

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 78, No. 8

Friday, January 11, 2013

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket No. APHIS–2012–0041]

Notification of Deletion of a System of Records; Automated Trust Funds Database

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of deletion of a system of records.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, the U.S. Department of Agriculture is giving notice that it is deleting a system of records that is no longer in use.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 11, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Julie Tripp, Supervisory Accountant, International Operations and Accounting Team, Financial Operations Branch, APHIS, 100 North Sixth Street, Ste. 510 C, Minneapolis, MN 55403; (612) 336–3240.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 30, 2008, pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) published in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 23414–23416, Docket No. APHIS–2008–0026) a system of records notice establishing the Automated Trust Funds (ATF) database system of records. The Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (44 U.S.C. 3541 *et seq.*), the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 (31 U.S.C. 101 *et seq.*), the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (40 U.S.C. 1401(3)), and the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act of 1982, Public Law 97–255, provided authority for the system.

The ATF database has been replaced by USDA's Financial Management Modernization Initiative (FMMI) and is no longer in use. Information in the ATF database about cooperators who have trust fund agreements with APHIS,

including company name, company address, account number and balance, as well as company contact information, including name, telephone number, and mailing address, were transferred to FMMI. All other information was destroyed. Accordingly, this notice formally terminates this system of records.

Thomas J. Vilsack,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–00440 Filed 1–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Notice of New Fee Site; Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act

AGENCY: Coronado National Forest, USDA Forest Service, Tucson, Arizona.

ACTION: Notice of New Fee Site.

SUMMARY: The Coronado National Forest is proposing to charge a fee for the overnight rental of the Palisades Ranger Residence, located on the Santa Catalina Ranger District approximately 20 miles north of Tucson, Arizona. The building was constructed in 1933 by the Civilian Conservation Corps and has been determined eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The proposed fee will be \$125.00 per night, to accommodate up to four people. Other cabin rentals on National Forests in Arizona have shown that the public appreciates the enhanced recreational opportunity afforded by these rehabilitated historic structures. Revenues from the rentals will be used for the continued operation and maintenance of this facility and other properties in the Arizona "Rooms with a View" Cabin Rental Program.

DATES: Send any comments regarding this fee proposal by April 26, 2013. Comments will be compiled, analyzed, and shared with the BLM-Arizona Recreation Resource Advisory Council (RRAC). Palisades Cabin is scheduled to become available for rent in fall of 2013. Please send comments to: Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest, 300 West Congress, Tucson, AZ 85701.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Makansi, Archaeologist, Coronado National Forest at (520) 760–2502 or by email at kmakansi@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Recreation Lands Enhancement Act (Title VII, Pub. L. 108–447) directed the Secretary of Agriculture to publish a six month advance notice in the **Federal Register** whenever new recreation fee areas are established. The Coronado National Forest currently has four other rental facilities. These facilities are booked regularly throughout the year. A business analysis for the rental of the Palisades Ranger Residence shows public interest in this sort of recreational experience on the Coronado National Forest. A market analysis indicates that the \$125.00 daily fee is both reasonable and acceptable for this sort of unique recreational experience.

People wanting to rent the Palisades Ranger Residence will need to do so through the National Recreation Reservation Service at www.recreation.gov, or by calling 1–877–444–6777. The National Recreation Reservation Service charges a \$9 reservation fee for Internet reservations and a \$10 reservation fee for phone reservations.

Dated: January 2, 2013.

Jim Upchurch,

Forest Supervisor, Coronado National Forest.

[FR Doc. 2013–00332 Filed 1–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent Not To Revoke Order In Part; 2010–2011

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils ("pencils") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review ("POR") is December 1, 2010, through November 30, 2011. The review covers one exporter of subject merchandise, Beijing Fila Dixon Stationery Company, Ltd. a/

k/a Beijing Dixon Ticonderoga Stationery Company, Ltd., a/k/a Beijing Dixon Stationery Company, Ltd., and Dixon Ticonderoga Company (collectively, "Dixon"). We preliminarily find that Dixon made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 11, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sergio Balbontin or Mary Kolberg, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6478 or (202) 482-1785, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes certain cased pencils from the PRC. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 9609.10.00. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description available in *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China*, 59 FR 66909 (December 28, 1994) is dispositive.

