

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****Twenty Fourth Meeting: RTCA Special Committee 213, Enhanced Flight Vision Systems/Synthetic Vision Systems (EFVS/SVS)**

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Meeting notice of RTCA Special Committee 213, Enhanced Flight Vision Systems/Synthetic Vision Systems (EFVS/SVS).

SUMMARY: The FAA is issuing this notice to advise the public of the twenty fourth meeting of the RTCA Special Committee 213, Enhanced Flight Vision Systems/Synthetic Vision Systems (EFVS/SVS).

DATES: The meeting will be held October 8–9, 2013 from 9:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m. and October 10, 2013 from 9:00 a.m.–3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at SAIC, 1515 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, Virginia 22209.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The RTCA Secretariat, 1150 18th Street NW., Suite 910, Washington, DC 20036, or by telephone at (202) 330–0652/(202) 833–9339, fax at (202) 833–9434, or Web site at <http://www.rtca.org>. Additional contact information: please contact Patrick Krohn, pkrohn@uasc.com, telephone (425) 602–1375 or mobile at (425) 829–1996. RTCA contact is Jennifer Iverson, jiverson@rtca.org, (202) 330–0662.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 10(a) (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C., App.), notice is hereby given for a meeting of Special Committee 213. The agenda will include the following:

Tuesday, October 8, 2013*Plenary Discussion (sign in at 9:00 a.m.)*

- Introductions and administrative items
- Review and approve minutes from last full plenary meeting
- Review of terms of reference
- Status of DO–342A and DO–315C Drafts
- Industry updates
- DO–315C and DO–342A draft review

Wednesday, October 9, 2013*Plenary Discussion*

- WG–1 DO–315C draft review
- WG–2 DO–342A draft review

Thursday, October 10, 2013*Plenary Discussion*

- WG–1 DO–315C draft review
- WG–1 DO–342A draft review
- Administrative items
- Adjourn

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting.

Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 5, 2013.

Paige Williams,

Management Analyst, NextGen, Business Operations Group, Federal Aviation Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013–22102 Filed 9–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Maritime Administration**

[Docket No. Marad 2013 0100]

Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD's) intention to request extension of approval for three years of a currently approved information collection.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before November 12, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lauren Brand, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone: 202–366–7057; or email lauren.brand@dot.gov. Copies of this collection also can be obtained from that office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Maritime Administration (MARAD)**

Title of Collection: America's Marine Highway Program.

Type of Request: Extension of currently approved information collection.

OMB Control Number: 2133–0541.

Form Numbers: None.

Expiration Date of Approval: Three years from date of approval by the Office of Management and Budget.

Summary of Collection of Information: The Department of Transportation will solicit applications for Marine Highway Projects as specified in the America's Marine Highway Program Final Rule, MARAD–2010–0035, published in the **Federal Register** on April 9, 2010. These applications must comply with the requirements of the referenced America's Marine Highway Program Final Rule, and be submitted in accordance with the instructions contained in that Final Rule. This application period begins immediately upon publication of the Solicitation of Applications for Marine Highway Projects. The solicitation will occur during FY 2014.

Need and Use of the Information: The information will be used by the Maritime Administration to evaluate and review applications being submitted for project designation. The review will assess factors such as project scope, impact, public benefit, environmental effect, offsetting costs, cost to the government (if any), the likelihood of long-term self-supporting operations, and its relationship with Marine Highway Routes once designated.

Description of Respondents: State, Local, or Tribal Government and Business or other for-profit.

Annual Responses: 20 responses.

Annual Burden: 200 hours.

Comments: Comments should refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document. Written comments may be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Comments also may be submitted by electronic means via the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Specifically address whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the agency and will have practical utility, accuracy of the burden estimates, ways to minimize this burden, and ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. EDT (or EST), Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An electronic version of this document is available on the World Wide Web at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association,

business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78) or you may visit <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Authority: 49 CFR § 1.93.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.

Dated: September 4, 2013.

Christine Gurland,

Acting Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013–22039 Filed 9–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No PHMSA–2013–0061]

Pipeline Safety: Information Collection Activities

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request abstracted below is being forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comments. A **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following information collection was published on April 23, 2013, (78 FR 23972).

