• Customs Clearance and Delivery Fee (4.3%)

Although exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act [5 U.S.C. 553(b), (c)] regarding proposed rulemaking by 39 U.S.C. 410(a), the Postal Service invites public comment on the following proposed revisions to the Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, International Mail Manual (IMM), incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations. See 39 CFR 20.1.

### List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 20

Foreign relations, International postal services.

Accordingly, 39 CFR part 20 is proposed to be amended as follows:

## PART 20—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 20 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 13 U.S.C. 301–307; 18 U.S.C. 1692–1737; 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 403, 404, 407, 414, 416, 3001–3011, 3201–3219, 3403–3406, 3621, 3622, 3626, 3632, 3633, and 5001.

■ 2. Revise the following sections of the *Mailing Standards of the United States* 

Postal Service, International Mail Manual (IMM) as follows:

Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, International Mail Manual (IMM)

**Individual Country Listings** 

Extra Services

## **Certificate of Mailing (313)**

[For each country that offers certificate of mailing service, revise the fees to read as follows:]

Individual pieces	Fee
Individual article (PS Form 3817)	\$ 1.30 0.47 1.30
Bulk quantities	Fee
First 1,000 pieces (or fraction thereof) Each additional 1,000 pieces (or fraction thereof) Duplicate copy of PS Form 3606	\$ 7.80 0.95 1.30

# International Business Reply Service (382)

[For each country that offers International Business Reply service, revise the fees to read as follows:]

*Fee:* Envelopes up to 2 ounces \$1.85; Cards \$1.35.

## Registered Mail (330)

[For each country that offers international Registered Mail service, revise the fee to read as follows:]

Fee: \$13.65.

\* \* \* \* \*

### Return Receipt (340)

[For each country that offers international return receipt service, revise the fee to read as follows:]

Fee: \$3.75.

\* \* \* \* \*

We will publish an appropriate amendment to 39 CFR part 20 to reflect these changes if our proposal is adopted.

#### Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Legal Policy & Legislative Advice.
[FR Doc. 2013–24931 Filed 10–23–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R01-OAR-2011-0148; A-1-FRL-9901-72-Region 1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Rhode Island: Prevention of Significant Deterioration; Greenhouse Gas Permitting Authority and Tailoring Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to fully approve certain revisions to the Rhode Island State Implementation Plan (SIP) primarily relating to regulation of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) under Rhode Island's Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) preconstruction permitting program. EPA is also proposing to fully approve the State's definition of " $PM_{2.5}$ " (fine particulate matter) which is specific only to permitting. Certain of the State's SIP revisions consist of definitions that also relate more broadly to the State's PSD and nonattainment new source review (NSR) preconstruction permitting requirements, i.e., to major stationary sources that also emit regulated new source review pollutants other than GHGs. EPA is proposing to conditionally approve those definitions as they relate to the non-GHG pollutants. All of the revisions in

question were submitted by Rhode Island, through the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RI DEM) Office of Air Resources, on January 18, 2011. They are primarily intended to align Rhode Island's SIP regulations with EPA's "Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule." Finally, EPA is not taking action on certain other SIP revisions contained in RI DEM's January 18, 2011 submittal.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before November 25, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R01-OAR-2011-0148 by one of the following methods:

- 1. www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
  - 2. Email: dahl.donald@epa.gov
  - 3. Fax: (617) 918-0167
- 4. Mail: "Docket Identification Number EPA-R01-OAR-2011-0148", Donald Dahl, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, Office of Ecosystem Protection, Air Permits, Toxics, and Indoor Programs Unit, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, (Mail code OEP05-2), Boston, MA 02109-3912.
- 5. Hand Delivery or Courier: Deliver your comments to: Donald Dahl, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, Office of Ecosystem Protection, Air Permits, Toxics, and Indoor Programs Unit, 5

Post Office Square—Suite 100, (mail code OEP05–2), Boston, MA 02109–3912. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office's normal hours of operation. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding legal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules Section of this issue of the **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information regarding the Rhode Island SIP, contact Donald Dahl, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, Office of Ecosystem Protection, Air Permits, Toxics, and Indoor Programs Unit, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, (mail code OEP05–2), Boston, MA 02109–3912. Mr. Dahl's telephone number is (617) 918–1657; email address: dahl.donald@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules Section of this Federal **Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the notice published today for the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 20, 2013.

## H. Curtis Spalding,

Regional Administrator, EPA New England. [FR Doc. 2013–24846 Filed 10–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2013-0136, EPA-R05-OAR-2013-0215, EPA-R05-OAR-2013-0344, EPA-R05-OAR-2013-0378; FRL-9901-62-Region5]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Ohio; Dayton-Springfield, Steubenville-Weirton, Toledo, and Parkersburg-Marietta; 1997 8-Hour Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision to Approved Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is proposing to approve the request by Ohio to revise the 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance air quality state implementation plan (SIP) for the Dayton-Springfield and Toledo areas, and the Ohio portions of the Parkersburg-Marietta and Steubenville-Weirton, West Virginia-Ohio areas to replace onroad emissions inventories and motor vehicle emissions budgets (budgets) with inventories and budgets developed using EPA's Motor Vehicle Emissions Simulator (MOVES) emissions model. The Dayton-Springfield area consists of Clark, Greene, Miami, and Montgomery Counties. The Ohio portion of the Steubenville-Weirton, West Virginia-Ohio area consists of Jefferson County, Ohio. The Toledo area consists of Lucas and Wood Counties. The Ohio portion of the Parkersburg-Marietta, West Virginia-Ohio area consists of Washington County. Ohio submitted the SIP revision requests for the areas on the following dates: Dayton-Springfield on February 11, 2013; Steubenville-Weirton on March 15, 2013; Toledo on April 18, 2013; Parkersburg-Marietta on April 26,

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 25, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Nos. EPA-R05-OAR-2013-0136 (Dayton-Springfield), EPA-R05-OAR-2013-0215 (Steubenville-Weirton), EPA-R05-OAR-2013-0344 (Toledo), EPA-R05-OAR-2013-0378 (Parkersburg-Marietta), by one of the following methods:

- 1. www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
  - 2. Email: blakley.pamela@epa.gov
  - 3. Fax: (312) 692-2450.

- 4. *Mail:* Pamela Blakley, Chief, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.
- 5. Hand Delivery: Pamela Blakley, Chief, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Regional Office official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anthony Maietta, Environmental Protection Specialist, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–8777, maietta.anthony@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this issue of the Federal Register.