through this request for comments to guide and inform future promotion efforts, including the IPv6 Best Practice Forum being organized for the 2016 Internet Governance Forum, which will be held in December 2016, in Guadalajara, Mexico.⁴

Request for Comment

NTIA invites comment on the following questions, in whole or in part:

Benefits

1. What are the benefits of implementing IPv6? For example, what are the direct performance benefits of implementing IPv6 for end users, or for enhanced network security, as compared to IPv4?
2. What are the expected or unexpected benefits of implementing IPv6?

Obstacles

1. What are the biggest obstacles related to IPv6 implementation? For example, is it difficult to access adequate vendor support for IPv6 hardware and/or software? Does successful implementation depend directly on another service provider?
2. How does an organization overcome those obstacles?

Incentives

1. What factors contribute to an organization's decision to implement IPv6?
2. What additional incentives would be helpful in a decision to implement IPv6?
3. If one factor made the crucial difference in deciding to implement IPv6, as opposed to not implementing IPv6, what is that factor?

Motivation

1. What is typically the driving motivation behind an organization's decision to implement IPv6?
2. What are the job titles and/or roles of the people within an organization

typically involved in a decision to implement IPv6? What are those individuals' primary motivations when it comes to implementing IPv6?

Return on Investment

1. What is the anticipated return on an IPv6-related investment? How quickly is a return on investment expected?
2. Is return on investment a reason to implement IPv6, or is implementation considered a cost of doing business?

Implementation

1. How long does the planning process for IPv6 implementation take?
2. How long does actual implementation of IPv6 typically take? Is implementation a single event or evolutionary?

Cost of Implementation

1. What are the different types of costs involved in implementing IPv6? What are the typical magnitudes of each type of cost?
2. How does an organization cover those costs?
3. How does an organization justify those costs?
4. What considerations are there for cost-saving?
5. What implication does the size of an organization implementing IPv6 have on cost?

Promotional Efforts

1. What promotional efforts, if any, should NTIA take? What would have the most impact?
2. What promotional efforts, if any, are being led by the private sector? Have they been effective?
3. Which additional stakeholders should NTIA target? What is the most effective forum?
4. Should NTIA partner with any particular stakeholder group?

Additional Issues: NTIA invites commenters to provide any additional information on other issues not identified in this RFC that could contribute to NTIA's understanding of the considerations that organizations take into account when deciding to proceed with IPv6 implementation, as well as future IPv6 promotional efforts that NTIA may undertake.

Dated: August 15, 2016.

Angela M. Simpson,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Communications and Information.

[FR Doc. 2016-19722 Filed 8-17-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

AGENCY: Corporation for National and Community Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS) has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) entitled AmeriCorps NCCC's (National Civilian Community Corps) Member Experience Survey for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, Terry Grant, at 202 606 6899 or email to tgrant@cns.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY-TDD) may call 1-800-833-3722 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

DATES: Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, within September 19, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: Ms. Sharon Mar, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service, by any of the following two methods within 30 days from the date of publication in the **Federal Register**:

- (1) By fax to: 202-395-6974, Attention: Ms. Sharon Mar, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service; or
- (2) By email to: smar@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of CNCS, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including

⁴ Internet Governance Forum 2016, available at: <http://www.igf2016.mx/>.

through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments

A 60-day Notice requesting public comment was published in the **Federal Register** on March 30, 2016, at Vol. 81, No. 61 FR 17686–17687. This comment period ended May 31, 2016. No public comments were received from this Notice.

Description: This is a new information collection request. This survey was developed to support NCCC performance measurement for use in program development, funding, and evaluation. The survey instrument will be completed by NCCC Members following the completion of their service term. In particular, this survey will be administered to NCCC Members who are exiting early or have already exited early from the AmeriCorps NCCC program. Completion of this information collection is not required for the completion of a service term with NCCC.

Type of Review: New.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: NCCC Member Experience Survey.

OMB Number: TBD.

Agency Number: None.

Affected Public: The NCCC Member Experience Survey will be administered to former NCCC Members.

Total Respondents: Approximately 450.

Frequency: Each respondent will complete only one survey for their most recent service term.

Average Time per Response: 25 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 187.5 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): None.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): None.

Dated: August 11, 2016.

Charles Davenport,

Acting Director, National Civilian Community Corps.

[FR Doc. 2016–19655 Filed 8–17–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050–28–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Flood Risk Management Project for the Souris River Basin, North Dakota

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The St. Paul District, Army Corps of Engineers, in partnership with the Souris River Joint Water Resources Board (SRJB), is conducting a flood risk management feasibility study for the Souris River Basin within the continental United States. The feasibility study will include an Environmental Impact Statement and consider opportunities to reduce flood risk within Bonneau, McHenry, Ward, and Renville counties, North Dakota. The study will evaluate several alternative measures, including, but not limited to: Levees and floodwalls, diversion channels, non-structural flood proofing, relocation of flood-prone structures, and flood storage.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Questions about the proposed action and Environmental Impact Statement may be directed to: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District, ATTN: Mr. Terry J. Birkenstock, Deputy Chief, Regional Planning & Environment Division North, 180 Fifth Street East, Suite 700, St. Paul, MN 55101–1678; telephone: (651) 290–5264; email terry.birkenstock@usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Souris River (alternatively known as the Mouse River) is approximately 435 miles long. The river begins in the southeastern portion of the Canadian province of Saskatchewan, flows south and east through Renville, Ward, McHenry, and Bottineau counties, North Dakota, and then turns north before returning to Canada in southwest Manitoba. The river flows through the cities of Burlington, Minot, Sawyer, and Velva, North Dakota. Key features associated with the river include the Lake Darling Dam, the Upper Souris National Wildlife Refuge, and the J. Clark Salyer National Wildlife Refuge. The Des Lacs River is a major tributary that joins the Souris River at Burlington, North Dakota.

The purpose of this study is to collect and evaluate pertinent engineering, economic, social, and environmental information in order to assess the potential for a federal flood risk

management project within the basin. The study objective is to define a feasible and implementable project to reduce flood risk which is relatively high within the basin. In June 2011, heavy rains in the upstream portions of the watershed exceeded the storage capacity of upstream reservoirs already full from the April snowmelt. Flows in excess of 26,900 cubic feet per second (cfs) overwhelmed the existing Federal flood risk management projects (designed to pass 5,000 cfs from Burlington to Minot) and emergency flood fighting efforts, causing over \$690 million in damages to more than 4,700 structures.

Following the 2011 flood, a non-Federal local flood risk management study was initiated by the North Dakota State Water Commission in response to a request for assistance from the SRJB. The scope of the non-Federal study, identified as the Mouse River Enhanced Flood Protection Plan (MREFPP), differs from the Federal study and is primarily focused on flood protection specifically for the city of Minot. Because of its influence on an existing federal flood project, this non-federal effort has requested permission from the Corps of Engineers to pursue actions under 33 U.S.C. 408 (frequently referred to as Section 408). A separate Notice of Intent was published (FR Doc. 2015–17670 Filed 7–16–15) for an EIS associated with the Corps of Engineers' decision on the Section 408 request. However, this Notice of Intent involves an EIS with broader consideration of flood risk across the basin. Additional details on the local, non-federal flood MREFPP can be found at mouseriverplan.com.

This Souris River Basin Flood Risk Management Feasibility Study and its associated NEPA documentation will be prepared by the Corps. The Corps will act as the lead agency and coordinate with other agencies to discuss their participation in the NEPA process. The study will broadly evaluate several alternative measures including, but not limited to: levees and floodwalls along the river through towns, diversion channels, non-structural flood-proofing, relocation of flood-prone structures, and flood storage.

Significant resources and issues to be addressed in the draft Environmental Impact Statement will be determined through coordination with Federal agencies, State agencies, local governments, the general public, interested private organizations, and industry. Anyone who has an interest in participating in the development of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement is invited to contact the St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers.