

165.23 apply to the safety zone created by this temporary section, § 165.T05–0155. In addition the following regulations apply:

(1) All vessels requiring greater than 40 feet horizontal clearance to safely transit through the U.S. 70 Fixed Bridge crossing the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, mile 203.7, at Morehead City, North Carolina must contact the work supervisor tender on VHF–FM marine band radio channels 10 and 13 or at (703) 786–7607 two hours in advance of intended transit.

(2) All Coast Guard assets enforcing this safety zone can be contacted on VHF–FM marine band radio channels 13 and 16.

(3) The operator of any vessel within or in the immediate vicinity of this safety zone shall:

(i) Stop the vessel immediately upon being directed to do so by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign, and

(ii) Proceed as directed by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign.

(c) Definitions. (1) Captain of the Port North Carolina means the Commander, Coast Guard Sector North Carolina or any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port to act on his behalf.

(2) Designated representative means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port North Carolina to assist in enforcing the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section.

(3) Work Supervisor means the contractor's on site representative.

(d) Enforcement. The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted by Federal, State and local agencies in the patrol and enforcement of the zone.

(e) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced daily 11 p.m. until 5 a.m., from July 19, 2014 through April 25, 2015 unless cancelled earlier by the Captain of the Port.

Dated: March 16, 2014.

**S.R. Murtagh,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port.*

[FR Doc. 2014–07265 Filed 3–31–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R06–OAR–2014–0191; FRL–9908–26–Region6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Revisions to the Minor New Source Review (NSR) State Implementation Plan (SIP); Types of Standard Permits, State Pollution Control Project Standard Permit and Control Methods for the Permitting of Grandfathered and Electing Electric Generating Facilities

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve several revisions to the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) related to the Texas Minor New Source Review (NSR) Standard Permits (SP) Program. First, EPA is approving revisions submitted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ, or Commission) on January 3, 2000, and March 11, 2011, expanding the Texas SP Program to include the Rule Standard Permit (Rule SP). The EPA is also proposing to approve a revision to the Texas SIP submitted by the TCEQ on February 1, 2006, for a specific Rule SP, the Rule Standard Permit for Pollution Control Projects (Rule SP for PCP) as meeting the requirements for a Minor NSR SIP revision. Finally, because EPA is proposing to approve the Rule SP for PCP, EPA is also proposing to approve a severable portion of the January 3, 2000, submittal concerning the Texas Senate Bill 7 (SB7) permitting program for grandfathered and electing electric generating facilities (EGFs). All of the Texas SB7 EGFs permitting program provisions have been approved as part of the Texas NSR SIP except for this severable portion. This severable portion allowing for the use of the Rule SP for PCP for permitting of collateral emission increases is being proposed for approval as meeting the requirements for a Minor NSR SIP revision. EPA is proposing these actions under section 110 of the Federal Clean Air Act (the Act or CAA) through a direct final rulemaking.

**DATES:** Written comments should be received on or before May 1, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Ms. Adina Wiley, Air Permits Section (6PD–R), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200,

Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier by following the detailed instructions in the **ADDRESSES** section of the direct final rule located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rick Barrett, (214) 665–7227, [barrett.richard@epa.gov](mailto:barrett.richard@epa.gov). Adina Wiley, (214) 665–2115, [wiley.adina@epa.gov](mailto:wiley.adina@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant, adverse comments are received in response to this action no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives relevant, adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 21, 2014.

