## APPENDIX A

Organization	Key contact	Grant amount	Geographic impact
AP	PLIED RESEARCH DEMONSTR	ATION	
Columbus Property Management & Development, Inc., 2042–48 Arch Street, 2nd Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103.	Moira Rooney 215–557–8484 x3251.	\$3,000,000	Greater Philadelphia metropolitan area.
Community Environmental Center, Inc., 43–10 11th Street, Long Island City, New York 11101.	Jay Ackley 718–784–1444 x148.	3,000,000	New York City (Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, and Queens).
Enterprise Community Partners, Inc., One Whitehall Street, 11th Floor, New York, NY 10004.	Esther Toporovsky 212–284–7104.	2,795,071	New York City, Chicago Area, Southern California.
Heat Watch, LLC, 6 Beechwood Drive, Glen Head, NY 11545.	Daniel Carleton 516–672–1499	356,300	New York City (Bronx, Brooklyn, and Manhattan), and Yonkers.
iCast, 777 South Wadsworth Boulevard, Building 4, Suite 205, Lakewood, Colorado 80226.	Ravi Malhotra 303–462–4100 x801.	590,118	State of Colorado.
Jonathan Rose Companies, LLC, 551 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10176.	Clay McPhail 917–542–3647	325,732	Newark, New Jersey.
New Folk, NT 10176. New Ecology, Inc., 15 Court Square, Suite 420, Boston, MA 02108.	Eric Gardner 617–557–1700 x35.	989,275	Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
Stewards of Affordable Housing for the Future, 555 11th Street NW., Suite 525, Washington, DC 20004.	Rick Samson 202–737–5978	1,500,000	National scope.
University of Illinois, (The Board of Trustees of), 1901 South First Street, Champaign, IL 61820–7406.	Kate Brown 217–244–4671	500,000	Champaign, Cook, Lake, and Union counties.
Total for Applied Research Demonstration Component.		13,056,496	
	FINANCING DEMONSTRATION	N	
Maryland Dept. of Housing & Community Development, 100 Community Place, Crownsville, MD 21032.	Danielle England 410–514–7441.	1,250,000	Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Cecil, Frederick, Hartford, Howard, Montgomery, Prince George's, and St. Mary's Counties.
Network for Oregon Affordable Housing, 1020 SW Taylor, Suite 585, Portland, Oregon 97205.	Bill Van Vliet 503-501-5680	3,000,000	Greater Portland, OR metropolitan area.
NRG Solutions, LLC, 6 Faneuil Hall Marketplace, Boston, MA 02109.	Darien Crimmons 617–239– 4540.	5,250,000	NY, CT, MA, RI, VT, ME, and New York City.
Total for Financing Demonstration Component		9,500,000	
Total EIF Grant Awards		22,556,496	

[FR Doc. 2013–10414 Filed 5–1–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5600-FA-04A; Docket No. FR-5600-FA-04B; Docket No. FR-5600-FA-07]

Announcement of Funding Awards for Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control (OHHLHC) Grant Programs for Fiscal Year (FY) 2012; Correction of OHHLHC Announcement of Funding Awards for FY 2011 and 2010

**AGENCY:** Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, HUD.

**ACTION:** Announcement of funding awards.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development

Reform Act of 1989, this announcement notifies the public of funding decisions made by the Department in competitions for funding under the Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control (OHHLHC) Grant Program Notices of Funding Availability. This announcement contains the name and address of the award recipients and the amounts of awards under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012, and prioryear appropriations. In addition this announcement notifies the public of a correction regarding the announcements of funding awards under the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, and a competition under the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2010.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew E. Ammon, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, Room 8236, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone 202–402–4337. Hearing- and speech-impaired persons may access the number above via TTY by calling the toll free Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### A. Funding Awards for FY 2012

HUD announced the FY 2012 awards on September 19, 2011. These awards were the result of competitions posted on the Internet at Grants.gov on November 28, 2011 for Lead Based Paint Hazard Control (FR-5600-N-04); on November 28, 2011 for Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Programs (FR-5600-N-04); and on November 30, 2011 for Healthy Homes Technical Studies Programs (FR-5600-N-07). The purpose of the competitions was to award funding for grants and cooperative agreements for the Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control Grant Programs.

