

(i) Repeat the detailed inspection of the cam latches and latch pins for damage, distress, or incorrect rigging.

(ii) Repeat the HFEC or magnetic particle inspection of cam latch 1 and cam latch 2 for cracking.

#### (k) Exceptions to Service Bulletin Specifications

The following exceptions apply to this AD.

(1) Where Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3536, dated February 6, 2012 (for Model 707–300, 707–300B, and 707–300C series airplanes); or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727–52A0150, dated January 30, 2012 (for Model 727C, 727–100C, and 727–200F series airplanes); specifies a compliance time relative to the issue date of that service bulletin, this AD requires compliance within the specified compliance time after the effective date of this AD.

(2) Where Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3536, dated February 6, 2012 (for Model 707–300, 707–300B, and 707–300C series airplanes); or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727–52A0150, dated January 30, 2012 (for Model 727C, 727–100C, and 727–200F series airplanes); specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action: At the applicable time specified in paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3536, dated February 6, 2012 (for Model 707–300, 707–300B, and 707–300C series airplanes); or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727–52A0150, dated January 30, 2012 (for Model 727C, 727–100C, and 727–200F series airplanes); repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

#### (l) Concurrent Actions

(1) For airplanes identified in Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3536, dated February 6, 2012: Before or concurrently with accomplishment of the detailed inspection specified in paragraph (g) of this AD, do a general visual inspection of the hinge fittings and the cam latches on the MCD, and perform related investigative and corrective actions as applicable, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing 707/720 Service Bulletin 3477, Revision 2, dated April 15, 1993.

(2) For airplanes identified in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727–52A0150, dated January 30, 2012: Before or concurrently with accomplishment of the detailed inspection specified in paragraph (g) of this AD, do a general visual inspection of the hinge fittings and the cam latches on the MCD, and perform related investigative and corrective actions if applicable, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 727–52–0142, Revision 2, dated April 15, 1993.

#### (m) Optional Terminating Action

Accomplishment of the latch mechanism adjustment test and the MCD rigging, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing 707 Alert Service Bulletin A3536, dated February 6, 2012 (for Model 707–300, 707–300B, and 707–300C

series airplanes); or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727–52A0150, dated January 30, 2012 (for Model 727C, 727–100C, and 727–200F series airplanes); terminates the repetitive inspections specified in paragraph (h) of this AD. Thereafter, do the MCD post-rigging initial inspections and applicable related investigative and corrective actions specified in paragraph (i) of this AD, and the repetitive inspections specified in paragraph (j) of this AD.

#### (n) Parts Installation Prohibition

As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install an alloy steel bolt as a cross bolt through any latch pin fitting assembly in the lower sill of the MCD on any airplane.

#### (o) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Seattle ACO, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in the Related Information section of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

#### (p) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Kimberly A. DeVoe, Aerospace Engineer, Cabin Safety and Environmental Systems Branch, ANM–150S, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; phone: 425–917–6495; fax: 425–917–6590; email: kimberly.devoe@faa.gov.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H–65, Seattle, WA 98124–2207; telephone 206–544–5000, extension 1; fax 206–766–5680; Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 21, 2013.

**Jeffrey E. Duven,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013–07213 Filed 3–27–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2013–0212; Directorate Identifier 2012–NM–116–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Airbus Model A330–223F, –223, –321, –322, and –323 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by fatigue load analysis that determined that the inspection interval for certain pylon bolts must be reduced. This proposed AD would require a torque check of forward engine mount bolts, and replacement if necessary. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct loose or broken bolts, which could lead to engine detachment in-flight, and damage to the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by May 13, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Fax:* (202) 493–2251.

- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

- *Hand Delivery:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For Airbus service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Airbus SAS—Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email [airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com](mailto:airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com); Internet <http://www.airbus.com>. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

## Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-1138; fax (425) 227-1149.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2013-0212; Directorate Identifier 2012-NM-116-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

#### Discussion

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Community, has issued EASA Airworthiness Directive 2012-0094, dated May 31, 2012 (referred to after this as "the MCAI"), to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

The forward mount engine pylon bolts, Part Number (P/N) 51U615, fitted on Airbus A330 aeroplanes with Pratt & Whitney (PW) PW4000 engines, are made from MP159 material.

