GEORGIA

Clarke County

Oconee Hill Cemetery, 297 Cemetery St., Athens, 13000291

Dodge County

Dodge County Jail, 5100 Courthouse Cir., Eastman, 13000292

IDAHO

Latah County

Bohman, Ole, House, 114 N. Main St., Troy, 13000293

ILLINOIS

Cook County

West Loop—LaSalle Street Historic District, Roughly bounded by Wacker Dr., Wells, Van Buren & Clark Sts., Chicago, 13000294

McDonough County

Macomb Courthouse Square historic District, Roughly bounded by E. & W. Washington, S. McArthur, E. Calhoun & S. Campbell Sts., Macomb, 13000295

IOWA

Mills County

Glenwood Archeological District, (Archeological Resources of the Central Plains Tradition in the Loess Hills Region of Iowa MPS) Address Restricted, Glenwood, 13000296

Washington County

Washington Downtown Historic District, (Iowa's Main Street Commercial Architecture MPS) 11 blks. of Iowa & Marion Aves., Washington, Main & 2nd Sts., Washington, 13000297

LOUISIANA

St. James Parish

Our Lady of Peace Catholic Church, 13281 LA 644, Vacherie, 13000299

MISSISSIPPI

Chickasaw County

Houston Historic District, Depot, Monroe, Madison & Pontotoc Sts., Houston, 13000300

Hinds County

Calvary Baptist Church, 1300 W. Capitol St., Jackson, 13000301

Jackson County

Gautier Beachfront Historic District, Roughly bounded by Pascagoula Bay, Graveline Rd. & S. branch of Bayou Pierre, Gautier, 13000302

Krebsville Historic District (Boundary Increase), (Pascagoula MPS) Roughly bounded by Lake, Cedar, Pine & Market Sts., Laurel & Denny Aves., Pascagoula, 13000303

NEW YORK

Clinton County

Lyon Street School, Jct. of Rock & Lyons Rds., Peru, 13000304

Erie County

Buffalo Zoo Entrance Court, Parkside Ave. & Amherst St., Buffalo, 13000305

Hager, E.M. & Sons Company, Building, 141 Elm St., Buffalo, 13000306

Monroe County

South Wedge Historic District, 20–98 Alexander, 20–123 Ashland, 39–336 Averill, 14–89 Bond, 38–149 Comfort, 1– 396 Gregory, 59–279 Hamilton Sts., Rochester, 13000307

Montgomery County

Hurricana Stock Farm, NY 30, Amsterdam, 13000308

New York County

Fire Hook and Ladder Company No. 14, 120 E. 125th St., New York, 13000309

Warren County

Delaware and Hudson Passenger Station, 57 Beach Rd., Lake George, 13000310

OREGON

Marion County

Hobson—Gehlen General Merchandise Store, (Downtown Area of Stayton MPS) 189 N. 2nd Ave., Stayton, 13000311

Wheeler County

Fossil Public School, 404 Main St., Fossil, 13000312

TEXAS

Wood County

Mineola Downtown Historic District, Roughly bounded by ½ blk. W. of Line St., Kilpatrick St., ½ blk. E. of Newsom St., Commerce St. & Mineola RR Depot Mineola, 13000288

WISCONSIN

Iowa County

Pulaski Presbyterian Church Complex, 6757 Cty. Rd. P, Pulaski, 13000313

La Crosse County

Oehler Mill Complex, W5539 & W5565 Cty. Rd. MM, Shelby, 13000314

A request for removal has been made for the following resource:

TEXAS

Fayette County

Mulberry Creek Bridge, 2.5 mi. SW of Schulenburg on Old Praha Rd. Schulenburg, 75001976

[FR Doc. 2013-09859 Filed 4-25-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-745]

Certain Wireless Communication Devices, Portable Music and Data Processing Devices, Computers and Components Thereof; Commission Decision Finding No Violation of Section 337 as to U.S. Patent No. 6,246,862; Termination of Investigation With a Finding of No Violation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found no violation of 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the above-captioned investigation with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,246,862 ("the '862 patent"). The investigation is terminated with a finding of no violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on November 8, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Motorola Mobility, Inc. of Libertyville, Illinois ("Motorola"). 75 FR 68619-68620 (Nov. 8, 2010). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless communication devices, portable music and data processing devices, computers and components thereof by reason of

infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,272,333 ("the '333 patent"); 6,246,697 ("the '697 patent"); and 5,636,223 ("the '223 patent"), the '862 patent, U.S. Patent No. 5,359,317 ("the '317 patent"), and U.S. Patent No. 7,751,826 ("the '826 patent"). The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California ("Apple") as respondent. The Office of Unfair Import Investigation ("OUII") was named as a participating party, however, on July 29, 2011, OUII withdrew from further participation in the investigation. See Commission Investigative Staff's Notice of Nonparticipation (July 29, 2011). The Commission later partially terminated the investigation as to the '317 patent and the '826 patent. Notice (June 28, 2011); Notice (Jan 27, 2012).