Applications were scored and selected on the basis of selection criteria contained in these Notices. A total of \$117,597,109 was awarded under the HUD appropriations act for FY 2012, namely, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012, (Pub. L. 112–10, approved April 15, 2011) and prior year appropriations. In accordance with Section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (103 Stat. 1987; 42 U.S.C. 3545), the Department is publishing the names, addresses, and the amount of these awards as follows:

# 1. Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Grant Program

A total of \$ \$75,201,190 was awarded to 32 grantees for the Lead Based Paint Hazard Control Grant Program and an additional \$5,329,093 was awarded to 30 out of the 32 grantees for the Healthy Homes Initiative was under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012: Michigan Department of Community Health, P.O. Box 30195, 201 Townsend Street, Lansing, MI 48909-7695, \$2,479,602; City of Long Beach, 2525 Grand Avenue, Long Beach, CA 90815– 1765, \$2,479,996; Naugatuck Valley Health District, 98 Bank Street, Seymour, CT 06483-2856, \$2,480,000; City of Tucson, 310 N. Commerce Park Loop, Tucson, AZ 85745-2700. \$2,480,000; Pennsylvania Department of Health, Health and Welfare Building, 7th Floor, East Wing, 625 Forster Street, Harrisburg, PA 171200-0701, \$2,480,000; City of Marshalltown, 24 North Center Street, Marshalltown, IA 50158-9916, \$2,480,000; Kansas City Missouri Health Department, 2400 Troost Avenue, Suite 3100, Kansas City, MO 64108–2666, \$2,480,000; City of Richmond, 450 Civic Center Plaza, Richmond, CA 94804-0046, \$2,480,000; Cuyahoga County Board, 5550 Venture Drive, Cuyahoga, OH \$2,480,000; County of Lawrence, 430 Court Street, New Castle, PA, 16101-3503, \$2,480,000; Washington State Department of Commerce, 1011 Plum Street SE., Olympia, WA 98504-2525, \$2,480,000; City of Fort Wayne, 200 East Berry Street, Fort Wayne, IN 46802-2731, \$2,478,240; City of Worcester, 455 Main Street, Worcester, MA 01608-1821, \$2,480,000; City of Norwich, 23 Union Street, Norwich, CT 06360-4416, \$2,107,857 City of Springfield, 76 East High Street, Springfield, OH 45502-1214, \$2,480,000; Maine State Housing Authority, 353 Water Street, Augusta, ME 04330–4665, \$2,409,093; City of New Haven, 54 Meadow Street 9th Floor, New Haven, CT 06519, \$2,480,000; Onondaga County, 1100 Civic Center, Syracuse, NY 13202-2908,

\$2,480,000; San Diego Housing Commission, 1122 Broadway, Suite 300, San Diego, CA 92101–5612, \$2,480,000; Charter County of Wayne, 33030 Van Born Road, Wayne, MI 48184, \$2,480,000; Kenosha County, 8600 Sheridan Road, Suite 600, Kenosha WI 53143-6515, \$2,480,000; City of Minneapolis, 250 South 4th Street, Room 414, Minneapolis, MN 55415-1316, \$2,480,000; City of Grand Rapids 300 Monroe Avenue NW., Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2206, \$2,480,000; New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority, 32 Constitution Drive, Bedford, NH 03110-6092, \$2,480,000; City of Charlotte, 600 East Trade Street, Charlotte, NC 28202, \$2,479,414; Housing Authority of South Bend, 501 Alonzo Watson Drive, South Bend, IN 46601-3730, \$2,480,000; County of Elkhart, 4230 Elkhart Road, Goshen, IN 46526, \$2,480,000; City of Sioux City, 405 6th Street, Sioux City, IA 51102, \$2,480,000; Lucas County Regional Health District, 635 North Erie Street, Toledo, OH 43604-5317, \$2,480,000; City of Nashua, 229 Main Street, Nashua, NH 03060-2938, \$2,480,000; Madison County, 130 Hillsboro Avenue, Edwardsville, IL 62025-1636, \$1,976,081. The two Lead Based Paint Hazard Control grants that did not receive the Healthy Homes Initiative Supplement were the City of Kankakee, 850 N. Hobbie Avenue, Kankakee, IL 60901, \$2,300,000; and California Department of Community Services and Development, 2389 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95833-4246, \$2,300,000.