The U.S. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), as Engine Certification Authority, issued AD 2006-16-05 [Amendment 39-14705 (71 FR 44185, August 4, 2006)] to require (paragraph (g) of that AD) repetitive torque checks of MP159 material forward

mount pylon bolts fitted on certain PW4000 series engines.

However, the engine mount system is considered to be part of aeroplane certification rather than the engine certification. Following further fatigue load analysis by Airbus of the A330 engine mount system, completed in February 2011 for both the freighter and passenger models of A330 aeroplanes, it was determined that MP159 material forward mount pylon bolts inspection interval must be reduced.

This condition, if not detected and corrected, could ultimately lead to engine detachment from the aeroplane, possibly resulting in damage to the aeroplane and/or injury to person on the ground.

For the reasons described above, this [EASA] AD requires accomplishment of repetitive torque checks of the forward mount pylon bolts installed on A330 aeroplanes powered by PW4000 engines and, depending on findings, the replacement of all four bolts and associated nuts.

Findings (discrepancies) include loose or broken bolts. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

#### Relevant Service Information

Airbus has issued Mandatory Service Bulletin A330-71-3028, Revision 01, dated February 20, 2012. The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

#### Compliance With AD 2006-16-05, Amendment 39-14705 (71 FR 44185, August 4, 2006)

Doing the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD constitutes compliance with the requirements specified in paragraph (g) of AD 2006-16-05, Amendment 39-14705 (71 FR 44185, August 4, 2006).

#### FAA's Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

#### Costs of Compliance

Based on the service information, we estimate that this proposed AD would affect about 41 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 2 work-hours per product to comply with the basic requirements of

this proposed AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be \$6,970, or \$170 per product.

In addition, we estimate that any necessary follow-on actions would take about 1 work-hour and require parts costing \$6,747, for a cost of \$6,832 per product. We have no way of determining the number of products that may need these actions.

#### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

#### Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

*For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:*

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

**Airbus:** Docket No. FAA–2013–0212; Directorate Identifier 2012–NM–116–AD.

**(a) Comments Due Date**

We must receive comments by May 13, 2013.

**(b) Affected ADs**

This AD affects AD 2006–16–05, Amendment 39–14705 (71 FR 44185, August 4, 2006).

**(c) Applicability**

This AD applies to Airbus Model A330–223F, –223, –321, –322, and –323 airplanes, certificated in any category, all manufacturer serial numbers.

**(d) Subject**

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 71, Powerplant.

**(e) Reason**

This AD was prompted by fatigue load analysis that determined that certain pylon bolts inspection interval must be reduced. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct loose or broken bolts, which could lead to engine detachment in-flight, and damage to the airplane.

**(f) Compliance**

You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

**(g) Torque Check and Replacement**

(1) Within the compliance times specified in table 1, table 2, or table 3 to paragraph (g) of this AD, as applicable to airplane model and utilization, do a torque check to determine if there are any loose or broken forward engine mount bolts (4 positions/engine) on both engines, and repeat that torque check at intervals not to exceed the values defined in table 1, table 2, or table 3 to paragraph (g) of this AD, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–71–3028, Revision 01, dated February 20, 2012. For the purposes of table 1 and table 2 to paragraph (g) of this AD, the average flight time (AFT) is defined as a computation of the number of flight hours divided by the number of flight cycles accumulated since last torque check or since the airplane's first flight, as applicable.

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (g) OF THIS AD: FOR MODEL A330–223, –321, –322 AND –323 AIRPLANES WITH AFT MORE THAN 132 MINUTES

Flight cycles accumulated on the effective date of this AD since last torque check performed as specified in Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin PW4G–100–A71–32; or since airplane first flight, as applicable	Compliance time	Torque check interval (not to exceed)
0–1,850 .....	Within 2,350 flight cycles since the last torque check as specified in Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin PW4G–100–A71–32, or since airplane first flight, as applicable.	2,350 flight cycles or 24,320 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
1,851–2,700 .....	Within 500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD without exceeding 2,700 flight cycles since last torque check as specified in Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin PW4G–100–A71–32, or since airplane first flight, as applicable; or within 3 months after the effective date of this AD; whichever occurs later.	2,350 flight cycles or 24,320 flight hours, whichever occurs first.

