

for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; fax: (816) 329-4090; email: doug.rudolph@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) *Airworthy Product*: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements*: For any reporting requirement in this AD, a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120-0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden and suggestions for reducing the burden should be directed to the FAA at: 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, Attn: Information Collection Clearance Officer, AES-200.

(h) Related Information

Refer to Federal Office of Civil Aviation (FOCA) AD HB-2016-001, dated May 17, 2016, for related information. You may examine the MCAI on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-7026. For service information related to this AD, contact PILATUS Aircraft Ltd., Customer Technical Support (MCC), P.O. Box 992, CH-6371 Stans, Switzerland; phone: +41 (0)41 619 67 74; fax: +41 (0)41 619 67 73; email: techsupport@pilatus-aircraft.com; internet: <http://www.pilatus-aircraft.com>. You may review this referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329-4148.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on June 2, 2016.

Melvin Johnson,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2016-13544 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 110

[Docket Number USCG-2016-0132]

RIN 1625-AA01

Anchorage Grounds, Hudson River; Yonkers, NY to Kingston, NY

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is considering establishing new anchorage grounds in the Hudson River from Yonkers, NY, to Kingston, NY. We are considering this action after receiving requests suggesting that anchorage grounds may improve navigation safety along an extended portion of the Hudson River, which currently has no anchorage grounds, allowing for a safer and more efficient flow of vessel traffic. The Coast Guard is seeking comments and information about the operational need for new anchorage grounds and what form possible regulations should take.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before September 7, 2016.

Requests for public meetings must be received by the Coast Guard on or before June 30, 2016.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2016-0132 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this document, call or email Mr. Craig Lapiejko, Waterways Management Branch at Coast Guard First District, telephone 617-223-8351, email craig.d.lapiejko@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Acronyms

ANPRM Advance notice of proposed rulemaking
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NAD 83 North American Datum of 1983

A. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comments can help shape the outcome of this possible rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, you may review a Privacy Act notice regarding the Federal Docket Management System in the March 24, 2005, issue of the **Federal Register** (70 FR 15086).

Documents mentioned in this ANPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that Web site's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted and if we publish rulemaking documents related to this ANPRM.

B. Regulatory History and Information

Under title 33 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) 109.05, U.S. Coast Guard District Commanders are delegated the authority to establish anchorage grounds by the Commandant of the U.S. Coast Guard. The Coast Guard establishes Anchorage Grounds under the authority in Section 7 of the act of March 4, 1915, as amended (38 Stat. 1053; 33 U.S.C. 471), and places these regulations in title 33 CFR part 110, subpart B. Hudson River Anchorage Ground regulations were last amended by rules published on March 31, 2016, January 15, 2015, and on July 20, 1999; these are 81 FR 18494, 80 FR 2011, and 64 FR 38828, respectively. The Coast Guard is now considering a proposed rulemaking to establish new anchorage grounds in the Hudson River.

C. Basis and Purpose

The legal basis and authorities for this ANPRM are found in 33 U.S.C. 471, 1221 through 1236, and 2071, as well as 33 CFR 1.05–1 and Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1, which collectively authorize the Coast Guard to propose, establish, and define regulatory anchorages. The Coast Guard is considering establishing new anchorage grounds.

The Coast Guard received requests from the Maritime Association of the Port of NY/NJ Tug and Barge Committee, the Hudson River Port Pilot's Association, and the American Waterways Operators to consider establishing new anchorage grounds on the Hudson River. The purpose of this ANPRM is to solicit comments on potential proposed rulemakings to increase the available anchorage grounds on the Hudson River in areas which currently have no anchorages.

D. Discussion of Possible Proposed Rule

The Coast Guard is considering proposing to establish new anchorage grounds on the Hudson River. The anticipated users of the proposed anchorage grounds are commercial vessels and their attending tug, tow, or pushboats.

The approximate depths of the proposed anchorage grounds range from 21 feet to 65 feet, which would accommodate a variety of vessel types and configurations, and would not interfere with the areas where vessels have historically transited the Hudson River. Preliminary details describing these contemplated anchorage grounds are provided below using coordinates based on North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83). Illustrations showing the locations of these anchorage grounds are available in the docket.

Contemplated Kingston Flats South Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Kingston Flats South Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 279 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of less than 22 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,300 feet for one vessel and of approximately 1,800 feet for two vessels. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–56.79' N., 073–57.24' W.; thence to 41–56.78' N., 073–56.85' W.; thence to 41–55.81' N., 073–56.95' W.; thence to 41–55.81' N., 073–57.42' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Port Ewen Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Port Ewen Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 47 acres for one vessel with a draft of less than 30 feet for short term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–54.85' N., 073–57.85' W.; thence to 41–54.79' N., 073–57.59' W.; thence to 41–54.58' N., 073–57.64' W.; thence to 41–54.57' N., 073–57.95' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Big Rock Point Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Big Rock Point Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 208 acres for up to four vessels with a draft of less than 35 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–54.25' N., 073–58.04' W.; thence to 41–54.31' N., 073–57.76' W.; thence to 41–53.79' N., 073–57.55' W.; thence to 41–53.40' N., 073–57.25' W.; thence to 41–53.21' N., 073–57.45' W.; thence to 41–53.68' N., 073–57.80' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Roseton Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Roseton Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 305 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of less than 40 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,700 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–33.46' N., 073–58.71' W.; thence to 41–33.41' N., 073–58.27' W.; thence to 41–32.92' N., 073–58.77' W.; thence to 41–32.41' N., 073–59.21' W.; thence to 41–32.65' N., 073–59.47' W.; thence to 41–33.12' N., 073–59.11' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Milton Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Milton Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 74 acres for up to two vessels with a draft of less than 40 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–

