

The Designated Federal Officer (DFO) will review all submitted written statements. Written comments should be submitted to Mrs. Marcia Moore, DFO, via facsimile or electronic mail, the preferred modes of submission. Each page of the comment must include the author's name, title or affiliation, address, and daytime phone number. All contact information may be found in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Due to difficulties finalizing the meeting agenda for the scheduled meeting of August 27, 2013, of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force the requirements of 41 CFR § 102–3.150(a) were not met. Accordingly, the Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150(b), waives the 15-calendar day notification requirement.

**Registration:** Individuals who wish to attend the public hearing and meeting on August 27, 2013 are encouraged to register for the event with the Designated Federal Officer, using the electronic mail and facsimile contact information found in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The communication should include the registrant's full name, title, affiliation or employer, email address, and day-time phone number. If applicable, include written comments and Congressional statements. Registrations and written input must be typed.

**Background:** The National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force was established by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Pub. L. 112–239). The Department of Defense sponsor for the Commission is the Director of Administration and Management, Mr. Michael L. Rhodes. The Commission is tasked to submit a report, containing a comprehensive study and recommendations, by February 1, 2014 to the President of the United States and the Congressional defense committees. The report will contain a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the Commission, together with its recommendations for such legislation and administrative actions it may consider appropriate in light of the results of the study. The comprehensive study of the structure of the U.S. Air Force will determine whether, and how, the structure should be modified to best fulfill current and anticipated mission requirements for the U.S. Air Force in a manner consistent with available resources.

Dated: August 13, 2013.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2013–20174 Filed 8–19–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–06–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

#### **Record of Decision for the Modernization and Enhancement of Ranges, Airspace, and Training Areas in the Joint Pacific Alaska Range Complex in Alaska**

**ACTION:** Notice of availability (NOA).

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Modernization and Enhancement of Ranges, Airspace, and Training Areas in the Joint Pacific Alaska Range Complex (JPARC) in Alaska Record of Decision (ROD) was signed by the United States Army (Army) on 30 July 2013 and the United States Air Force (Air Force) on 6 August 2013.

The ROD states the Air Force and Army decision on the six definitive proposals analyzed in the EIS. These decisions include (1) Fox 3 Military Operating Area (MOA) Expansion and Paxon MOA Addition (Air Force), (2) Realistic Live Ordnance Delivery (Air Force), (3) Battle Area Complex (BAX) Restricted Area Addition (Army), (4) Expand Restricted Area R–2205, including the Digital Multi-Purpose Training Range (DMPTR) (Army), (5) Night Joint Training (Air Force), and (6) Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Access (Army).

The decision was based on relevant factors discussed in the EIS, including technical considerations, public review and Tribal and agency input. The Final EIS was made available to the public on June 28, 2013 through a NOA in the **Federal Register** (Volume 78, Number 125, Page 38975) with a wait period that ended on July 29, 2013. The ROD documents only the six definitive decisions of the Air Force and Army with respect to the proposed Air Force and Army actions analyzed in the EIS. Decision on programmatic actions analyzed in the EIS could be made at a future date.

**Authority:** This NOA is published pursuant to the regulations (40 CFR Part 1506.6) implementing the provisions of the NEPA of 1969 (42 USC. 4321, *et seq.*) and the Air Force's Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP) (32 CFR 989.21(b) and 989.24(b)(7)) and the Army's Environmental Analysis of Army Actions (32 CFR 651.26 and 651.45(j)(vi)(3)).

The ROD will be available for download at <http://www.jber.af.mil/jparc.asp>.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Captain Tania Bryan, Alaskan Command Public Affairs, 9480 Pease Avenue, Suite 120, JBER, AK 99506, (907) 552–2341, [ALCOM.J08@elmendorf.af.mil](mailto:ALCOM.J08@elmendorf.af.mil).

**Henry Williams Jr.,**

*Acting Air Force Federal Register Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013–20232 Filed 8–19–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–10–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

[Docket ID: USA–2013–0012]

#### **Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army, (OAA-AAHS), DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Department of the Army announces a proposed public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by October 21, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- **Mail:** Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make

these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

Any associated form(s) for this collection may be located within this same electronic docket and downloaded for review/testing. Follow the instructions at <http://www.regulations.gov> for submitting comments. Please submit comments on any given form identified by docket number, form number, and title.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to Military Surface Deployment and Distribution Command, 1 Soldier Way, Scott Air Force Base, Illinois, 62225-5006; email to [tony.mayo@us.army.mil](mailto:tony.mayo@us.army.mil); or call the Department of the Army Reports Clearance Officer at (703) 428-6440.

*Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number:* Freight Carrier Registration Program (FCRP); SDDC Form 410; OMB Control Number 0702-0121.

*Needs and Uses:* The FCRP is designed to protect the interest of the Government and to ensure that the Department of Defense deals with responsible carriers having the capability to provide quality and dependable service. Information is vital in determining capability to perform quality service transporting DoD freight. Carriers will furnish SDDC with information to assist in determining through other public records whether the company and its officers are responsible contractors.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for profit.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 108.

*Number of Respondents:* 430.

*Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Average Burden per Response:* 15 minutes.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Summary of Information Collection

The Freight Carrier Registration Program will be a minimum burden to the carrier industry. The information SDDC collects can now be accessed through the DoD Web site. That will expedite the time to approve the carrier to do business with the DoD.

Dated: August 14, 2013.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2013-20182 Filed 8-19-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### Notice of Intent To Grant Partially Exclusive Patent License; ICAP Patent Brokerage, LLC

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Navy hereby gives notice of its intent to grant to ICAP Patent Brokerage, LLC, a revocable, nonassignable, partially exclusive license in the United States to practice the Government-Owned inventions described in U.S. Patent No. 6,384,953: Micro-Dynamic Optical Device.//U.S. Patent No. 6,433,465: Energy-Harvesting Device Using Electrostrictive Polymers.//U.S. Patent No. 7,245,292: Apparatus and Method for Incorporating Tactile Control and Tactile Feedback Into a Human-Machine Interface.//U.S. Patent No. 7,274,413: Flexible Video Display Apparatus and Method.//U.S. Patent No. 7,277,475: Narrowband Interference Excision Device.//U.S. Patent No. 7,925,496: Method for Summarizing Natural Language Text.//U.S. Patent No. 8,217,382: Optical-Powered Flexible Photonic Bandgap Sensor Device.

**DATES:** Anyone wishing to object to the grant of this license must file written objections along with supporting evidence, if any, not later than September 4, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Written objections are to be filed with the Office of Research and Technology Applications, Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center Pacific, Code 72120, 53560 Hull St, Bldg A33 Room 2531, San Diego, CA 92152-5001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Suh, Office of Research and Technology Applications, Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center Pacific, Code 72120, 53560 Hull St, Bldg A33 Room 2531, San Diego, CA 92152-5001, telephone 619-553-5118, E-Mail: [brian.suh@navy.mil](mailto:brian.suh@navy.mil).

**Authority:** 35 U.S.C. 207, 37 CFR Part 404.

Dated: August 14, 2013.

**D. G. Zimmerman,**

*Lieutenant Commander, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013-20330 Filed 8-19-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.  
**ACTION:** Notice of Meeting Minutes for publication and public comment in the Federal Register.

**SUMMARY:** Minutes of the last Ocean Research Advisory Panel (ORAP) meeting will be open for public comment until September 16, 2013.

**DATES:** Comments will be taken until September 16, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting was held at Marine Acoustics Inc, 4100 Fairfax Drive, Suite 730, Arlington, VA 22203.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Joan S. Cleveland, Office of Naval Research, 875 North Randolph Street, Suite 1425, Arlington, VA 22203-1995, telephone 703-696-4532.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Dr. Cleveland, Designated Federal Officer, (DFO) called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. on May 21, 2013.

Introductions were made around the room and on the phone. Dr. Leinen reviewed the agenda. The minutes from the January 2013 meeting were approved.

#### National Ocean Council (NOC) Update—Given By M. Weiss (NOC)

- The National Ocean Policy Implementation Plan was released on April 16, 2013—the final looks very different from the Draft Implementation Plan. Public comments were taken into account. The final plan focuses on the importance of incremental change and emphasizes local and regional capacity. Action items are identified by federal agency; many are related to the topics ORAP is working on. The Ocean Science and Technology and the Ocean Resource Management interagency committees are tracking progress and will provide reports annually. The final version clarifies what marine planning means and emphasizes the need for flexibility. States/regions are encouraged, but not required, to establish regional planning bodies. Northeast, the Mid-Atlantic, the Pacific Islands and the Caribbean have established regional planning bodies; the Great Lakes region is discussing options; California expects to form a