

Affected public	Data collection activity	Respondents	Estimated number of respondents	Frequency of response	Total annual responses	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden estimate (hours)
		State Agency Child Nutrition Directors.	53	1	53	1	53
TOTAL—YEAR 3			1,956	1	1,956	0.7727	1,511.46
TOTAL—YEAR 2 (Existing Burden).			1,938	4.9355	9,565	.5346	5,094
TOTAL BURDEN FOR #0584–0562.			1,956	5.8901	11,521	.5733	6605.46

Dated: September 25, 2013.

Audrey Rowe,

Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–24143 Filed 10–2–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Nutrition Service

Agency Information Collection

Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request—School Nutrition and Meal Cost Study

AGENCY: Food and Nutrition Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection. This collection is a new collection for the School Nutrition and Meal Cost Study.

DATES: Written comments on this notice must be received on or before December 2, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments may be sent to: John Endahl, Senior Program Analyst, Office of Policy Support, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture,

3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1004, Alexandria, VA 22302. Comments may also be submitted via fax to the attention of John Endahl at 703–305–2576 or via email to john.endahl@fns.usda.gov. Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of this information collection should be directed to John Endahl at 703–305–2127.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: School Nutrition and Meal Cost Study.

Form Number: N/A.

OMB Number: Not yet assigned.

Expiration Date: Not yet determined.

Type of Request: New collection.

Abstract: The School Nutrition and Meal Cost Study (SNMCS) comes at a time of unprecedented change for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and School Breakfast Program (SBP). In the 2012–2013 school year (SY), the school meal programs began to undergo far-reaching changes, mainly stemming from the Healthy, Hunger Free Kids Act (HHFKA). Key reforms enacted by this legislation include new, more stringent meal pattern and nutrient requirements for school meals, new offer-versus-serve (OVS) rules, gradually increased prices for paid meals, and introduction of nutrition standards for competitive foods. School food service practices are being revised dramatically. Changes in practices, prices, and available foods may influence which students participate in the programs. The new requirements are intended to better align USDA meals and snacks with the Dietary Guidelines for Americans and to improve participating students' food and nutrient intake. Complying with the new requirements might affect the costs

of producing school lunches and breakfasts. Conducting the SNMCS at this historic juncture will provide FNS with crucial information about the effects of the new meal standards on nutritional quality and the cost of school meals. No national study has concurrently examined the cost of producing school meals, the nutritional profile of school meals and the amount of plate waste in school meals.

The SNMCS will collect a broad range of data from nationally representative samples of public school food authorities (SFAs), schools, students, and parents during SY 2014–2015. These data will provide Federal, State, and local policymakers with needed information about how federally sponsored school meal programs are operating after implementation of the new nutrition standards and other changes in regulations. Comparisons of results from the SNMCS with previous studies (the School Nutrition and Dietary Assessment [SNDA] and the School Lunch and Breakfast Cost [SLBC] studies) will provide information to assess the effects of the new nutrition standards on foodservice operations, the nutrient content of school meals as offered and served, meal costs and revenues, and student consumption of school meals and dietary intake. The SNMCS will be the first assessment of school meals after implementation of these major changes.

The SNMCS sample will include 502 unique SFAs, 1,200 schools, 2,400 students and their parents, and observations of plate waste from 5,040 lunches and 3,360 breakfasts. The sample is designed to provide required levels of statistical precision and data quality while minimizing data collection costs and respondent burden. The SNMCS sample will be divided into three groups of SFAs, with various levels of data collected from each group. The data collection includes the administration of several different types of instruments and modes, including self-administered web-based SFA director and school principal surveys, a

food service manager survey, an electronic menu survey, competitive foods checklists, cafeteria environment observation, plate waste observation, Automated Multiple Pass Method 24-hour dietary recalls, measurement of student's height and weight, student/parent surveys, meal cost interviews, and collection of administrative cost data.

Affected Public: Respondent groups include: (1) Directors of school food authorities (SFAs); (2) State child nutrition officials; (3) local education agency business managers, (4) school foodservice managers (FSMs); (5) principals; (6) school staff appointed by principals to complete observation checklists (school liaisons); and (7) students and their parents.