38.56' N., 073–57.02' W.; thence to 41–38.64' N., 073–56.72' W.; thence to 41–38.12' N., 073–56.79' W.; thence to 41–37.93' N., 073–56.88' W.; thence to 41–38.19' N., 073–57.05' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Marlboro Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Marlboro Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 154 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of less than 35 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,800 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–36.68' N., 073–57.12' W.; thence to 41–38.82' N., 073–57.76' W.; thence to 41–35.88' N., 073–57.21' W.; thence to 41–35.87' N., 073–56.92' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Newburgh Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Newburgh Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 445 acres for up to five vessels with a draft of less than 32 feet toward the northern end and less than 22 feet toward the southern end for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,800 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–29.75' N., 073–59.98' W.; thence to 41–29.96' N., 073–59.48' W.; thence to 41–28.38' N., 073–59.94' W.; thence to 41–28.29' N., 074–00.20' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Tompkins Cove Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Tompkins Cove Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 98 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of less than 40 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–15.91' N., 073–58.51' W.; thence to 41–15.91' N., 073–58.21' W.; thence to 41–15.27' N., 073–58.38' W.; thence to 41–15.28' N., 073–58.65' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Montrose Point Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Montrose Point Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 127 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of

less than 26 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,400 feet for each vessel. The contemplated ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–14.02' N., 073–57.45' W.; thence to 41–14.09' N., 073–57.15' W.; thence to 41–31.10' N., 073–57.00' W.; thence to 41–13.18' N., 073–56.60' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

Contemplated Yonkers Extension Anchorage Ground

We are considering proposing that a Yonkers Extension Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 715 acres for up to 16 vessels with a draft of less than 35 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–00.60' N., 073–53.61' W.; thence to 41–00.60' N., 073–53.31' W.; thence to 40–58.05' N., 073–53.96' W.; thence to 40–56.96' N., 073–54.39' W.; thence to 40–57.02' N., 073–54.71' W.; thence to 40–58.11' N., 073–54.25' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

E. Information Requested

Public participation is requested to assist in determining the best way forward with respect to establishing new anchorage grounds on the Hudson River between Yonkers, NY, to Kingston, NY. To aid us in developing a possible proposed rule, we seek any comments, whether positive or negative, including but not limited to the impacts anchorage grounds may have on navigation safety and current vessel traffic in this area, the proposed number and size of vessels anchoring in each proposed anchorage ground, and the authorized duration for each vessel in each proposed anchorage ground. We are also seeking comments on any additional locations where anchorage grounds may be helpful on the Hudson River or any recommended alterations to the specific locations considered in this notice. Please submit any comments or concerns you may have in accordance with the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” section above.

L.L. Fagan,

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander
First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13701 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R10–OAR–2015–0397: FRL–9947–53–Region 10]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Idaho: Stationary Source Permitting Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve, and incorporate by reference, revisions to the Idaho State Implementation Plan submitted on May 21, 2015. In the submission, Idaho revised stationary source permitting rules, including the addition of facility-wide emission limits and nonmetallic mineral processing plant regulations. Idaho also added an alternative method for stationary sources to comply with sulfur content of fuels limits, and updated provisions to account for changes to federal air quality regulations. The EPA proposes to approve the submitted revisions as consistent with the Clean Air Act and the EPA’s implementing regulations.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 11, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2015–0397, at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from <http://www.regulations.gov>. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov>

index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, CBI or other information, the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristin Hall at (206) 553–6357, or hall.kristin@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, it is intended to refer to the EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. State Submission
- III. Analysis of Submitted Revisions
 - A. Facility-Wide Emissions Cap Rules
 - B. Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plant Rules
 - C. Sulfur Content of Fuels Provision
 - D. Definitions and Baselines for Fine Particulate Matter
 - E. Incorporation by Reference Updates
 - F. Effect of Court Decisions Vacating and Remanding Certain Federal Rules
- IV. Proposed Action
- V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) governs the process by which a state submits air quality protection requirements to the EPA for approval into the State Implementation Plan (SIP). The SIP is the state’s plan to implement, maintain and enforce the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) set by the EPA. Idaho regularly updates the Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho (IDAPA 58.01.01) to reflect changes to the NAAQS and to improve implementation, maintenance and enforcement of those standards. We note that Idaho incorporates by reference portions of certain federal regulations directly into the SIP. The state generally submits an annual update to the EPA to keep rules consistent with federal requirements.

II. State Submission

On May 21, 2015, Idaho submitted revisions to state air quality rules at IDAPA 58.01.01 to the EPA for approval into the SIP. Idaho adopted these rule changes on November 19 and November