

emissions inventory projections for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) in 2017 and 2025. The Tier 2 MVEBs will become effective if it is determined that technical uncertainties primarily due to model changes and to vehicle fleet turnover, which may affect future motor vehicle emissions inventories, lead to

motor vehicle emissions estimates above the Tier 1 MVEBs. The determination will be made through the interagency consultation process and fully documented within the first conformity analysis that uses the Tier 2 MVEBs. Receipt of the submittal was announced on EPA's transportation conformity Web

site. No comments were received. The findings letter is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>. The adequate direct particulate matter (PM) and NO<sub>x</sub> MVEBs for Tier 1 and Tier 2 are provided in Table 1 and Table 2.

TABLE 1—TIER 1 ON-ROAD MVEBS CONTAINED IN THE WASHINGTON AREA MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR THE 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Year	Motor vehicle emissions budget for PM <sub>2.5</sub> on-road emissions (tons per year)	Mobile vehicle emissions budget for NO <sub>x</sub> on-road emissions (tons per year)
2017 .....	1,787	41,709
2025 .....	1,350	27,400

TABLE 2—TIER 2 ON-ROAD MVEBS CONTAINED IN THE WASHINGTON AREA MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR THE 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS

Year	Motor vehicle emissions budget for PM <sub>2.5</sub> on-road emissions (tons per year)	Mobile vehicle emissions budget for NO <sub>x</sub> on-road emissions (tons per year)
2017 .....	2,144	50,051
2025 .....	1,586	32,880

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's MVEBs are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). EPA described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a July 1, 2004 preamble starting at 69 FR 40038 and used the information in these resources in making this adequacy determination. The District of Columbia did not provide emission budgets for sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), or ammonia for the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan because it concluded that emissions of these precursors from motor vehicles are not significant contributors to the area's PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality problem. The transportation conformity rule provision at 40 CFR 93.102(b)(2)(v) indicates that conformity does not apply for these precursors, due to the lack of motor vehicle emissions budgets for these

precursors and state's conclusion that motor vehicle emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>, VOCs, and ammonia do not contribute significantly to the area's PM<sub>2.5</sub> nonattainment problem. This provision of the transportation conformity rule predates and was not disturbed by the January 4, 2013 decision in the litigation on the PM<sub>2.5</sub> implementation rule.

EPA has preliminarily concluded that the District's decision to not include budgets for SO<sub>2</sub>, VOCs, and ammonia is consistent with the requirements of the transportation conformity rule. That decision does not affect EPA's adequacy finding for the submitted direct PM and NO<sub>x</sub> MVEBs for the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan.

Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval action for the SIP. Even if EPA finds the budgets for the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved. The finding and the response to comments are available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: April 11, 2014.

**W. C. Early, Acting**  
Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 2014–09719 Filed 4–28–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–R04–OW–2013–0728]

### Public Water System Supervision Program Revision for the State of North Carolina

**AGENCY:** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of tentative approval.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the State of North Carolina is revising its approved Public Water System Supervision Program. North Carolina has adopted the following rules: Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule, Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule, Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule, Lead and Copper Rule Short-Term Regulatory Revisions and Clarifications, and Ground Water Rule. The EPA has determined that North Carolina's rules are no less stringent than the corresponding federal regulations. Therefore, the EPA is tentatively approving this revision to the State of North Carolina's Public Water System Supervision Program.

**DATES:** Any interested person may request a public hearing. A request for a public hearing must be submitted by May 29, 2014, to the Regional Administrator at the EPA Region 4 address shown below. The Regional Administrator may deny frivolous or

insubstantial requests for a hearing. However, if a substantial request for a public hearing is made by May 29, 2014, a public hearing will be held. If no timely and appropriate request for a hearing is received and the Regional Administrator does not elect to hold a hearing on her own motion, this tentative approval shall become final and effective on May 29, 2014. Any request for a public hearing shall include the following information: The name, address and telephone number of the individual, organization or other entity requesting a hearing; a brief statement of the requesting person's interest in the Regional Administrator's determination and a brief statement of the information that the requesting person intends to submit at such hearing; and the signature of the individual making the request or, if the request is made on behalf of an organization or other entity, the signature of a responsible official of the organization or other entity.

**ADDRESSES:** All documents relating to this determination are available for inspection between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the following offices: North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Division of Water Resources, Public Water Supply Section, 512 North Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27601; and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, Safe Drinking Water Branch, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Lad, EPA Region 4, Safe Drinking Water Branch, by mail at the Atlanta address given above, by telephone at (404) 562-9458, or by email at [lad.paul@epa.gov](mailto:lad.paul@epa.gov).

**EPA Analysis:** On March 3, 2008, the State of North Carolina submitted a request that the Region approve revisions to the State's Safe Drinking Water Act Public Water System Supervision Program to include the authority to implement and enforce the Lead and Copper Rule Short-Term Regulatory Revisions and Clarifications. On November 9, 2009, the State of North Carolina submitted a request that the Region approve revisions to the State's Safe Drinking Water Act Public Water System Supervision Program to include the authority to implement and enforce the Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule. On December 18, 2009, the State of North Carolina submitted a request that the Region approve revisions to the State's Safe Drinking Water Act Public Water System Supervision Program to include the authority to implement and enforce

the Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule. On December 18, 2009, the State of North Carolina submitted a request that the Region approve revisions to the State's Safe Drinking Water Act Public Water System Supervision Program to include the authority to implement and enforce the Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule. On November 3, 2010, the State of North Carolina submitted a request that the Region approve revisions to the State's Safe Drinking Water Act Public Water System Supervision Program to include the authority to implement and enforce the Ground Water Rule. For the revisions to be approved, the EPA must find the State Rules 15A NCAC 18C .1507, 15A NCAC 18C .2008, 15A NCAC 18C .2007, and 15A NCAC 18C .2202, to be no less stringent than the Federal Rules codified at 40 CFR part 141, Subpart I—Lead and Copper Rule Short-Term Regulatory Revisions and Clarifications; 40 CFR part 141, Subpart V—Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule; 40 CFR part 141, Subpart T—Long Term 1 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule; 40 CFR part 141, Subpart W—Long Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule; and 40 CFR part 141, Subpart S—Ground Water Rule. The EPA reviewed the applications using the Federal statutory provisions (Section 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act), Federal regulations (at 40 CFR part 142), State regulations, rule crosswalks, and EPA regulatory guidance to determine whether the requests for revisions are approvable. The EPA determined that the North Carolina revisions are no less stringent than the corresponding Federal regulations.

**EPA Action:** The EPA is tentatively approving this revision. If the EPA does not receive a timely and appropriate request for a hearing and the Regional Administrator does not elect to hold a hearing on her own motion, this tentative approval will become final and effective on May 29, 2014.

**Authority:** Section 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended (1996), and 40 CFR part 142.

Dated: April 10, 2014.

**Heather McTeer Toney,**  
Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2014-09566 Filed 4-28-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden(s) and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501—3520), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s). Comments are requested concerning: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate(s); ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and further ways to reduce the information burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid OMB Control Number.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before June 30, 2014. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), via fax at: (202) 395-5167 or via the Internet at [Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas.A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov) and to Leslie F. Smith, Office of Managing Director (OMD), Federal Communications Commission (FCC), via the Internet at [Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov](mailto:Leslie.Smith@fcc.gov). To submit your PRA comments by email, please send them to: [PRA@fcc.gov](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov).