

800-977-8339 and providing the operator with the conference call number 1-888-510-1765 and conference call ID code 8558900#.

Members of the public are invited to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office by Monday, July 27, 2015. Written comments may be mailed to the Eastern Regional Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 1150, Washington, DC 20425, faxed to (202) 376-7548, or emailed to Evelyn Bohor at ero@usccr.gov. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Eastern Regional Office at (202) 376-7533.

Records and documents discussed during the meeting will be available for public viewing as they become available at <http://facadatabase.gov/committee/meetings.aspx?cid=2681> and clicking on the "Meeting Details" and "Documents" links. Records generated from this meeting may also be inspected and reproduced at the Eastern Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Eastern Regional Office at the above phone number, email or street address.

Agenda

Welcome and Introductions

Tara Martinez, Vice Chair

Discuss Plans for Future Briefing Meeting

WV State Advisory Committee

Administrative Matters

Ivy L. Davis, DFO

DATES: Friday, June 26, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (EDT).

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held via teleconference:

Conference Call-in Number: 1-888-510-1765; Conference Call ID code: 8558900#.

TDD: Dial Federal Relay Service 1-800-977-8339 and give the operator the above

Conference Call-in number and Conference Call ID code.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ivy L. Davis at ero@usccr.gov, or 202-376-7533.

Dated: Friday, June 5, 2015.

David Mussatt,
Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2015-14237 Filed 6-10-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-924]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2012-2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 5, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published its *Preliminary Results* in the 2012-2013 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from the People's Republic of China (PRC).¹ The period of review ("POR") is November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013. This review covers four companies: Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co. Ltd. ("Green Packing") and Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd. ("Wanhua"), which were subject to individual examination, as well as Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd. ("Fuwei Films") and Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd., ("Dongfang").² Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes to our margin calculations for Wanhua. The final dumping margins for this review are listed in the "Final Results" section below.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 11, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Martin or Jonathan Hill, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3936 or (202) 482-3518, respectively.

Background

On December 5, 2014, the Department published its *Preliminary Results* in this review. We received case briefs from Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc. and

¹ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Preliminary Determination of No Shipments and Partial Rescission of Review; 2012-2013*, 79 FR 72166 (December 5, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Department notes that Fuwei Films and Dongfang had no reviewable entries.

² The review of Huangshi Yucheng Trade Co., Ltd. was rescinded. See *Preliminary Results*.

SKC, Inc. (collectively "Petitioners"), Green Packing, and Wanhua on January 14, 2015.³ On January 26, 2015, Petitioners and Wanhua submitted rebuttal briefs.⁴ On March 23, 2015, Green Packing and Wanhua resubmitted case briefs and Petitioners resubmitted its rebuttal brief⁵ to redact certain untimely new factual information.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all gauges of raw, pre-treated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or co-extruded. PET film is classifiable under subheading 3920.62.00.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

For the full text of the scope of the order, see Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2012-2013 Administrative Review," ("Issues and Decision Memorandum"), dated concurrently with this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the

³ See Letter from Petitioners to the Secretary of Commerce, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Petitioners' Case Brief," dated January 14, 2015. Also, on January 14, 2015, the Department received a letter in lieu of a case brief from Terphane, Inc., in which Terphane, Inc. states that it supports the Department preliminary results and arguments made by Petitioners in Petitioners' case brief. See Wanhua's and Green Packing's resubmitted case briefs dated March 23, 2015.

⁴ See Letter from Wanhua to the Secretary of Commerce, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from the People's Republic of China: A-570-924; Rebuttal Brief," dated January 26, 2015 ("Wanhua Rebuttal Brief"). Also, on January 26, 2015, the Department received a letter in lieu of a rebuttal brief from Terphane, Inc., in which Terphane, Inc. states that it supports all arguments made by Petitioners in Petitioners' case brief. See Petitioners resubmitted rebuttal brief dated March 23, 2015.

⁵ See Letter from Green Packing to the Secretary of Commerce "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from China," dated March 23, 2015; see also letter from Wanhua to the Secretary of Commerce, "Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film from the People's Republic of China: A-570-924; Resubmission of Case Brief," dated March 23, 2015; see also letter from Petitioners to the Secretary of Commerce, "Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Resubmission of Petitioners' Rebuttal Brief," dated March 23, 2015.

issues that parties raised and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum follows as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we revised the margin calculations for Wanhua. Specifically, we corrected two errors in our calculations by deducting marine insurance expenses⁶ and value added taxes⁷ from Wanhua's U.S. sales prices, both of which we inadvertently failed to deduct in the *Preliminary Results*.

Final Determination of No Shipments

For these final results, the Department continues⁸ to find that Fuwei Films and Dongfang did not have any reviewable entries during the POR.⁹

Final Results

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percentage)
Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co. Ltd	35.10
Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd	72.15

⁶ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 6.

⁷ See Memorandum from Jonathan Hill, International Trade Compliance Analyst to Howard Smith, Program Manager, AD/CVD Operation, Office IV "Analysis for the Final Results of the 2012–2013 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from the People's Republic of China: Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd.," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁸ See *Preliminary Results* and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at "Preliminary Determination of No Shipments."

