

harassment) of small numbers of certain species of marine mammals. The requested take estimates represent small numbers relative to the affected species or stock sizes (i.e., all are less than or equal to 8%). See Table 5 for the requested authorized take numbers of marine mammals.

Endangered Species Act

Of the species of marine mammals that may occur in the survey area, several are listed as endangered under the ESA, including the humpback, sei, fin, blue, and sperm whales. NSF and ASC did not request take of endangered Southern right whales due to the low likelihood of encountering this species during the cruise. Under section 7 of the ESA, NSF, on behalf of ASC and five other research institutions, initiated formal consultation with the NMFS, Office of Protected Resources, Endangered Species Act Interagency Cooperation Division, on this low-energy seismic survey. NMFS's Office of Protected Resources, Permits and Conservation Division, also initiated formal consultation under section 7 of the ESA with the Endangered Species Act Interagency Cooperation Division, to obtain a Biological Opinion evaluating the effects of issuing the IHA under section 101(a)(5)(D) of the MMPA on threatened and endangered marine mammals. These two consultations were consolidated and addressed in a single Biological Opinion addressing the effects of these actions. NMFS's Biological Opinion concluded that the action and issuance of the IHA are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species and included an Incidental Take Statement incorporating the requirements of the IHA as Terms and Conditions. The Biological Opinion also concluded that designated critical habitat of these species does not occur in the action area.

National Environmental Policy Act

NSF and ASC provided NMFS a "Initial Environmental Evaluation/Environmental Assessment to Conduct Marine-Based Studies of the Totten Glacier System and Marine Record of Cryosphere—Ocean Dynamics," (IEE/EA) prepared by AECOM on behalf of NSF and ASC. The IEE/EA analyzes the direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental impacts of the planned specified activities on marine mammals including those listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA. NMFS, after review and evaluation of the NSF and ASC IEE/EA for consistency with the regulations published by the Council of Environmental Quality (CEQ) and

NOAA Administrative Order 126–6, Environmental Review Procedures for Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act, prepared an independent Environmental Assessment (EA) titled "Environmental Assessment on the Issuance of an Incidental Harassment Authorization to the National Science Foundation and Antarctic Support Contract to Take Marine Mammals by Harassment Incidental to a Low-Energy Marine Geophysical Survey in the Dumont d'Urville Sea off the Coast of East Antarctica, January to March 2014." NMFS has determined that the issuance of the IHA is not likely to result in significant impacts on the human environment and issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

Authorization

NMFS has issued an IHA to NSF and ASC for the take, by Level B harassment, of small numbers of marine mammals incidental to conducting a low-energy marine seismic survey in the Dumont d'Urville Sea off the coast of East Antarctica, provided the previously mentioned mitigation, monitoring, and reporting requirements are incorporated.

Dated: March 4, 2014.

Donna S. Wieting,

*Director, Office of Protected Resources,
National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014–05396 Filed 3–12–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 2011–0014]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of a federal government-wide effort to streamline the process to seek feedback from the public on service delivery, the Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission or CPSC) announces that CPSC intends to submit a Generic Information Collection Request (Generic ICR): "Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery" to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et.

seq.). OMB previously approved the collection of information under control number 3041–0148. OMB's most recent extension of approval will expire on April 30, 2014. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of approval of this collection of information from OMB.

DATES: The Office of the Secretary must receive comments not later than May 12, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2011–0014, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions: Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. The Commission does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through www.regulations.gov. The Commission encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

Written Submissions: Submit written submissions by mail/hand delivery/courier to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. If furnished at all, such information should be submitted in writing.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <http://www.regulations.gov>, and insert the docket number, into the "Search" box, and follow the prompts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: rsquibb@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Burden Hours

Title: Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery.

Abstract: The information collection activity will garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner to improve service delivery. Below we provide the CPSC's projected average estimates of qualitative surveys, focus groups, customer satisfaction surveys, and usability tests for the next three years.

Current Actions: Renewal of collection of information.

Type of Review: Renewal.

Affected Public: Individuals and households, businesses and organizations, state, local, or tribal government.

Average Expected Annual Number of Activities: Eight activities, including qualitative surveys, focus groups, customer satisfaction surveys, and usability tests.

Annual Number of Respondents: 1,600.

Annual responses: 1,600.

Frequency of Response: Once per request.

Average minutes per response: 45 minutes per response.

Annual Burden hours: 1,200.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number.

B. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic, or other forms of information technology.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-05481 Filed 3-12-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

COURT SERVICES AND OFFENDER SUPERVISION AGENCY

Report of a New Record System Under the Privacy Act of 1974

AGENCY: Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency (CSOSA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: CSOSA is proposing a new system of records, which will provide for the collection of information to track, verify, update, develop the skills of CSOSA employees, and to establish and maintain an electronic system to facilitate the management of CSOSA's workforce to assist the agency with closing skills gaps, succession management, workforce planning, and training and development efforts.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Narrative statement. CSOSA proposes to establish a new system of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a. The system will provide for the collection of information to track, verify, update, and develop the skills of CSOSA employees. The system information will be accessed and used by the employees themselves, their supervisors, training centers, and designated analysts and managers.

a. System name. CSOSA Competency Assessment Tool

b. System Purpose. To establish and maintain an electronic system to facilitate the management of CSOSA's workforce to assist the agency with closing skills gaps, succession management, workforce planning, and training and development efforts.

c. Authority. 5 U.S.C. 1103(c)(2)(A) and (B); 5 U.S.C. 1402(a)(6); 5 U.S.C. 4117.

d. Effect of system on individual privacy. The system will provide management and the employees the means for managing their career development with the agency. Information will be safeguarded according to established privacy rules and regulations.

e. Safeguards against unauthorized access. Records will be safeguarded in accordance with the Privacy Act requirements. Access will be limited to authorized individuals with passwords, and the database will be maintained behind an agency firewall software program and a GSA certified vendor internet service provider's security and firewall program.

2. Changes to existing agency rules. None.

3. Supporting documentation. A notice of the proposed system of records is attached.

Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency Proposed New Record System Under the Privacy Act of 1974

SYSTEM NAME:

CSOSA Competency Assessment Tool.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency (CSOSA), Office of Human Resources, 655 15th St. NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005. Records pertaining to core competency assessments of designated staff are located on CSOSA's servers and/or those of an authorized vendor. Records pertaining to pre-determined core competencies (e.g., leadership) may be forwarded to authorized/designated staff within CSOSA.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

CSOSA's current and former employees, and authorized vendors whom have accessed or completed the CSOSA Competency Assessment Tool.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

The system will contain personal information and supervisor assessments and an employee's own self-assessments of staff leadership skills and other core competencies according to established proficiency scales similar to or the Likert Scale. The assessments are tied to a master account that contains demographic data to help determine participation.

The personal demographic information in the system may include but are not limited to the following:

- a. Employee's CSOSA email address.
- b. First and last name.
- c. Office/Branch/Unit to which the employee is assigned.
- d. Pay plan.
- e. Grade.
- f. Occupational series/family.
- g. Occupational Specialty
- h. Work role, if applicable (e.g., manager, supervisor, team lead).
- i. Work telephone.
- j. Estimated years until retirement.
- k. Tenure in current position.
- l. Tenure with CSOSA.

Self-assessment information includes the employee's determination of his/her proficiency level against a set of leadership skills and other competencies using an established proficiency scale like the Likert scale. The assessment by the supervisor includes the supervisor's determination of an employee's proficiency level and the desired proficiency level of the targeted positions using the same set of competencies and proficiency scales.