# 2. Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program

A total of \$34,011,932 was awarded to 12 grantees for the Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012: New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development, 100 Gold Street, New York, NY 10038, \$3,000,000; City of Spokane, 808 West Spokane Falls Boulevard, Spokane, WA 99201; \$2,400,000; City of Syracuse, 201 E. Washington Street, Syracuse, NY 13202-1410, \$3,000,000; City of Akron, 166 South High Street, Akron, OH 44308-1828, \$3,000,000; City of Los Angeles, 1200 W. 7th Street, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017-2349, \$3,000,000; District of Columbia, 1800 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue SE., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20020, \$2,998,810; City of Milwaukee Community Relations Social Development Commission, 4041 North Richards Street, Milwaukee, WI 53212-1232, \$3,000,000; City of Cincinnati,

801 Plum Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202, \$3,000,000; City of Fort Worth, 1000 Throckmorton Street, Fort Worth, TX 76102–6312, \$3,000,000; City of Rochester, 30 Church Street, Room 005A, Rochester, NY 14614–1290, \$3,000,000; Baltimore City Department of Housing and Community Development, 417 E. Fayette Street, Room 1114, Baltimore, MD 21202–0328, \$2,900,000; Vermont Housing and Conservative Board, 58 East State Street, Montpelier, VT 05602–3044, \$1,713,122.

## 3. Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grant Program

A total of \$3,054,894 was awarded to 5 grantees for Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grant Program under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2012: Health Research, Inc., New York State Department of Health, Riverview Center, 150 Broadway, Suite 560, Menands, NY 12204-2719, \$500,000; Silent Spring Institute, 29 Crafts Street, Newton, MA 02458-1283, \$699,793; Wayne State University Center for Urban Studies, 5057 Woodward Avenue, 13th Floor, Suite 13202, Detroit, MI 48201-4050, \$692,221; Appalachian State University, 287 Rivers Street, Suite 232, Boone, NC 28608, \$696,810; Boston University, Trustees of Boston University, 85 East Newton Street, M-921, Boston, MA 02118-2340, \$466,070.

## B. Correction to FY 2011 Announcement of Funding Awards

A grant of \$2,500,000 was awarded for the FY2011 Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program under the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011 (Pub. L. 112–10). There was an omission in the OHHLHC's FY 2011 Announcement of Funding Awards (77 Federal Register 19306–07, March 30, 2012) of the award for the City and County of San Francisco, Mayor Office of Housing, 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103, which is corrected here.

# C. Correction to FY 2010 Announcement of Funding Awards

A grant of \$425,000 was awarded for the FY 2010 Asthma Interventions in Public and Assisted Multifamily Housing (AIPAMH) Grant Program under the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2010 (Pub. L. 111–117). (See, the OHHLHC's FY 2010 Announcement of Funding Awards at (77 Federal Register 19305–06, March 30, 2012.) To correct an oversight in the application review process, the 2010 AIPAMH application for the Eastern Virginia Medical School (EVMS), 358 Mowbray Arch, P.O. Box

1980, Norfolk, VA 23501-1980, was rescored, which placed it in the funding range. The grant award to EVMS was for the same amount it would have received had it been funded in FY 2010, but it used funds under the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011.

Dated: April 26, 2013.

## Matthew E. Ammon,

Deputy Director, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control.