⁹ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1.

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of these final results of this review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we are calculating importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review. For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will calculate importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Where appropriate, we calculated a per-unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise.¹⁰ We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales database submitted by an exporter individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate (i.e., 76.72 percent).¹¹ Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the

¹⁰ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

publication date of this notice in the **Federal Register**, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed for each exporter in the table in the "Final Results" section of this notice; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate previously established for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order ("APO")

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing these results of administrative review and publishing

notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 3, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary

Scope of the Order

Discussion of the Issues

I. General Issues

Comment 1: Respondent Selection

Comment 2: Surrogate Country Selection

A. Whether South Africa is a Significant Producer of Comparable Merchandise

B. Quality of the Indonesian and South African Surrogate Value Data

C. Surrogate Financial Statements

Comment 3: SV for Paper Core

II. Company-Specific Issues

Comment 4: Treatment of Green Packing's Reintroduced PET By-Product

Comment 5: Value-Added Tax ("VAT")

Adjustment to Wanhua's U.S. Sales Price

Comment 6: Deduction of Marine

Insurance from Wanhua's U.S. Sales Prices

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-14349 Filed 6-10-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Procedures for Considering Requests and Comments From the Public for Textile and Apparel Safeguard Actions on Imports From Colombia

AGENCY: International Trade Administration (ITA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before August 10, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at Jjessup@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be

directed to Laurie Mease, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, Telephone: 202-482-2043, Email: Laurie.Mease@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Title III, Subtitle B, Section 321 through Section 328 of the United States-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement Implementation Act (the "Act") [Pub. L. 112-42] implements the textile and apparel safeguard provisions, provided for in Article 3.1 of the United States-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement (the "Agreement"). This safeguard mechanism applies when, as a result of the elimination of a customs duty under the Agreement, a Colombian textile or apparel article is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, in absolute terms or relative to the domestic market for that article, and under such conditions as to cause serious damage or actual threat thereof to a U.S. industry producing a like or directly competitive article. In these circumstances, Article 3.1 permits the United States to increase duties on the imported article from Colombia to a level that does not exceed the lesser of the prevailing U.S. normal trade relations (NTR)/most-favored-nation (MFN) duty rate for the article or the U.S. NTR/MFN duty rate in effect on the day before the Agreement entered into force.

The Statement of Administrative Action accompanying the Act provides that the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA) will issue procedures for requesting such safeguard measures, for making its determinations under Section 322(a) of the Act, and for providing relief under section 322(b) of the Act.

In Proclamation No. 8818 (77 FR 29519, May 18, 2012), the President delegated to CITA his authority under Subtitle B of Title III of the Act with respect to textile and apparel safeguard measures.

CITA must collect information in order to determine whether a domestic textile or apparel industry is being adversely impacted by imports of these products from Colombia, thereby allowing CITA to take corrective action to protect the viability of the domestic textile industry, subject to section 322(b) of the Act.

Pursuant to Section 321(a) of the Act and Section (9) of Presidential Proclamation 8818, an interested party in the U.S. domestic textile and apparel industry may file a request for a textile and apparel safeguard action with CITA. Consistent with longstanding CITA

practice in considering textile safeguard actions, CITA will consider an interested party to be an entity (which may be a trade association, firm, certified or recognized union, or group of workers) that is representative of either: (A) A domestic producer or producers of an article that is like or directly competitive with the subject Colombian textile or apparel article; or (B) a domestic producer or producers of a component used in the production of an article that is like or directly competitive with the subject Colombian textile or apparel article.

In order for a request to be considered, the requester must provide the following information in support of a claim that a textile or apparel article from Colombia is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, in absolute terms or relative to the domestic market for that article, and under such conditions as to cause serious damage or actual threat thereof, to a U.S. industry producing an article that is like, or directly competitive with, the imported article: (1) Name and description of the imported article concerned; (2) import data demonstrating that imports of a Colombian origin textile or apparel article that are like or directly competitive with the articles produced by the domestic industry concerned are increasing in absolute terms or relative to the domestic market for that article; (3) U.S. domestic production of the like or directly competitive articles of U.S. origin indicating the nature and extent of the serious damage or actual threat thereof, along with an affirmation that to the best of the requester's knowledge, the data represent substantially all of the domestic production of the like or directly competitive article(s) of U.S. origin; (4) imports from Colombia as a percentage of the domestic market of the like or directly competitive article; and (5) all data available to the requester showing changes in productivity, utilization of capacity, inventories, exports, wages, employment, domestic prices, profits, and investment, and any other information, relating to the existence of serious damage or actual threat thereof caused by imports from Colombia to the industry producing the like or directly competitive article that is the subject of the request. To the extent that such information is not available, the requester should provide best estimates and the basis therefore.

If CITA determines that the request provides the information necessary for it to be considered, CITA will publish a notice in the **Federal Register** seeking public comments regarding the request. The comment period shall be 30