Partial Rescission of Review

For those companies named in the *Initiation Notice*¹ for whom all review requests have been withdrawn and who previously received separate rate status in prior segments of this case, we are rescinding this administrative review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(I). These companies are listed in Appendix II.

Methodology

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). Export prices have been calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a non-market economy within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. Specifically, Dixon's factors of production have been valued in Thailand and Indonesia, both of which are economically comparable to the PRC and significant producers of comparable merchandise.

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 77 FR 4759 (January 31, 2012).

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of 2010–2011 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China," from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated January 2, 2013 ("Preliminary Decision Memorandum") and hereby adopted by this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Intent Not To Revoke Order In Part

We preliminarily find that Dixon has not satisfied the requirements of 19 CFR 351.222(b).² Thus, under section 751 of the Act, we preliminarily determine not to revoke in part the order with respect to Dixon. See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Exporter	Weighted average dumping margin (percent)
Beijing Fila Dixon Stationery Company, Ltd. a/k/a Beijing Dixon Ticonderoga Stationery Company, Ltd. a/k/a Beijing Dixon Stationery Company, Ltd., and Dixon Ticonderoga Company	92.46

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department will disclose calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.³ Rebuttals to written comments may be filed no later than five days after the written comments are filed.⁴

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.⁵ Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.⁶

The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Deadline for Submission of Publicly Available Surrogate Value Information

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(ii), the deadline for submission of publicly available information to value factors of production under 19 CFR 351.408(c) is 20 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(1), if an interested party submits factual information less than ten days before, on, or after (if the Department has

² The Department recently published a final rule amending this section of its regulations concerning the revocation of antidumping and countervailing duty orders in whole or in part, but that final rule does not apply to this administrative review. See *Modification to Regulation Concerning the Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 77 FR 29875 (May 21, 2012). Reference to 19 CFR 351.222(b) thus refers to the Department's regulations in effect prior to June 20, 2012.

³ See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

⁴ See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

extended the deadline), the applicable deadline for submission of such factual information, an interested party may submit factual information to rebut, clarify, or correct the factual information no later than ten days after such factual information is served on the interested party. However, the Department generally will not accept in the rebuttal submission additional or alternative surrogate value information not previously on the record, if the deadline for submission of surrogate value information has passed.⁷ Furthermore, the Department generally will not accept business proprietary information in either the surrogate value submissions or the rebuttals thereto, as the regulation regarding the submission of surrogate values allows only for the submission of publicly available information.⁸

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.⁹ For assessment purposes, we calculated exporter/importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Dixon reported that its U.S. affiliate was the importer of record for all U.S. sales. Thus, we calculated an *ad valorem* rate by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales by the total entered values associated with those transactions. If Dixon’s antidumping duty rate exceeds 0.5 percent *ad valorem* for the final results of this review, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all of Dixon’s entries. See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

For companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions regarding entries of the rescinded companies directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

⁷ See, e.g., *Glycine from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Rescission*, in Part, 72 FR 58809 (October 17, 2007), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 2.

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Dixon, which has a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: January 2, 2012.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Scope of the Order
2. Non-Market Economy Country
3. Separate Rates
4. Surrogate Country and Surrogate Value Data
5. Economic Comparability
6. Significant Producers of Identical or Comparable Merchandise
7. Data Availability
8. Date of Sale

9. Fair Value Comparisons
10. U.S. Price
11. Normal Value
12. Factor Valuations
13. Currency Conversion

Appendix II

Separate rate companies for which we are rescinding this administrative review:

China First Pencil Co., Ltd. Orient International Holding Shanghai Foreign Trade Co., Ltd. Shandong Rongxin Import and Export Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2013–00452 Filed 1–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 11, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Page, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1398.

Background

On July 2, 2012, the Department of Commerce (Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from India covering the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012.¹ The Department received a timely request from Petitioners² for an AD administrative review of five companies: Ester Industries Limited (Ester), Garware Polyester Ltd. (Garware), Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex), SRF Limited (SRF), and Jindal Poly Films Limited of India (Jindal).³ In addition, the Department

¹ See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 77 FR 39216, 39217 (July 2, 2012).

² Petitioners are DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics (America), Inc.

³ See Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Request for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Petitioners’ Request for an Administrative Review (July 31, 2012).