TABLE 2 TO PARAGRAPH (g) OF THIS AD: FOR MODEL A330–321, –322, AND –323 AIRPLANES WITH AFT EQUAL OR LESS THAN 132 MINUTES; AND FOR MODEL A330–321, –322, AND –323 AIRPLANES ON WHICH THE AFT IS NOT CALCULATED ON A REGULAR BASIS

Flight cycles accumulated on the effective date of this AD since last torque check as performed as specified in Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin PW4G–100–A71–32; or since airplane first flight, as applicable	Compliance time	Torque check interval (not to exceed)
0–1,450 .....	Within 1,950 flight cycles since the last torque check performed as specified in Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin PW4G–100–A71–32, or since airplane first flight, as applicable.	1,950 flight cycles or 20,210 flight hours, whichever occurs first.
1,451–2,700 .....	Within 500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD without exceeding 2,700 flight cycles since last torque check performed as specified in Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin PW4G–100–A71–32, or since airplane first flight, as applicable; or within 3 months after the effective date of this AD; whichever occurs later.	1,950 flight cycles or 20,210 flight hours, whichever occurs first.

TABLE 3 TO PARAGRAPH (g) OF THIS AD: FOR MODEL A330–223F AIRPLANES

Compliance time	Torque check interval (not to exceed)
Within 2,140 flight cycles or 6,600 flight hours, whichever occurs first since the last torque check performed as specified in Pratt & Whitney Alert Service Bulletin PW4G–100–A71–32, or since airplane first flight, as applicable.	2,140 flight cycles or 6,600 flight hours, whichever occurs first.

(2) If any loose or broken bolt is detected during the check required by paragraph (g)(1) of this AD, before further flight, replace all four forward engine mount bolts and associated nuts, on the engine where the loose or broken bolt was detected, with new bolts and nuts, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–71–3028, Revision 01, dated February 20, 2012.

(3) Replacement of bolts and nuts as required by paragraph (g)(2) of this AD is not terminating action for the repetitive torque checks required by paragraph (g)(1) of this AD.

**(h) Compliance with AD 2006–16–05, Amendment 39–14705 (71 FR 44185, August 4, 2006)**

Doing the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD constitutes compliance with the requirements specified in paragraph (g) of AD 2006–16–05, Amendment 39–14705 (71 FR 44185, August 4, 2006).

**(i) Parts Installation Prohibition**

As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install any INCO718 material, forward mount pylon bolt having Pratt & Whitney P/N 54T670 on any airplane.

**(j) Credit for Previous Actions**

This paragraph provides credit for the actions required by paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–71–3028, dated December 16, 2011, which is not incorporated by reference in this AD.

**(k) Other FAA AD Provisions**

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)*: The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to ATTN: Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–1138; fax (425) 227–1149. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding

district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) *Airworthy Product*: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

**(l) Related Information**

(1) Refer to MCAI European Aviation Safety Agency Airworthiness Directive 2012–0094, dated May 31, 2012; and Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–71–3028, Revision 01, dated February 20, 2012.

(2) For Airbus service information identified in this AD, contact Airbus SAS—Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email [airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com](mailto:airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com); Internet <http://www.airbus.com>. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 20, 2013.

**Jeffrey E. Duven,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013–07203 Filed 3–27–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 71**

**[Docket No. FAA–2013–0158; Airspace Docket No. 13–ASO–5]**

**Proposed Amendment of Class E Airspace; Tuskegee, AL**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to amend Class E Airspace at Tuskegee, AL, as the Tuskegee VOR/DME has been decommissioned and airspace reconfiguration is necessary for the safety and airspace management of

Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Moten Field Municipal Airport. This action also would amend the airport's name.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 13, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on this rule to: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001; Telephone: 1–800–647–5527; Fax: 202–493–2251. You must identify the Docket Number FAA–2013–0158; Airspace Docket No. 13–ASO–5, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit and review received comments through the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Fornito, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–6364.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify both docket numbers (FAA Docket No. FAA–2013–0158; Airspace Docket No. 13–ASO–5) and be submitted in triplicate to the Docket Management System (see “ADDRESSES” section for address and phone number). You may also submit comments through the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this action must submit with those comments a self-addressed stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: “Comments to Docket No. FAA–2013–0158; Airspace Docket No. 13–ASO–5.” The postcard