Estimated Number of Respondents: The proposed final samples will include 502 unique SFAs; 1,200 schools; 2,400 students and their parents; and 5,040 and 3,360 plate waste observations at lunch and breakfast, respectively. Group 1 includes 106 SFAs but no schools. These SFAs will participate in the SFA Director Survey to provide the precision required for estimates of SFA

characteristics and policies. Group 2 comprises 100 SFAs and 300 schools. The Group 2 sample will include the 4 largest SFAs and 12 schools sampled from them plus a sample of 96 other SFAs and 288 of their schools (3 per SFA). Group 2 SFAs and schools will participate in the SFA Director Survey, FSM Survey, and Principal Survey; in addition, their FSMs will complete the Basic Menu Survey. Interviews will be completed with 2,400 students and their parents from these schools to provide information on meal program participation, satisfaction, and students' dietary intake from school meals and food outside school over 24 hours. Group 3 includes 300 SFAs and 900 schools (3 per SFA). The Group 3 sample will include the 4 largest SFAs and 12 of their schools, plus a sample of 296 other SFAs and 888 of their schools (3 per SFA). This group includes participants in the SFA Director Survey, Pre-Visit SFA Director Questionnaire and Forms, SFA Director and Business Manager Cost Interviews and follow-up interviews, interviews to collect administrative data on food prices, FSM Survey, and Principal

Survey. The additional cost interviews from this group will provide data for the meal cost estimates, along with completing the Expanded Menu Survey. Plate waste will be observed at a subsample of Group 3 schools; we will observe 5,040 NSLP lunches and 3,360 SBP breakfasts from 56 SFAs and 168 schools. In both the Group 2 and 3 schools, school liaisons will complete two checklists to provide information on competitive foods, and interviewers will complete a Cafeteria Observation Form.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: All respondents will be asked to respond to each instrument only once.

Estimated Total Annual Responses: 24,031.

Estimated Time per Response: 55 minutes (0.91 hours). The estimated response varies from 15 minutes to 600 minutes (10 hours), depending on the survey and the respondent group, as shown in the following table.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 21,912. See the table below for each type of respondent.

Affected public	Data collection activity	Respondents	Estimated number of respondents	Frequency of response	Total annual responses	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden estimate (hours)
State	Telephone Survey (Administrative Data on Indirect Cost Rates).	Non-respondents	3	1	3	0.07	0.2
		State education agency financial officer (Group 3).	47	1	47	0.33	16
Local and Tribal	Self-Administered Web Survey (Basic Menu Survey).	Non-respondents	15	1	15	0.07	1
		Foodservice managers (Group 2).	300	1	300	8	2,400
Local and Tribal	Self-Administered Web Survey (Expanded Menu Survey).	Non-respondents	49	1	49	0.07	3
		Foodservice managers (Group 3).	975	1	975	10	9,750
Local and Tribal	Self-Administered Web Survey (SFA Director Survey).	Non-respondents	41	1	41	0.07	3
		SFA directors (Groups 1, 2, 3).	366	1	366	0.67	245
Local and Tribal	Self-Administered Web Survey (FSM Survey).	Non-respondents	64	1	64	0.07	5
		Foodservice managers (Groups 2, 3).	1,200	1	1,200	0.33	396
Local and Tribal	Self-Administered Web Survey (Principal Survey).	Non-respondents	127	1	127	0.07	9
		Principals (Groups 2 and 3).	1,137	1	1,137	0.5	569

