

registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Analysis of Comments Received

A list of the issues raised and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the respondent for the period February 1, 2012, through January 31, 2013.

Producer/exporter	Weighted-Average Dumping Margin (Percent)
Ambica Steels Limited	0.00

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department determines, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For assessment purposes, because Ambica's weighted-average dumping margin remains zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent) in these final results, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2). Our instructions will be on an importer-specific basis, where the importer is known, or on a customer-specific basis, where the importer is not known.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Ambica for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the

intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Ambica will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 12.45 percent, the "all others" rate established in the order.² These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or

conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 22, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary

for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Discussion of Issues
 - 1. Whether To Correct the Name of a U.S. Customer in the Final Liquidation Instructions
 - 2. Whether To Name All of Ambica's U.S. Customers in the Final Liquidation Instructions

[FR Doc. 2014–17721 Filed 7–25–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules From the People's Republic of China: Amended Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules, from the People's Republic of China covering the period May 25, 2012, through November 30, 2013 for the companies listed in Appendix I of this notice. The version of the partial rescission notice signed on June 24, 2014, contained a number of errors which this amended partial rescission notice corrects.¹ This amended partial rescission notice takes the place of the

¹ In the version of the partial rescission notice signed on June 24, 2014, the Department inadvertently rescinded the review of Shenzhen Topray Co., Ltd. and Spray Energy Co., Ltd., for which all review requests were not withdrawn and did not rescind the review of LDK Solar Hi-tech (Nanchang) Co., Ltd. for which all review requests were timely withdrawn.

² See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar From India*, 59 FR 66915, 66921 (December 28, 1994).

prior version and is being published in its place.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 28, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brandon Farlander or Drew Jackson, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0182 or (202) 482-4406, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 7, 2012, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells), from the People's Republic of China (PRC).² On December 3, 2013, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on solar cells.³ The Department received multiple timely requests for an administrative review and on February 3, 2014, in accordance with section 751(a) of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of the initiation of an administrative review of that order.⁴ The administrative review was initiated with respect to 145 companies or groups of companies, and covers the period from May 25, 2012, through November 30, 2013. While there are a number of companies which remain under review, the requesting parties have timely withdrawn all review requests for certain companies, as discussed below.

Rescission of Review, in Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. All requesting parties withdrew their respective requests for an administrative review of the entities listed in Appendix I within 90 days of

the date of publication of the *Initiation Notice*. The entities listed in Appendix I had a separate rate granted in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were under review. Accordingly, the Department is rescinding this review, in part, with respect to these entities, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).⁵

Companies That Have Not Demonstrated Eligibility for a Separate Rate

In addition to the companies noted above, all review requests were timely withdrawn for other companies that are currently under review that either do not have a separate rate because they have never been reviewed or did not demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were under review. Therefore, these companies will continue to be subject to the PRC-wide entity rate. While the requests for review of those companies were withdrawn by all parties, those withdrawn companies are part of the PRC-wide entity which could come under review in this segment of the proceeding. If the PRC-wide entity comes under review we will make a determination with respect to the PRC-wide entity at the final results. A complete list of these entities without separate rates is contained in Appendix II.

Assessment

For the entities in Appendix I for which the Department has rescinded this review and which had a separate rate granted in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were under review, the Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. For these entities, antidumping duties shall be assessed on period of review entries at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

For the entities in Appendix II, which are part of the PRC-wide entity during the instant review period (*i.e.*, have not established their eligibility for a separate rate), the Department will issue assessment instructions 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers whose entries will be liquidated as a result of this rescission notice of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders (APOs)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to APOs of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 16, 2014.

Gary Taverman,

Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I

The following companies, which were named in our *Initiation Notice*, had a separate rate granted in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were under review. Subsequently, interested parties timely withdrew all requests for review of these companies. Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to these companies:

- CEEG (Shanghai) Solar Science Technology Co., Ltd.
- CEEG Nanjing Renewable Energy Co., Ltd.
- China Sunergy (Nanjing) Co., Ltd.
- CNPV Dongying Solar Power Co., Ltd.
- Hanwha SolarOne (Qidong) Co., Ltd.
- JA Solar Technology Yangzhou Co., Ltd.
- Jetion Solar (China) Co., Ltd.
- Jiawei Solarchina Co. (Shenzhen), Ltd.
- JingAo Solar Co., Ltd.
- LDK Solar Hi-tech (Nanchang) Co., Ltd.
- Lightway Green New Energy Co., Ltd.
- Ningbo Komaes Solar Technology Co., Ltd.
- Risen Energy Co., Ltd.
- Shanghai JA Solar Technology Co., Ltd.
- Shanghai Solar Energy Science & Technology Co., Ltd.

² See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 73018 (December 7, 2012).

³ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 78 FR 72636 (December 3, 2013).

⁴ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 79 FR 6147 (February 3, 2014) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁵ See Appendix I.

- tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.
- Yuhuan Sinosola Science & Technology Co., Ltd.⁶
- Yuhuan Solar Energy Source Co., Ltd.

