

transmission of such information. The information collected could include:

- Who harvested or produced the fish (e.g. name and flag State of harvesting vessel and/or farm facility, type of fishing gear)?
- What species was harvested (e.g. species name, form, and quantity of the product)?
- Where and when was the seafood harvested and landed (e.g. ocean area of catch, farm location, date of harvest, date/point of first landing)?
- Other relevant details, such as transshipment and/or processing activity.

The process to develop types of information and operational standards under each of the categories above should allow for input from interested stakeholders including industry, non-governmental organizations, supply-chain experts, and state, local and foreign governments. It should also draw upon and utilize applicable experience, best practices, and existing standards where possible. This program should be developed in a way that permits all authorized agencies to enter, analyze, use, and verify the data while still protecting information consistent with statutory authorities. The types of information and operational standards should apply no less favorably as between domestic and imported products. Recommendation: Direct the Task Force, with input from U.S. industry and other stakeholders, to identify and develop within six months a list of the types of information and operational standards needed for an effective seafood traceability program to combat seafood fraud and IUU seafood in U.S. commerce.

Question: Accounting for those listed above, what types of information and operational standards should be included in a traceability program?

15. Following Recommendation #14, a program will be developed and implemented to establish these types of information and operational standards as pre-requisites for entry into commerce. The program will initially be applied to certain fish or seafood that are of particular concern because they are subject to significant seafood fraud or because they are at significant risk of being caught by IUU fishing. However, the goal would be to eventually expand the program to all seafood at first point of sale or import, after consideration of relevant factors such as input from stakeholders and cost-effectiveness. To achieve this:

- a. The Secretaries of Commerce, Health and Human Services, State, and any other relevant agencies will identify

certain species of fish or seafood that are of particular concern because they are subject to significant seafood fraud or because they are at significant risk of being caught by IUU fishing. The Secretaries of Homeland Security, HHS, and Commerce, and other agencies, as appropriate, will work together to implement Recommendation #14 requirements for the collection of relevant and necessary data from, and compliance with operational standards by, importers of these identified species, as consistent with existing authorities.

b. The Secretaries of Commerce and Health and Human Services will then work with the Regional Fishery Management Councils, states, and other partners to require this same information from these identified species when they are domestically harvested or produced.

c. Information collected will be shared among Federal administrative and law enforcement agencies for analysis and other relevant actions to prevent IUU or fraudulently labeled seafood from entering U.S. commerce pursuant to the strategy developed by the Secretaries of Agriculture, Commerce, Health and Human Services, Homeland Security, and the Interior, and the Attorney General (Recommendation #8).

d. The Secretary of Homeland Security will collaborate with the Secretary of Commerce and other agencies as relevant to assist in developing a voluntary Commerce Trusted Trader Program for importers of these identified species. The Program will provide benefits such as reduced targeting and inspections and enhanced streamlined entry into the United States for certified importers.

e. Implementation of this risk-based traceability program will be evaluated regularly, beginning within one year of requiring the types of information for at-risk species, to identify whether it is meeting the intended objectives in the most effective way possible, while considering costs and benefits. The Task Force will consider the next steps in expanding the program to other seafood entering U.S. commerce. This evaluation will include input from stakeholders and identify any additional resources or legal authorities that may be necessary to cover additional species and types of product, and to make the information available to the consumer.

f. Within one year of requiring the types of information for at-risk species, the Task Force will develop further recommendations on how certain types of information within the traceability system (e.g. species; geographic origin; means of production, such as wild-

caught versus aquaculture; and gear type) could be made available to the consumer.

Recommendation: Direct the Task Force to establish, within 18 months, the first phase of a risk-based traceability program to track seafood from point of harvest to entry into the U.S. commerce.

Questions for Recommendation #15:

(a) Which species are currently at highest risk of IUU fishing and seafood fraud and what factors contribute to species becoming at high risk in the future?

(b) What are the specific characteristics and workings of the global seafood supply chain that should be taken into account when requiring information?

(c) What are the best approaches for expanding the risk-based program to incorporate other fish and seafood products entering into U.S. commerce?

(d) How often should the risk-based program be evaluated?

(e) What roles should government and private sectors serve in managing and evaluating the program?

Reporting: Where a timeframe is not specifically noted under a recommendation, the relevant agencies will report to the Task Force on the progress of implementing that recommendation in one year from receiving guidance from the President. In addition, recognizing that a valuable and extensive body of information on fisheries and seafood products would be created by the recommendations above, the Task Force will report annually to the President, via the National Ocean Council, on seafood trends, key issues related to IUU fishing and seafood fraud, and progress on development and implementation of a comprehensive and risk-based traceability program.

