

In July 2015, the petitioners, Taihe, and RZBC Group withdrew their administrative review requests.⁵

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. The petitioners, Taihe, and RZBC Group each withdrew their requests for review by the 90-day deadline. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty order on citric acid from the PRC covering the period January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries. Countervailing duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: September 25, 2015.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015–25166 Filed 10–1–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–549–502]

Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 6, 2015, the Department of Commerce (Department) published its preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand covering the period of review (POR) March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014.¹ This review covers two producers and/or exporters of the subject merchandise, Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd. (Saha Thai), and Pacific Pipe Company Limited (Pacific Pipe). For the final results, we continue to find that Saha Thai and Pacific Pipe did not sell subject merchandise to the United States at below normal value during the POR. The final results are listed in the section entitled “Final Results of Review” below.

DATES: *Effective date:* October 2, 2015

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicholas Czajkowski at (202) 482–1395; AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 12, 2015, we invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Saha Thai submitted a case brief on August 20, 2015. No other parties submitted case briefs or rebuttal briefs for this proceeding.

The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping order are certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand. The subject merchandise has an outside diameter of 0.375 inches

or more, but not exceeding 16 inches. These products, which are commonly referred to in the industry as “standard pipe” or “structural tubing” are hereinafter designated as “pipes and tubes.” The merchandise is classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085 and 7306.30.5090. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and purposes of U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

We have analyzed the comments submitted by Saha Thai. In its case brief, Saha Thai made several arguments objecting to the Department’s differential pricing analysis. The Department has used the standard A-to-A method to calculate Saha Thai’s weighted-average dumping margin (unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*).² Therefore, it is not necessary to address the comments raised by Saha Thai in a separate Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

We made changes to Pacific Pipe’s calculation for the final results. Specifically, we (1) used updated sales and cost of production databases, (2) adjusted the home market sales cost of production databases based on minor corrections at verification, (3) revised Pacific Pipe’s reported per-unit costs to correspond to the reported total cost of manufacturing of the merchandise under consideration, and (4) revised Pacific Pipe’s general and administrative expense rate.³ We made no changes to Saha Thai’s calculations.

Final Results of Review

The final weighted-average dumping margins for the period March 1, 2013,

² See Memorandum to the File, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd. Preliminary Analysis Memorandum” (March 31, 2015) at page 2.

³ See Memorandum to the File, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd. Final Analysis Memorandum” dated concurrently with this notice; see also Memorandum to Neal M. Halper, Director, Office of Accounting, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes & Tubes From Thailand, Cost of Production and Constructed Value Calculation Adjustments for the Final Results—Pacific Pipe Public Company Limited” dated concurrently with this notice.

⁵ See the letter withdrawing request for an administrative review from RZBC Group, dated July 2, 2015; see also the letters withdrawing requests for an administrative review from the petitioners and Taihe, dated July 31, 2015.

¹ See *Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 18354 (April 6, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*).

through February 28, 2014, are as follows:

Producer/Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Saha Thai Steel Pipe (Public) Company, Ltd	0.00
Pacific Pipe Company Limited	0.00

Assessment Rates

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2) and the *Final Modification for Reviews*,⁴ the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries for Saha Thai and Pacific Pipe without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003.⁵ This clarification applies to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Saha Thai and Pacific Pipe for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Saha Thai and Pacific Pipe will be 0.00 percent, the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the

manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the LTFV investigation, then the cash deposit rate will be the “all-others” rate of 15.67 percent established in the LTFV investigation.⁶ These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 25, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–25168 Filed 10–1–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–475–820, A–588–843, A–580–829, A–469–807, A–583–828]

Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Spain, and Taiwan: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel wire rod (SSWR) from Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Spain, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Reviews” section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 2, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Bailey or Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0193 or (202) 482–3874, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 1, 2015, the Department published the notice of initiation of the third sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on SSWR from Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).¹ On May 15, 2015, the Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate in these reviews from Carpenter Technology Corporation (Carpenter), a domestic interested party, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Carpenter claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a manufacturer of a domestic-like product in the United States. On June 1, 2015, we received a complete substantive response for each review from the domestic interested party within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).²

¹ See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews*, 80 FR 24900 (May 1, 2015).

² See June 1, 2015, letters from the petitioners regarding “Five-Year (3rd Sunset) Review of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Italy, Japan, Korea, Spain, and Taiwan Substantive Response.”

⁴ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

⁵ For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

⁶ See *Antidumping Duty Order: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand*, 51 FR 8341 (March 11, 1986).