

deliberation and discussion. Subcommittees, task forces, or working groups have no authority to make decisions and recommendations, verbally or in writing, on behalf of the Committee. No subcommittee or any of its members can update or report, verbally or in writing, directly to the DoD or any Federal officers or employees. The Committee's DFO, pursuant to DoD policy, must be a full-time or permanent part-time DoD employee, and must be in attendance for the duration of each and every Committee/subcommittee meeting. The public or interested organizations may submit written statements to the Committee membership about the Committee's mission and functions. Such statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned Committee meetings. All written statements must be submitted to the Committee's DFO who will ensure the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration.

Dated: May 11, 2016.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2016-11452 Filed 5-13-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### **Notice of Availability (NOA) of a Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) Addressing the Closure of Former Defense Fuel Support Point (DFSP) Moffett Field Located in Santa Clara County, California**

**AGENCY:** Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability (NOA) of a draft Environmental Assessment (EA) addressing the Closure of Former DFSP Moffett Field located at Santa Clara County, California.

**SUMMARY:** The DLA announces the availability of a draft EA that analyzes the potential environmental impacts associated with the Proposed Action to close the former DFSP, including removal of underground storage tanks and associated pipelines and equipment. The draft EA has been prepared as required under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), (1969). In addition, the draft EA complies with DLA Regulation 1000.22.

*Cooperating Agency:* National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**DATES:** The public comment period will end June 15, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments to one of the following:

- *Mail:* Defense Logistics Agency, Installation Support for Energy, Attn: Stacey Christenbury, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Room 2828, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060.

- *Email:* [NEPA@dla.mil](mailto:NEPA@dla.mil).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Stacey Christenbury at 703-767-6557 during normal business hours Monday through Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. (EST) or by email: [NEPA@dla.mil](mailto:NEPA@dla.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Comments received by the end of the 30-day period will be considered when preparing the final version of the document. The draft EA is available in hardcopy at the Mountain View Public Library, located at 585 Franklin Street, Mountain View, California 94041, Phone: (650) 903-6337 or electronically at [http://www.dla.mil/Portals/104/Documents/Energy/Publications/E\\_MoffettUSTClosure\\_160504.pdf](http://www.dla.mil/Portals/104/Documents/Energy/Publications/E_MoffettUSTClosure_160504.pdf).

Documents referenced in the draft EA are available upon request.

Dated: May 11, 2016.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2016-11444 Filed 5-13-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DOD-2013-HA-0192]

#### **Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by June 15, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fred Licari, 571-372-0493.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title, Associated Form and OMB Number:* Department of Defense Suicide Event Report (DoDSER); DD Form 2996; OMB Control Number 0720-0058.

*Type of Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Number of Respondents:* 1,375.

*Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Annual Responses:* 1,375.

*Average Burden per Response:* 10 minutes.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 229.

*Needs and Uses:* The revision of this information collection requirement is necessary for the continued provision of integrated enterprise and survey data to be used for direct reporting of suicide events and ongoing population-based health surveillance activities. These surveillance activities include the systematic collection, analysis, interpretation, and reporting of outcome-specific data for use in planning, implementation, evaluation, and prevention of suicide behaviors within the Department of Defense. Data is collected on individuals with reportable suicide and self-harm behaviors (to include suicide attempts, self-harm behaviors, and suicidal ideation). All other DoD active and reserve military personnel records collected without evidence of reportable suicide and self-harm behaviors will exist as a control group. Records are integrated from enterprise systems and created and revised by civilian and military personnel in the performance of their duties. We propose to revise the system to make specific changes that have been recommended for improving the completeness of DoDSER data.

*Affected Public:* Individuals and households.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Ms. Stephanie Tatham.

Comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be emailed to Ms. Stephanie Tatham, DoD Desk Officer, at [Oira\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Oira_submission@omb.eop.gov). Please identify the proposed information collection by DoD Desk Officer and the Docket ID number and title of the information collection.

You may also submit comments and recommendations, identified by Docket ID number and title, by the following method:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name, Docket ID number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

*DOD Clearance Officer:* Mr. Frederick Licari.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should

be sent to Mr. Licari at WHS/ESD Directives Division, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Dated: May 11, 2016.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2016-11467 Filed 5-13-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Applications for New Awards; Investing in Innovation Fund—Scale-Up Grants

**AGENCY:** Office of Innovation and Improvement, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice.

#### *Overview Information:*

Investing in Innovation Fund—Scale-Up Grants.

Notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2016.

*Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number:* 84.411A (Scale-up Grants).

#### *Dates:*

*Applications Available:* May 18, 2016.

*Deadline for Notice of Intent to Apply:* June 6, 2016.

*Deadline for Transmittal of Applications:* July 15, 2016.

*Deadline for Intergovernmental Review:* September 13, 2016.

### Full Text of Announcement

#### I. Funding Opportunity Description

**Purpose of Program:** The Investing in Innovation Fund (i3), established under section 14007 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA), provides funding to support (1) local educational agencies (LEAs), and (2) nonprofit organizations in partnership with (a) one or more LEAs or (b) a consortium of schools. The i3 program is designed to generate and validate solutions to persistent educational challenges and to support the expansion of effective solutions to serve substantially larger numbers of students. The central design element of the i3 program is its multi-tier structure that links the amount of funding that an applicant may receive to the quality of the evidence supporting the efficacy of the proposed project. Applicants proposing practices supported by limited evidence can receive relatively small grants that support the development and initial evaluation of promising practices and help to identify new solutions to pressing challenges; applicants proposing practices

supported by evidence from rigorous evaluations, such as large randomized controlled trials, can receive sizable grants to support expansion across the country. This structure provides incentives for applicants to build evidence of effectiveness of their proposed projects and to address the barriers to serving more students across schools, districts, and States.

As importantly, all i3 projects are required to generate additional evidence of effectiveness. All i3 grantees must use part of their budgets to conduct independent evaluations (as defined in this notice) of their projects. This requirement ensures that projects funded under the i3 program contribute significantly to improving the information available to practitioners and policymakers about which practices work, for which types of students, and in what contexts.

The Department awards three types of grants under this program:

“Development” grants, “Validation” grants, and “Scale-up” grants. These grants differ in terms of the level of prior evidence of effectiveness required for consideration of funding, the level of scale the funded project should reach, and, consequently, the amount of funding available to support the project.

This notice invites applications for Scale-up grants only. The notice inviting applications for Validation grants is published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**. The notice inviting applications for Development grants was published in the **Federal Register** on April 25, 2016 (81 FR 24070) and is available at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/F-2016-04-25/pdf/2016-09436.pdf>.

Scale-up grants provide funding to support expansion of projects supported by strong evidence of effectiveness (as defined in this notice) to the national level (as defined in this notice). In addition, as Scale-up projects seek to improve outcomes for students in high-need schools, they also generate important information about an intervention's effectiveness and the contexts for which a practice is most effective. We expect that Scale-up grants will increase practitioners' and policymakers' understanding of the implementation of proven practices and help identify effective approaches to expanding such practices while also maintaining or increasing their effectiveness across contexts.

All Scale-up grantees must evaluate the effectiveness of the i3-supported practice that the project implements and expands. The evaluation of a Scale-up project must identify the core elements of, and codify, the i3-supported practice

that the project implements in order to support adoption or replication by other entities. We also expect that evaluations of Scale-up grants will be conducted in a variety of contexts and for a variety of students in order to determine the context(s) and population(s) for which the i3-supported practice is most effective.

We remind LEAs of the continuing applicability of the provisions of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) for students who may be served under i3 grants. Any grants in which LEAs participate must be consistent with the rights, protections, and processes established under IDEA for students who are receiving special education and related services or who are in the process of being evaluated to determine their eligibility for such services.

As described later in this notice, an applicant is required, as a condition of receiving assistance under this program, to make civil rights assurances, including an assurance that its program or activity will comply with section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, and the Department's section 504 implementing regulations, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability. Regardless of whether a student with disabilities is specifically targeted as a “high-need student” (as defined in this notice) in a particular grant application, recipients are required to comply with all legal nondiscrimination requirements, including, but not limited to, the obligation to ensure that students with disabilities are not denied access to the benefits of the recipient's program because of their disability. The Department also enforces title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), as well as the regulations implementing title II of the ADA, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability by public entities.

Furthermore, title VI and title IX of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin, and sex, respectively. On December 2, 2011, the Departments of Education and Justice jointly issued guidance that explains how educational institutions can promote student diversity or avoid racial isolation within the framework of title VI (e.g., through consideration of the racial demographics of neighborhoods when drawing assignment zones for schools or through targeted recruiting efforts). The “Guidance on the Voluntary Use of Race to Achieve Diversity and Avoid Racial Isolation in Elementary and Secondary Schools” is available on the