On April 24, 2012, the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") issued his final initial determination ("Final ID"), finding a violation of section 337 as to the '697 patent and no violation of section 337 as to the '223 patent, the '333 patent, and the '862 patent. On May 9, 2012, the ALJ issued a recommended determination on remedy and bonding.

On June 25, 2012, the Commission determined to review the Final ID in part. 77 FR 38826-38829 (June 29, 2012). On August 24, 2012, the Commission found no violation with respect to the '333 patent, the '697 patent, and the '223 patent. 77 FR 52759-52761 (Aug. 30, 2012). The Commission remanded the investigation to the ALJ with respect to the '862 patent upon reversing his finding that the patent is invalid as indefinite. *Id.*; see Order (Aug. 24, 2012). Specifically, the Commission instructed the ALJ to make findings regarding infringement, validity, and domestic industry concerning the '862 patent. The Commission's Order instructed the ALI to set a new target as necessary to accommodate the remand proceedings. On October 1, 2012, the ALJ issued Order No. 36, setting the target date for completion of the remand proceedings as April 22, 2013. Order No. 36 (Oct. 1, 2012). On October 18, 2012, the Commission determined not to review the ID setting the new target date. Notice (Oct. 18, 2012).

On December 18, 2012, the ALJ issued his final initial determination on remand ("Remand ID"), finding no violation of section 337 with respect to the '862 patent. In particular, the ALJ found that the relevant accused products infringe claim 1 of the '862 patent literally and under the doctrine

of equivalents, but that claim 1 is invalid as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,052,464 to Harris ("Harris '464"). The ALJ further found that claim 1 is not invalid for obviousness in light of Harris '464 in combination with the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art or in combination with U.S. Patent No. 5,894,298 to Hoeksma ("Hoeksma '298"). The ALJ also found that Motorola has satisfied the economic and technical prongs of the domestic industry requirement with respect to the '862 patent.

On January 7, 2013, Motorola petitioned for review of the Remand ID's construction of the limitation "a touch sensitive input device" of claim 1 of the '862 patent and the Remand ID's finding that claim 1 of the '862 patent is invalid as anticipated by Harris '464. Also on January 7, 2013, Apple filed a contingent petition for review of the Remand ID's findings that the relevant accused products infringe claim 1 of the '862 patent literally and under the doctrine of equivalents.

On February 19, 2013, the Commission determined to review the Remand ID in part. 78 FR 12785-12786 (Feb. 25, 2013). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the Remand ID's construction of the limitation "touch sensitive input device" in claim 1 of the '862 patent. The Commission also determined to review the Remand ID's finding that the accused products literally infringe claim 1. The Commission further determined to review the Remand ID's finding that claim 1 of the '862 patent is anticipated and its finding that claim 1 was not shown to be obvious. The Commission determined not to review the remaining issues in the Remand ID and adopted those findings. In connection with the question of whether claim 1 of the '862 patent is obvious, the Commission posed the following question to the parties:

Does the evidence in the record support a finding that claim 1 of the '862 patent is obvious in view of Harris '464 in combination with the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art or in combination with Hoeksma '298 where the evidence demonstrates that the existence of portable communication devices using "touch sensitive input devices," including touch screens, were known in the art prior to the filing of the application leading to the '862 patent and is disclosed in Hoeksma '298? In discussing this issue, please refer to the teachings of the references, the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of filing of the '862 patent application, and the evidence in the record regarding the motivation to combine Harris '464 with the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art or with Hoeksma '298. Also, please address

whether there are any secondary considerations that would prevent a finding of obviousness.

78 FR 12786.

On March 8, 2013, Motorola and Apple filed initial submissions in response to the Commission's Notice of Review. On March 15, 2013, Motorola filed a response to Apple's opening brief. Also on March 15, 2013, Apple filed a response to Motorola's opening brief.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's Remand ID and the parties submissions, the Commission has determined to terminate the investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337 with respect to the '862 patent. Specifically, the Commission construes the claim limitation "touch sensitive input device" in claim 1 of the '862 patent in accordance with its plain and ordinary meaning, which does not include any device that is actuated by physical force, such as a conventional pushbutton keypad. The Commission affirms the Remand ID's finding that the accused products literally infringe claim 1 of the '862 patent based on the finding that communication of the input signal is actually disabled when the proximity sensor is triggered in the accused products, but vacates and does not reach the Remand ID's finding that communication of the input signal is effectively disabled at the lower sampling rate.

The Commission reverses the Remand ID's finding that Harris '464 anticipates claim 1 of the '862 patent. The Commission further finds that Apple has shown by clear and convincing evidence that claim 1 of the '862 patent is obvious in view of Harris '464 in combination with the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art and in view of Harris '464 in combination with Hoeksma '298.

The investigation is terminated. A Commission Opinion will issue shortly.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.45, .49 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.45, .49).

Issued: April 22, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2013–09845 Filed 4–25–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P