[FR Doc. 2013-10412 Filed 5-1-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Fish and Wildlife Service

IFWS-R9-MB-2012-N094: FF09M29000-112-FXMB123209EAGL0L2]

RIN 1018-AX53

Migratory Birds; Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance: Module 1—Land-Based Wind Energy, Version 2

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service,

Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce that Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance: Module 1—Land-based Wind Energy, Version 2 is available. The guidance provides recommendations for agency staff and developers to use an iterative process to avoid and minimize negative effects on eagles and their habitats resulting from the construction, operation, and maintenance of landbased, wind energy facilities in the United States.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. George Allen, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22203-1610, or 703-358 - 1825.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Service is charged with implementing many statutes that provide protection to bald and golden eagles, including the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) (16 U.S.C. 668-668c), the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (16 U.S.C. 703-12), and the Endangered Species Act (ESA) (16 U.S.C. 1531-44). Under these statutes, the Service implements permit programs for eagles as authorized by implementing regulations in title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). In 2009, the Service promulgated regulations in 50 CFR part 22 authorizing issuance of permits for nonpurposeful take of eagles

(74 FR 46836, September 11, 2009). On February 18, 2011, we issued a draft of The Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance: Module 1—Land-based Wind Energy for public comment (76 FR 9529). We received 124 comments by the end of the comment period on May 19, 2011.

We have considered the public comments received on the draft guidance and now issue the Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance: Module 1—Land-based Wind Energy, Version 2 (ECPG) to assist potential permit applicants and to promote compliance with BGEPA with respect to such permits. The ECPG describes a process for wind energy developers, in coordination with the Service, to collect and analyze information that could support an application for a programmatic permit to authorize unintentional take of eagles at wind energy facilities. The ECPG provides recommendations for the development of eagle conservation plans (ECPs) to support issuance of eagle programmatic take permits for wind facilities.

Programmatic take permits will authorize limited nonpurposeful mortality and disturbance of eagles at wind facilities, provided that effective offsetting conservation measures that meet regulatory requirements are carried out. To comply with the permit regulations, conservation measures must avoid and minimize take of eagles to the maximum degree practicable, and, for programmatic permits necessary to authorize ongoing take of eagles, advanced conservation practices must be implemented, if available, such that any remaining take is unavoidable.

Further, for eagle management populations that the Service has determined cannot sustain additional mortality, any remaining take must be offset through compensatory mitigation such that the net effect on the eagle management population is, at a minimum, no net loss. The ECPG interprets and clarifies the permit requirements in the regulations at 50 CFR 22.26 and 22.27 and does not impose any binding requirements beyond those specified in the

The Service recommends that ECPs be developed in five successive stages. The process is intended to be a progressive, increasingly intensive look at potential effects of the development and operation of a particular site and design configuration to eagles. The objectives, recommended actions, and recommended data sources for each of the five stages in the ECP are described in the Stage Overview table in the guidance. The ECPG recommends that project developers or operators employ

specific procedures in their site assessments so the data can be combined with that from other facilities in a formal adaptive management process. This adaptive management process is designed to reduce uncertainty about the effects of wind facilities on eagles.

Project developers or operators are not required to use the recommended procedures in this ECPG. However, if different approaches are used, the developer or operator should coordinate with the Service in advance to ensure that approaches being considered will provide comparable data and meet the regulatory requirements. Additionally, Service review time for applications that employ different approaches will likely be longer than if the recommendations in the ECPG were followed.

The ECPG recommends that, at the end of each of the first four stages, project developers or operators determine, in consultation with the Service, which of the following categories the project, as planned, falls into: (1) High risk to eagles, with little opportunity to minimize effects; (2) high or moderate risk to eagles, but with an opportunity to minimize effects; or (3) minimal risk to eagles.

The ECPG is posted online at http:// www.fws.gov/migratorybirds. You can request a printed copy of the guidance by writing to the address or calling the phone number listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: April 24, 2013.

# Daniel M. Ashe,

Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. [FR Doc. 2013-10387 Filed 5-1-13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## **Bureau of Land Management**

[LLCAD00000.L16100000.DS0000. LXSSB0010000]

**Amended Notice of Intent To Clarify** the Scope of Analysis of the **Environmental Document and Proposed Plan Amendment in the West** Mojave Planning Area, to the Motorized **Vehicle Access Element of the California Desert Conservation Area** Plan, Inyo, Kern, Los Angeles and San **Bernardino Counties, CA** 

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces its intent to clarify the scope of the Notice of Intent to Prepare an