Appendix II

The following companies, which were named in our *Initiation Notice*, either do not have a separate rate because they have never been reviewed or were not granted a separate rate in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were under review. Therefore, these companies will continue to be subject to the PRC-wide entity rate. Although interested parties timely withdrew all requests for review of these companies, the companies are part of the PRC-wide entity which could come under review in this segment of the proceeding. If the PRC-wide entity comes under review, we will make a determination with respect to the PRC-wide entity at the final results.

- Aiko Solar
- Amplesun Solar
- Beijing Hope Industry
- Best Solar Hi-tech
- China Sunergy
- Chinalight Solar
- Dai Hwa Industrial
- EGING
- ENN Solar Energy
- General Solar Power
- Golden Partner Development
- Goldpoly (Quanzhou)
- Hairun Photovoltaics Technology Co., Ltd.
- Hareon Solar Technology
- HC Solar Power Co., Ltd.
- Jia Yi Energy Technology
- Jiangxi Green Power Co. Ltd.
- Jiasheng Photovoltaic Tech
- Jiawei Solar Holding
- Jiutai Energy
- Linuo Photovoltaic
- Perfectenergy
- Polar Photovoltaics
- Qiangsheng (QS Solar)
- QXPV (Ningbo Qixin Solar Electrical Appliance Co., Ltd)
- Refine Solar
- Risun Solar (JiangXi Ruijing Solar Power Co., Ltd)
- Sanjing Silicon
- Shanghai Chaori Solar Energy
- Shangpin Solar
- Shanshan Ulica
- Shenzhen Global Solar Energy Tech
- Shuqimeng Energy Tech
- Skybasesolar
- Solargiga Energy Holdings Ltd.
- Sunflower
- Sunlink PV
- Sunvim Solar Technology
- Tainergy Tech
- Tianjin Jinneng Solar Cell
- Topray
- Topsolar
- Trony
- Weihai China Glass Solar
- Wuxi Sunshine Power Co., Ltd.

⁶ The Department notes that it initiated a review of Yuhuan Sinosola Science & Technology Co., Ltd. However, the U.S. Customs and Border Protection's ACE Secure Data Portal reflects a rate for Yuhuan Sinosola Science & Technology Co., Ltd.

- Wuxi University Science Park International Incubator Co., Ltd.
- Yunnan Tianda
- Yunnan Zhuoye Energy
- Zhejiang Leye Photovoltaic Science & Technology Co., Ltd.
- Zhejiang Top Point Photovoltaic Co., Ltd.
- Zhejiang Wanxiang Solar Co, Ltd.
- Zhenjiang Huantai Silicon Science & Technology Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2014-17730 Filed 7-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee Public Meeting

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, DOC.

ACTION: Notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a meeting of the Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC).

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, August 19, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT).

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in Room 4830 at the U.S. Department of Commerce, Herbert Clark Hoover Building, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Maureen Hinman, Office of Energy & Environmental Industries (OEEI), International Trade Administration, Room 4053, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (Phone: 202-482-0627; Fax: 202-482-5665; email: maureen.hinman@trade.gov). This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to OEEI at (202) 482-5225 no less than one week prior to the meeting.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting will take place from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. EDT. The general meeting is open to the public and time will be permitted for public comment from 3:00-3:30 p.m. EDT. Those interested in attending must provide notification by Thursday, August 14, 2014 at 5:00 p.m. EDT, via the contact information provided above. Written comments concerning ETTAC affairs are welcome any time before or after the meeting. Minutes will be available within 30 days of this meeting.

Topics to be considered: The agenda for this meeting will include follow-up discussions to the May 28, 2014 joint

ETTAC-Environmental Trade Working Group (ETWG) meeting, discussion regarding next steps for the 2014-2016 charter, and 2012-2014 charter conclusion action items. The status of the U.S. Environmental Export Initiative will also be discussed.

Background: The ETTAC is mandated by Public Law 103-392. It was created to advise the U.S. government on environmental trade policies and programs, and to help it to focus its resources on increasing the exports of the U.S. environmental industry. ETTAC operates as an advisory committee to the Secretary of Commerce and the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee (TPCC). ETTAC was originally chartered in May of 1994. This meeting will be the final meeting under the current charter which expires in September 2014.

Dated: July 17, 2014.

Catherine Vial,

Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries, Acting.

[FR Doc. 2014-17337 Filed 7-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XD410

Fisheries of the South Atlantic; Southeast Data, Assessment, and Review (SEDAR); Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of SEDAR 41 post Data Workshop Webinar.

SUMMARY: The SEDAR 41 assessments of the South Atlantic stocks of red snapper and gray triggerfish will consist of a series of workshops and webinars: A Data Workshop; an Assessment Workshop; and a Review Workshop. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

DATES: A SEDAR 41 post Data Workshop webinar will be held on Friday, August 15, 2014, from 1 p.m. until 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: *Meeting address:* The meeting will be held via webinar. The webinar is open to members of the public. Those interested in participating should contact Julia Byrd at SEDAR (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** below) to request an invitation providing webinar access information. Please request webinar invitations at