Affected public	Data collection activity	Respondents	Estimated number of respondents	Frequency of response	Total annual responses	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden estimate (hours)
Local and Tribal	Telephone Survey (SFA Director Planning Interview).	Non-respondents	2	1	2	0.07	0.1
		SFA directors (Group 2).	73	1	73	0.33	24
Local and Tribal	Self-Administered Web Survey (SFA Director Pre-visit Questionnaire and Forms).	Non-respondents	7	1	7	0.07	0.5
		SFA directors (Group 3).	219	1	219	0.83	182
Local and Tribal	In-person Interview (SFA Director and Business Manager Cost Interview).	Non-respondents	11	1	11	0.07	0.8
		SFA Directors/ LEA business managers (Group 3).	219	1	219	3	657
Local and Tribal	Telephone Survey (FSM Pre-visit Questionnaire).	Non-respondents	45	1	45	0.07	3
		Foodservice managers (Group 3).	900	1	900	0.25	225
Local and Tribal	In-person Interview (FSM Cost Interview).	Non-respondents	49	1	49	0.07	3
		Foodservice managers (Group 3).	975	1	975	0.5	488
Local and Tribal	Telephone Survey (Principal Cost Interview).	Non-respondents	45	1	45	0.07	3
		Principals (Group 3).	900	1	900	0.75	675
Local and Tribal	In-person Interview (Follow-Up SFA Director Prep Forms).	Non-respondents	11	1	11	0.07	0.8
		SFA directors/ LEA business managers (Group 3).	208	1	208	0.17	35
Local and Tribal	In-person Interview (Follow-Up SFA Director and Business Manager Cost Interview).	Non-respondents	11	1	11	0.07	0.8
		SFA directors/ LEA business managers (Group 3).	208	1	208	2	416
Local and Tribal	In-person Interview (Competitive Foods Checklist).	Non-respondents	190	1	190	0.07	13
		School staff liaisons (Groups 2 and 3).	760	1	760	0.5	380
Local and Tribal	Self-Administered Observation Form (Point-of-Sale Form).	Foodservice managers (Group 2).	300	1	300	0.17	51
Local and Tribal	Self-Administered Observation Form (Plate Waste Observations).	Foodservice managers (Group 3).	168	1	168	0.17	29
Subtotal State, Local & Tribal Governments.			9,625	1	9,625	1.72	16,583
Private Sector for-Profit	Self-Administered Web Survey (SFA Director Survey).	Non-respondents	15	1	15	0.07	1

Affected public	Data collection activity	Respondents	Estimated number of respondents	Frequency of response	Total annual responses	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden estimate (hours)
Private Sector for-Profit	Telephone Survey (SFA Director Planning Interview).	SFA directors (Groups 1, 2, 3).	136	1	136	0.67	91
		Non-respondents	1	1	1	0.07	0.1
Private Sector or-Profit	Self-Administered Web Survey (SFA Director Pre-visit Questionnaire).	SFA directors (Group 2).	27	1	27	0.33	9
		Non-respondents	2	1	2	0.07	0.1
Private Sector for-Profit	In-person Interview (SFA Director and Business Manager Cost Interview).	SFA directors (Group 3).	81	1	81	0.83	67
		Non-respondents	4	1	4	0.07	0.3
Private Sector for-Profit	In-person Interview (Follow Up SFA Director Prep Forms).	SFA directors/ LEA business managers (Group 3).	81	1	81	3	243
		Non-respondents	4	1	4	0.07	0.3
Private Sector for-Profit	In-person Interview (Follow-Up SFA Director and Business Manager Cost Interview).	SFA directors/ LEA business managers (Group 3).	77	1	77	0.17	13
		Non-respondents	4	1	4	0.07	0.3
Private Sector for-Profit	In-person Interview (Follow-Up SFA Director and Business Manager Cost Interview).	SFA directors/ LEA business managers (Group 3).	77	1	77	2	154
		Non-respondents	4	1	4	0.07	0.3
Subtotal Private Sector for-Profit Business.			509	1	509	1.13	579.1
Individual	In-person Interview (24-Hour Dietary Recall, Day 1).	Non-respondents	359	1	359	0.07	25
Individual	Telephone Survey (24-Hour Dietary Recall, Day 2).	Students	2,400	1	2,400	0.83	1992
		Parents	800	1	800	0.50	400
		Non-respondents	106	1	106	0.07	7
Individual	Self-Administered Form (Food Diary, Day 1).	Students	600	1	600	0.75	450
		Parents	200	1	200	0.75	150
		Non-respondents	120	1	120	0.07	8
Individual	Self-Administered Form (Food Diary, Day 2).	Parents	800	1	800	0.17	136
		Non-respondents	35	1	35	0.07	3
Individual	In-person Interview (Child/ Youth Interview).	Parents	200	1	200	0.17	34
		Non-respondents	359	1	359	0.07	25
Individual	In-person or Telephone Interview (Parent Interview).	Students	2,400	1	2,400	0.17	408
		Non-respondents	359	1	359	0.07	25
Individual	In-person Interview (Height and Weight Measurement Form).	Parents	2,400	1	2,400	0.42	1008
		Non-respondents	359	1	359	0.02	7

Affected public	Data collection activity	Respondents	Estimated number of respondents	Frequency of response	Total annual responses	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden estimate (hours)
		Students	2,400	1	2,400	0.03	72
Subtotal Individuals			13,897	1	13,897	0.34	4,750
Grand Total			24,031	1	24,031		21,912

Dated: September 26, 2013.