**Ron Curry,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 2014–07128 Filed 3–31–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 81

[EPA–HQ–OAR–2012–0233; FRL–9908–80–OAR]

#### Air Quality Designations for the 2010 Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) Primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS): Notice of Action Denying Petition for Reconsideration and Stay Request

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of action denying petition for reconsideration and stay request.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is providing notice that it has responded to a petition for reconsideration of a rule published in the **Federal Register** on August 5, 2013, that promulgated the initial air quality designations for the 2010 Primary Sulfur

Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for certain areas in the United States. The rule is titled, "Air Quality Designations for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> Primary NAAQS." Subsequent to publishing the rule, the EPA received three petitions requesting that the EPA reconsider its designation decisions for certain areas. This document announces the EPA's response to one of those petitions: a petition from U.S. Steel requesting the EPA to reconsider its designation decision for Detroit, Michigan. A subsequent document will announce the EPA's response to the other two petitions. The EPA carefully considered the U.S. Steel's petition and supporting information, along with information contained in the rulemaking docket, in reaching its decision on the petition. The EPA

denied the U.S. Steel's petition for reconsideration in a letter to the petitioner dated March 11, 2014. The letter explains the EPA's reasons for the denial. The petitioner also requested that the EPA stay the effectiveness of the designations rule, pending reconsideration. Because the EPA denied the reconsideration request, the EPA also denied the stay request.

**DATES:** The petition for reconsideration discussed in this document is denied as of April 1, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rhonda Wright, Air Quality Planning Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code C539-04, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone: (919) 541-1087; email: [wright.rhonda@epa.gov](mailto:wright.rhonda@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Where can I get copies of this document and other related information?

This **Federal Register** document, the petition for reconsideration and the response letter to the petitioner are available in the EPA's docket established for the rulemaking to promulgate the air quality designations for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> Primary NAAQS, under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2012-0233. The table below identifies the petitioner, the date of petition to the EPA, the document identification number of the petition in the docket, the date of the EPA's acknowledgement letter and the document identification number in the docket for the EPA's response.

Petitioner	Date of petition to the EPA	Petition: document No. in docket	Date of the EPA response	The EPA response: document number in docket
<b>Detroit, MI Nonattainment Area</b>				
U.S. Steel Corporation—Great Lakes Works .....	October 2, 2013 .....	0357	March 11, 2014 .....	—0363

All documents in the docket are listed in the index at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., confidential business information or other information where disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at EPA's Docket Center, Public Reading Room, William Jefferson Clinton West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004. This Docket Center is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Air Docket is (202) 566-1742.

In addition, the EPA has established a Web site for the SO<sub>2</sub> designations rulemaking at <http://www.epa.gov/so2designations>. This **Federal Register** notice, the petition for reconsideration, and the response letter to the petitioner are also available on this Web site along with other information relevant to the designations process.

#### II. Judicial Review

Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act indicates which Federal Courts of Appeal have venue for petitions for review of final actions by the EPA. This section provides, in part, that petitions for review must be filed in the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (i) when the agency action consists of "nationally applicable regulations promulgated, or final actions taken, by the Administrator," or (ii) when such action is locally or regionally applicable, if "such action is based on a determination of nationwide scope or effect and if in taking such action the Administrator finds and publishes that such action is based on such a determination." In the rule establishing air quality designations for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> Primary NAAQS, the EPA determined that the actions are of nationwide scope and effect for the purposes of section 307(b)(1). (See 78 FR 47191, 47197 (August 5, 2013).)

The EPA has determined that its action denying the petition for reconsideration also is of nationwide scope and effect because this action directly relates to the SO<sub>2</sub> designations rulemaking that the EPA previously determined is of nationwide scope and effect. Thus, any petition for review of the final letter denying the petition for reconsideration must be filed in the Court of Appeals for the District of

Columbia Circuit on or before June 2, 2014.

Dated: March 19, 2014.

**Janet McCabe,**

*Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 2014-06813 Filed 3-31-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

##### 47 CFR Parts 1, 80 and 95

[WT Docket No. 14-36; FCC 14-20]

##### Maritime Radio Equipment and Related Matters

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC) invites comment on issues regarding updating rules and requirements for technologies used to locate and rescue distressed ships and individuals in distress at sea or on land to provide better and more accurate data to rescue personnel. The Commission also invites comments on rules regarding radar equipment, the use of portable marine Very High Frequency (VHF) transmitters by persons on shore;