Dated: December 15, 2014.

Samuel D. Rauch III,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2014-29628 Filed 12-16-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

[Docket ID USA-2014-0047]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

ACTION: Notice to alter a System of Records.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army proposes to alter a system of records notice, A0195-6 USACIDC, entitled "Criminal Investigation Accreditation and Polygraph Examiner Evaluation Files" in its existing inventory of records systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This system will be used to determine applicant's acceptance into or rejection from the USACIDC program; continuing eligibility, placement or standing therein; and to manage and evaluate polygraph examination performance.

DATES: Comments will be accepted on or before January 20, 2015. This proposed action will be effective the date following the end of the comment period unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

* Federal Rulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

* Mail: Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive East Tower, 2nd Floor, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350-3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Leroy Jones, Jr., Department of the Army, Privacy Office, U.S. Army Records Management and Declassification Agency, 7701 Telegraph Road, Casey Building, Suite 144, Alexandria, VA 22325-3905 or by calling (703) 428-6185.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of the Army's notices for systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** or from the Defense Privacy and Civil Liberties Division Web site at <http://dpcl.d.defense.gov/>.

The proposed systems reports, as required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(r) of the Privacy Act, as amended were submitted on December 9, 2014, to the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, the Senate

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to paragraph 4c of Appendix I to OMB Circular No. A-130, "Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals," dated February 8, 1996 (February 20, 1996, 61 FR 6427).

Dated: December 12, 2014.

Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

A0195-6 USACIDC

SYSTEM NAME:

Criminal Investigation Accreditation and Polygraph Examiner Evaluation Files (February 7, 2001, 66 FR 9298)

CHANGES:

* * * * *

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Delete entry and replace with "Headquarters, U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command (USACIDC), Russell Knox Building, 27130 Telegraph Road, Quantico, Virginia 22134-2253.

Information concerning polygraph examiners is located at the Director, U.S. Army Crime Records Center, U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command, ATTN: CICR-FP, Russell Knox Building, 27130 Telegraph Road, Quantico, Virginia 22134-2253, and subsequently at the Washington National Records Center, GSA, 4205 Suitland Road, Suitland, Maryland 20746-8001."

* * * * *

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Delete entry and replace with "Individual's application, name, date of birth, current address, and telephone number, agent sequence number, DOD ID number, badge/credential number, statement of personal history, personal identifiers, photographs, fingerprint cards, qualifications record, biography, information pertaining to assignment capability or limitation, letters of recommendation, educational institutional documents, character investigation data, reclassification actions, reassignment orders, commander's inquiry data, reports of investigation, reasons for withdrawal from program, reason for denying application, date of acceptance into program, date appointed, date of accreditation, badge number, credential number, polygraph certificate number, agent sequence number, assignment, date assigned, marital status, and other data pertinent to the accreditation function, physical profile, date of last

physical, assignment preference, transfer restrictions, job title, security clearance data, date of last background investigation, foreign language proficiency, special qualifications, service agreement, spouse's place of birth and citizenship, agent's place of birth, private licenses, hobbies, and last 10 assignments. Polygraph examiner performance and evaluation data maintained at the Crime Records Center (CRC) include individual's full name, personal history statement, certificate number, polygraph examination history, year of polygraph report, report of investigation or CRC cross reference number, type of examination, and monitor's comments."

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

Delete entry and replace with "10 U.S.C. 3013, Secretary of the Army; Army Regulation 195-6, Department of the Army Polygraph Activities."

* * * * *

RETRIEVABILITY:

Delete entry and replace with "By individual's name, agent sequence number, DoD ID number, badge/credential number."

SAFEGUARDS:

Delete entry and replace with "All records are maintained in buildings protected by security guards or a locked wire enclosure; information is accessed only by designated individuals having official need therefore in the performance of assigned duties. DoD Components and approved users ensure that electronic records collected and used are maintained in controlled areas accessible only to authorized personnel. Physical security differs from site to site, but the automated records are maintained in controlled areas accessible only by authorized personnel. Access to computerized data is restricted by use of common access cards (CACs) and is accessible only by users with an authorized account. The system and electronic backups are maintained in controlled facilities that employ physical restrictions and safeguards such as security guards, identification badges, key cards, and locks."

