

*Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

We calculated such rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. If an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) or the exporter has a weighted-average dumping margin that is zero or *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to assess that importer's entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by each respondent for which they did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Deacero will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 20.11 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.<sup>4</sup> These cash deposit

requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping duties reimbursed.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). We are issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 7, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum

- Comment 1: Universe of Sales for Assessment Rate and Cash Deposit Rate
- Comment 2: Universe of Sales—Entry Date vs. Sale Date
- Comment 3: Establishing De Minimis Guidelines for "Sufficient Sales" or "Meaningful Difference"
- Comment 4: Whether to Automatically Apply the Average-to-Transaction Methodology to the Final Results
- Comment 5: Whether Nucor's Argument in Case Briefs Qualifies as New Information
- Comment 6: Whether the Department Erred in Calculating Inventory Carrying Cost

[FR Doc. 2013-11464 Filed 5-13-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

*Steel Wire Rod From Mexico*, 67 FR 55800 (August 30, 2002).

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

#### Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand. The review covers 11 respondents. The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2011, through July 31, 2012. We preliminarily find that subject merchandise has been sold at less than normal value by the companies subject to this review.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 14, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dmitry Vladimirov, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0665.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is polyethylene retail carrier bags, which are currently classified under subheading 3923.21.0085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of the 2011/12 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand," dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The written description is dispositive.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://>

<sup>4</sup> See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Carbon and Certain Alloy*

iaaccess.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Methodology

We have relied on total facts available with respect to Trinity Pac Co., Ltd. (Trinity Pac), the sole company selected for individual examination in this review. Because this company did not act to the best of its ability to respond to the Department's requests for information, we have drawn an adverse inference in selecting from among the facts otherwise available.<sup>1</sup> We have preliminarily determined to apply a

122.88 percent rate as adverse facts available for Trinity Pac.<sup>2</sup>

### Preliminary Determination of No Reviewable Entries

With respect to TPN FlexPac Co., Ltd., we preliminarily determine that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>3</sup>

### Rates for Respondents Not Selected for Individual Examination

Section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act states that "if the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for all exporters and producers individually investigated are zero or *de minimis*, or determined entirely under section 776" in an investigation, the Department may "use any reasonable method to establish the estimated all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated." In administrative reviews, when the Department does not review all of the respondents, the Department will rely

on section 735(c)(5) of the Act for guidance in determining a rate for companies not individually investigated. In this administrative review, the only rate preliminarily applied to an individually investigated exporter has been determined pursuant to section 776(a) and (b) of the Act. Therefore, consistent with section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, we preliminarily determine that a reasonable method for determining the weighted-average dumping margins for the nine non-selected respondents in this review is to apply an all-others rate of 4.69 percent.<sup>4</sup> This rate is taken from the *Section 129 Determination* for the original antidumping duty investigation.<sup>5</sup>

### Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of our review, we preliminarily determine that the following percentage weighted-average dumping margins on PRCBs from Thailand exist for the period August 1, 2011, through July 31, 2012:

Company	Margin percent
Elite Poly and Packaging Co., Ltd .....	4.69
Multibax Public Company Limited .....	4.69
PMC Innopack Co., Ltd .....	4.69
Prepack Thailand Co., Ltd .....	4.69
TPN FlexPac Co., Ltd .....	(*)
Superpac Corporation Co. Ltd .....	4.69
Siam Best Products Trading Limited Partnership .....	4.69
Two Path Plaspac Co. Ltd .....	4.69
Sun Pack Inter Co. Ltd .....	4.69
Apple Film Company, Ltd .....	4.69
Trinity Pac Co. Ltd .....	122.88

\* No shipments in this review.

### Disclosure and Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit cases briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, filed

electronically via IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For the final results, if we continue to rely on total adverse facts available to establish Trinity Pac's weighted-average dumping margin, we will instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 122.88 percent to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were produced and/or exported by Trinity Pac.

For the companies which were not selected for individual examination and for which we did not determine that there were no shipments, we will

<sup>1</sup> See sections 776(a) and (b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

<sup>2</sup> For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>3</sup> See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>4</sup> For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>5</sup> See *Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand*, 75 FR 48940 (August 12, 2010) (*Section 129 Determination*).

instruct CBP to apply an *ad valorem* assessment rate of 4.69 rate to all entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by such firms.

Consistent with the *Assessment Policy Notice*,<sup>6</sup> for TPN FlexPac Co., Ltd., which claimed that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all entries of subject merchandise at the cash deposit rate applicable for the intermediary company, or if no such rate exists, at the all-others rate of 4.69 percent from the *Section 129 Determination*.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of PRCBs from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies, except for TPN FlexPac Co., Ltd., will be the rates established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer has its own rate, the cash deposit rate will be 4.69 percent.<sup>7</sup> These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notifications to Importer

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

These preliminary results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 6, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix I

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Scope of the Order
2. Selection of Respondents
3. Request for Duty Absorption Determinations
4. Use of Facts Otherwise Available
5. Preliminary Determination of No Reviewable Entries
6. Rate for Non-Selected Companies

[FR Doc. 2013-11319 Filed 5-13-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-570-954]

##### Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Correction to the Final Results of the 2010-2011 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 14, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4047.

##### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Correction

On April 15, 2013, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published, in the **Federal Register**, the final results of the 2010-2011 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the People's Republic of China.<sup>1</sup> The period of review covered March 12, 2010, through August 31, 2011. The published **Federal Register** notice contained a clerical error, in that it identified an incorrect exporter company name (*i.e.*, Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City and Fengchi Refractories

Co., of Haicheng City).<sup>2</sup> The correct exporter company name is Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City. Pursuant to section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall correct any ministerial errors within a reasonable time after the determinations are issued under this section. A ministerial error is defined as an error "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional error." This notice serves to correct the incorrect exporter company name listed in the *Final Results*.

This correction is published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 7, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013-11321 Filed 5-13-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-570-886]

##### Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Anticircumvention Inquiry on Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* May 14, 2013.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request from The Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bag Committee and its individual members: PCL Packaging, Inc., Hilex Poly Co., LLC, Superbag Corp., and Inteplast Group, Ltd., (collectively, the petitioners), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating an anticircumvention inquiry pursuant to section 781(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), to determine whether imports of unfinished polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) are circumventing the antidumping duty order on PRCBs from the PRC.<sup>1</sup>

##### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dustin Ross, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and

<sup>6</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

<sup>7</sup> See *Section 129 Determination*.

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010-2011*, 78 FR 22230 (April 15, 2013) ("Final Results").

<sup>2</sup> See *id.* at 22231.

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 48201 (August 9, 2004) (*PRCB Order*).