Audrey Rowe,

Administrator, Food and Nutrition Service.

[FR Doc. 2013-24142 Filed 10-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Revision of the Land Management Plan for the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forests

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of Initiating the development of a land management plan revision for the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forests.

SUMMARY: The Nantahala and Pisgah National Forests, located in North Carolina, are initiating the development of a land management plan revision (forest plan) for the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forests (NFs). A Draft Assessment has been posted to our Web site. We are inviting the public to help us develop a preliminary “need for change” and a proposed action for the land management plan revision.

DATES: A draft of the Assessment report for the revision of the Nantahala and Pisgah NFs land management plan was posted on the following Web site at www.fs.usda.gov/goto/nfsnc/nprevision on September 20, 2013.

Public meetings associated with the development of the preliminary “need for change” and a proposed action will be announced on the Web site cited above.

It is anticipated that the Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (which will accompany the land management plan revision for the Nantahala and Pisgah NFs), will be published in the **Federal Register** around December 2013 to January 2014.

ADDRESSES: Written comments or questions concerning this notice should be addressed to National Forests in North Carolina, Nantahala and Pisgah Plan Revision, 160 Zillicoa St., Suite A, Asheville, North Carolina 28801. Comments or questions may also be sent via email to NCplanrevision@fs.fed.us. All correspondence, including names

and addresses when provided, are placed in the record and are available for public inspection and copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ruth Berner, Forest Planner, 828-257-4200. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. (Eastern time), Monday through Friday.

More information on the planning process can also be found on the Nantahala and Pisgah Plan Revision Web site at www.fs.usda.gov/goto/nfsnc/nprevision.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the 2012 Forest Planning Rule (36 CFR Part 219), the planning process encompasses three-stages: Assessment, plan revision, and monitoring. The first stage of the planning process involves assessing social, economic, and ecological conditions of the planning area, which is documented in an assessment report. A draft of the assessment report for the Nantahala and Pisgah NFs was posted on the Forest Web site at www.fs.usda.gov/goto/nfsnc/nprevision on September 20, 2013.

This notice announces the start of the second stage of the planning process, which is the development of the land management plan revision. The first task of plan revision is to develop a preliminary “need for change”, which identifies the need to change management direction in current plans due to changing conditions or other monitoring information. The next task is to develop a proposed action, which is a proposal on how to respond to needs for changes. We are inviting the public to help us develop our preliminary “need for change” and a proposed action.

A proposed action will initiate our compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act. A Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the land management plan revision, which will include a description of the preliminary need for change and a description of the proposed action, will be published around December 2013 to January 2014 in the **Federal Register**.

Forest plans developed under the National Forest Management Act

(NFMA) of 1976 describe the strategic direction for management of forest resources for ten to fifteen years, and are adaptive and amendable as conditions changes over time. The Forest Plan for the Nantahala and Pisgah NFs was approved in 1987, with a Significant Amendment to the Forest Plan approved in 1994. On November 20, 2012, a public announcement was made that the Nantahala and Pisgah NFs were beginning to work on the Assessment for revising their Forest Plan. This notice announces the start of the second stage of the planning process, the development of the land management plan revision. Once the plan revision is completed, it will be subject to the objection procedures of 36 CFR Part 219, Subpart B, before it can be approved. The third stage of the planning process is the monitoring and evaluation of the revised plan, which is ongoing over the life of the revised plan.

As public meetings, other opportunities for public engagement, and public review and comment opportunities are identified to assist with the development of the forest plan revision, public announcements will be made, notifications will be posted on the Forest's Web site at www.fs.usda.gov/goto/nfsnc/nprevision and information will be sent out to the Forest's mailing list. If anyone is interested in being on the Forest's mailing list to receive these notifications, please contact Ruth Berner, the Forest Planner, at the address identified below, or by sending an email to NCplanrevision@fs.fed.us.

Responsible Official

The responsible official for the revision of the land management plan for the Nantahala and Pisgah National Forests is Kristin Bail, Forest Supervisor, National Forests in North Carolina, 160 Zillicoa St., Suite A, Asheville, North Carolina 28801.

Dated: September 25, 2013.

Kristin Bail,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 2013-24218 Filed 10-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P