PHMSA received one comment in response to that notice. PHMSA is publishing this notice to respond to the comment, provide the public with an additional 30 days to comment on the proposed revisions to the forms and the instructions, and announce that the revised information collection will be submitted to OMB for approval.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before October 11, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Blaine Keener by telephone at 202–366–0970, by fax at 202–366–4566, or by email at blaine.keener@dot.gov.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by the docket number PHMSA–2013–0061 by any of the following methods:

- **Fax:** 1–202–395–5806.
- **Mail:** Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), Records Management Center, Room 10102 NEOB, 725 17th Street NW.,

Washington, DC 20503, ATTN: Desk Officer for the U.S. Department of Transportation/PHMSA.

• **Email:** OIRA, OMB, at the following email address: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

Requests for a copy of the Information Collection should be directed to Angela Dow by telephone at 202–366–1246, by fax at 202–366–4566, by email at Angela.Dow@dot.gov, or by mail at U.S. Department of Transportation, PHMSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., PHP–30, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Section 1320.8(d), Title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, requires PHMSA to provide interested members of the public and affected agencies an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping requests. This notice identifies a revised information collection request that PHMSA will be submitting to OMB for approval. The information collected from hazardous liquid operators is an important tool for identifying safety trends in the hazardous liquid pipeline industry.

In a **Federal Register** Notice on April 23, 2013, with a 60-day comment period, PHMSA published its proposed changes to the hazardous liquid operators' accident report and its proposal to include the incorporation by reference of American Petroleum Institute (API) API 1130 (the industry standard on leak detection) which provides information collection and maintenance guidance on many factors such as measurement capabilities, communications reliability, pipeline operating condition, and product type. During this response period, PHMSA received one joint comment from API and the Association of Oil Pipelines (AOPL).

This 30-day notice responds to the comments, which may be found at <http://www.regulations.gov>, at docket number PHMSA–2013–0061.

The following is a summary of the joint comments to PHMSA regarding the proposed changes to Form PHMSA F 7000–1 ACCIDENT REPORT—HAZARDOUS LIQUID PIPELINE SYSTEMS.

A. Instructions for Volume Spilled (Part A9) and Volume Recovered (Part A11)

Comment: API/AOPL opposes PHMSA's proposal to include all product exiting the pipeline system in both the Volume Spilled and Volume Recovered categories. API/AOPL requests that volume exiting a system during a controlled event not be reported.

PHMSA Response: PHMSA does not consider any product exiting the system at the failure location to be done in a controlled manner. We are proposing to revise the instructions to exempt product removed from the system at locations remote from the failure site from both the Volume Spilled and Volume Recovered categories. However, we continue to propose that all product exiting the system at the failure site, regardless of the degree of control attainable by the operator, be reported in both Volume Spilled and Volume Recovered. Limiting the Volume Spilled to product exiting the system at the failure site provides the most accurate characterization of the consequences of the accident. The difference between Volume Spilled and Volume Recovered provides the most accurate characterization of the environmental consequences of the accident. This change does not penalize operators for withdrawing product in a controlled manner at locations remote from the failure site and provides incentive to move product away from the failure site whenever possible.

Comment: API/AOPL recommends “facility” be replaced with “system” in the instructions for Volume Spilled and Volume Recovered.

PHMSA Response: PHMSA has implemented this recommendation.

B. Instructions for Time Sequence (Part A18)

Comment: API/AOPL opposes the proposed change to the instructions regarding the use of the phrase “when the operator became aware of the accident” to describe the earliest date and time an operator identifies a pipeline failure. API/AOPL states that “awareness of the accident” is open to wide interpretation and suggests that “awareness” be replaced with “discovery”, which is used in other PHMSA regulations. API/AOPL notes that PHMSA's regulations for safety-related conditions characterize “discovery” as “when an operator's representative has adequate information from which to conclude the probable existence.”

PHMSA Response: We do not agree that the proposal to use “adequate” and “probable” in the definition of “discovery” provides additional clarity. Part A18 of the form simply requires the operator to report the earliest date/time the operator identified the failure and the date/time the operator arrived on site. If PHMSA were to implement API/AOPL's recommendation, these date/times would be identical and PHMSA would gain no knowledge of operator response time.