* * * * *

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Delete entry and replace with "Commander, Headquarters, U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command, Russell Knox Building, 27130 Telegraph Road, Quantico, Virginia 22134-2253."

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Delete entry and replace with "Individuals seeking to determine

whether information about themselves is contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Director, U.S. Army Crime Records Center, U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command, ATTN: CICR-FP, Russell Knox Building, 27130 Telegraph Road, Quantico, Virginia 22134-2253.

For verification purposes, individual should provide the full name, Social Security Number (SSN), date and place of birth, current address, telephone numbers, date of application to the program, sufficient details to locate the record, and signature.

In addition, the requester must provide a notarized statement or an unsworn declaration made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1746, in the following format:

If executed outside the United States: 'I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature).'

If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: 'I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature).'

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Delete entry and replace with "Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Director, U.S. Army Crime Records Center, U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command, ATTN: CICR-FP, Russell Knox Building, 27130 Telegraph Road, Quantico, Virginia 22134-2253.

For verification purposes, individual should provide the full name, SSN, date and place of birth, current address, telephone numbers, date of application to the program, sufficient details to locate the record, and signature.

In addition, the requester must provide a notarized statement or an unsworn declaration made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1746, in the following format:

If executed outside the United States: 'I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature).'

If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: 'I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date). (Signature).'

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2014-29595 Filed 12-17-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CD15-5-000]

Imperial Irrigation District; Notice of Preliminary Determination of a Qualifying Conduit Hydropower Facility and Soliciting Comments and Motions to Intervene

On November 26, 2014, the Imperial Irrigation District filed a notice of intent to construct a qualifying conduit

hydropower facility, pursuant to section 30 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), as amended by section 4 of the Hydropower Regulatory Efficiency Act of 2013 (HREA). The proposed Rockwood Heading on Central Main Canal In-Conduit Hydroelectric Project would have an installed capacity of 170 kilowatts (kW) and would be located on the existing Central Main Canal. This conduit transports water for irrigation, municipal, and industrial purposes. The project would be located near the city of Brawley in Imperial County, California.

Applicant Contact: Carl Stills, 1651 West Main Street, El Centro, CA 92243, Phone No. (760) 339-9701.

FERC Contact: Robert Bell, Phone No. (202) 502-6062, email: robert.bell@ferc.gov.

Qualifying Conduit Hydropower Facility Description: The proposed project would consist of: (1) One proposed 23.7-foot-long, 14.5-foot-wide concrete box intake structure with a 10-foot-wide gate; (2) a proposed 15-by-20-foot powerhouse containing a turbine generator unit with an installed capacity of 170 kW; (3) the proposed 35-foot-long, 14.5-foot-wide concrete box tailrace structure which returns the water into the Central Main Canal; and (4) appurtenant facilities. The proposed project would have an estimated annual generating capacity of 941 megawatt-hours.

A qualifying conduit hydropower facility is one that is determined or deemed to meet all of the criteria shown in the table below.

TABLE 1—CRITERIA FOR QUALIFYING CONDUIT HYDROPOWER FACILITY

Statutory provision	Description	Satisfies (Y/N)
FPA 30(a)(3)(A), as amended by HREA ...	The conduit the facility uses is a tunnel, canal, pipeline, aqueduct, flume, ditch, or similar manmade water conveyance that is operated for the distribution of water for agricultural, municipal, or industrial consumption and not primarily for the generation of electricity.	Y
FPA 30(a)(3)(C)(i), as amended by HREA	The facility is constructed, operated, or maintained for the generation of electric power and uses for such generation only the hydroelectric potential of a non-federally owned conduit.	Y
FPA 30(a)(3)(C)(ii), as amended by HREA	The facility has an installed capacity that does not exceed 5 megawatts	Y
FPA 30(a)(3)(C)(iii), as amended by HREA.	On or before August 9, 2013, the facility is not licensed, or exempted from the licensing requirements of Part I of the FPA.	Y

Preliminary Determination: Based upon the above criteria, Commission staff preliminarily determines that the proposal satisfies the requirements for a qualifying conduit hydropower facility, which is not required to be licensed or exempted from licensing.

Comments and Motions to Intervene: Deadline for filing comments contesting whether the facility meets the qualifying criteria is 45 days from the issuance date of this notice.

Deadline for filing motions to intervene is 30 days from the issuance date of this notice.

Anyone may submit comments or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210 and 385.214. Any motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified deadline date for the particular proceeding.