

31, 2012 period of review. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 78 FR 13631 (February 28, 2013). The Department examined U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) import data for the 2012 calendar year and determined that CTL plate produced by Severstal accounted for substantially all (not less than 85 percent) of the subject merchandise imported into the United States during the January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 period of review. *See Memo to the file from Anne D'Alauro dated September 27, 2013*, with attached summary of CBP import data for 2012. On March 14, 2013, and May 31, 2013, the Department issued its questionnaire and supplemental questionnaire, respectively, to Severstal. Severstal submitted its responses on April 23, 2013, and June 27, 2013, respectively.

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(C) of the Act, which specifies that the Department shall "review the current status of, and compliance with, any agreement by reason of which an investigation was suspended." In this case, the Department and Severstal signed the Agreement, suspending the underlying antidumping duty investigation, on December 20, 2002. Pursuant to the Agreement, each signatory producer/exporter individually agrees to make any necessary price revisions to eliminate completely any amount by which the normal value (NV) of the subject merchandise exceeds the U.S. price of its merchandise subject to the Agreement. *See Agreement*, 68 FR at 3860–61. Our review of the information submitted by Severstal indicates that the company has adhered to the terms of the Agreement and that the Agreement is functioning as intended. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

#### Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to provide: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, filed electronically via IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 27, 2013.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013–24279 Filed 10–2–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–821–817]

#### Silicon Metal From the Russian Federation: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 3, 2013.

**SUMMARY:** On June 3, 2013, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the Russian Federation.<sup>1</sup> The Department finds that revocation of this antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates identified in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elfi Blum, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6,

<sup>1</sup> *See Initiation of Five Year ("Sunset") Review*, 78 FR 33063 (June 3, 2013) (*Sunset Initiation Notice*).

Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0197.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the Russian Federation was published on March 26, 2003.<sup>2</sup>

On June 3, 2013, the Department initiated the second sunset review of this order, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").<sup>3</sup> On June 13, 2013, the Department received a timely notice of intent to participate in this sunset review from a domestic interested party, Globe Metallurgical Inc. (Globe), pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1). Globe claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a manufacturer in the United States of the domestic like product and as a petitioner in the investigation.

On July 3, 2013, the Department received an adequate substantive response in this sunset review from Globe within the 30-day deadline in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party in this sunset review. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department determined to conduct an expedited sunset review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Russia.

As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

##### Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is silicon metal, which generally contains at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. The merchandise covered by this order also includes silicon metal from Russia containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight, but containing more aluminum than the silicon metal which contains at least

<sup>2</sup> *See Antidumping Duty Order: Silicon Metal From Russia*, 68 FR 14578 (March 26, 2003) (*Antidumping Duty Order*), amended by *Silicon Metal From the Russian Federation; Notice of Amended Final Determination Pursuant to Court Decision*, 71 FR 8277 (February 16, 2006) (*Amended Final Determination*).

<sup>3</sup> *See Sunset Initiation Notice*.

96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal currently is classifiable under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). This order covers all silicon metal meeting the above specification, regardless of tariff classification.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum (“Decision Memorandum”) from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 26, 2013, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in room 7046 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/>. The signed Decision Memorandum and electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the Russian Federation would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail if the order were revoked are as follows:

Exporters/producers	Rate (percent)
ZAO Kremny and SUAL-Kremny-Ural, Ltd .....	61.61
Bratsk Aluminum Smelter and Rual Trade Limited .....	87.08
Russia-Wide .....	79.42

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to

administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: September 26, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013–24273 Filed 10–2–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–910]

#### Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 3, 2013, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated the first sunset review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon-quality steel pipe (“CWP”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). Based on the notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive response filed by the domestic interested party, and the lack of response from any respondent interested party, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from the PRC, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail is indicated in the “Final Results of Sunset Review” section of this notice, *infra*.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 3, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin Kearney or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0167 or (202) 482–5193, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 22, 2008, the Department published the antidumping duty order on CWP from the PRC.<sup>1</sup> On June 3, 2013, the Department published the notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from the PRC, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.<sup>2</sup> Between June 12 and June 18, 2013, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1), the Department received a timely and complete notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from Allied Tube and Conduit, EXLTUBE, JMC Steel Group, Maruichi American Corporation, TMK IPSCO, United States Steel Corporation, and Western Tube & Conduit, domestic producers of CWP. On July 2, 2013, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3), Allied Tube and Conduit, EXLTUBE, JMC Steel Group, Maruichi American Corporation, TMK IPSCO, United States Steel Corporation, and Western Tube & Conduit filed a timely and adequate substantive response. The Department did not receive substantive responses from any respondent interested party. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from the PRC.

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain welded carbon quality steel pipes and tubes, of circular cross-section, and with an outside diameter of 0.372 inches (9.45 mm) or more, but not more than 16 inches (406.4 mm), whether or not stenciled, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (e.g., black, galvanized, or painted), end finish (e.g., plain end, beveled end, grooved, threaded, or threaded and coupled), or industry specification (e.g., ASTM, proprietary, or other), generally known as standard pipe and structural pipe (they may also be referred to as

<sup>1</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe from the People’s Republic of China*, 73 FR 42547 (July 22, 2008).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 78 FR 33063 (June 3, 2013).