

the appropriate primary surrogate country for the final results of this NSR.

Separate Rates

The Department found in the *Preliminary Results* that GGB was wholly foreign-owned, and, therefore, further analysis was not necessary to determine whether GGB's export activities are independent from government control.⁹ No party has contested the separate rate status of GGB during the POR. Therefore, for the final results, we continue to determine that GGB is eligible for a separate rate.

Final Results of the New Shipper Review

The Department has determined that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for GGB for the period June 1, 2010, through May 31, 2011:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
GGB Bearing Technology (Suzhou) Co., Ltd./GGB Bearing Technology (Suzhou) Co., Ltd.	12.64

Disclosure

The Department will disclose the calculations performed for these final results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. Because GGB's weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties the appropriate entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Consistent with Departmental practice in new shipper reviews, the Department has established a combination cash deposit rate for GGB as described below. The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this NSR for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"): (1) For subject merchandise exported and produced by GGB, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for subject merchandise exported by GGB but not produced by GGB, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 92.84 percent; (3) for subject merchandise produced by GGB but not exported by GGB, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter; (4) for other previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (5) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 92.84 percent; and (6) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

The Department is issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(h) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: October 19, 2012.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-26665 Filed 10-29-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-836]

Glycine From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (the PRC). *See Antidumping Duty Order: Glycine From the People's Republic of China*, 60 FR 16115 (March 29, 1995) (*Order*). In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating an antidumping duty new shipper review of Hebei Donghua Jiheng Fine Chemical Co., Ltd. (Donghua Fine Chemical). The period of review (POR) of this new shipper review is March 1, 2012, through August 31, 2012.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 30, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Davis or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-7924 or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 29, 1995, the Department published the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC. *See Order*. Thus, the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC has a March anniversary month. On September 28, 2012, the Department received a timely filed request for a new shipper review from Donghua Fine Chemical and Hebei Donghua Jiheng Chemical Co., Ltd. (Donghua Chemical). In its request for a review, Donghua Fine Chemical identified itself as both a producer and exporter of the subject merchandise and Donghua Chemical as a producer who provided the input product that was further processed by Donghua Fine Chemical to produce the subject merchandise that was exported to the United States. Both Donghua Fine Chemical and Donghua Chemical state that they are affiliates within the

⁹ See *Preliminary Results*, 77 FR at 32523-24.

meaning of the Department's affiliation rules.¹

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Donghua Fine Chemical certified that (1) it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI) (see section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i)); and (2) since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any company that exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those companies not individually examined during the investigation (see section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A)). Furthermore, as required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Donghua Fine Chemical's supplier, Donghua Chemical, provided certifications that (1) it did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI or at any time following the POI and (2) since the initiation of the investigation, they have never been affiliated with any company that exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those companies not individually examined during the investigation. Additionally, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), Donghua Fine Chemical submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) the date on which it first shipped subject merchandise to the United States; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated purchaser for exportation to the United States.

Initiation of Review

Based on information on the record and in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we find that the request meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation of a new shipper review. See Memorandum to the File, through Angelica L. Mendoza, Program Manager, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Office 7, regarding "Initiation of the Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Glycine from the People's Republic of China," dated October 23, 2012 (NSR Initiation Checklist). Accordingly, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC exported by Donghua Fine Chemical, for the period March 1, 2012, through August 31, 2012.

¹ See 771(33) of the Act and section 351.102(b)(3) of the Department's Regulations.

However, the Department has concerns with certain other information contained within the entry data received from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Due to the business proprietary nature of this information, please refer to the NSR Initiation Checklist for further discussion. The Department intends to address this issue after initiation of the new shipper review. If the Department subsequently determines, based on information collected, that a new shipper review for Donghua Fine Chemical is not warranted, the Department expects to rescind the review or apply facts available pursuant to section 776 of the Act, as appropriate.

We intend to issue the preliminary results of this review no later than 180 days after the date on which this review is initiated, and the final results within 90 days after the date on which we issue the preliminary results. See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(h)(i).

We will instruct CBP to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for certain entries of the subject merchandise exported and produced by Donghua Fine Chemical in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e). Because Donghua Fine Chemical certified that it exports the subject merchandise, the sale of which forms the basis for its new shipper review request, we will instruct CBP to permit the use of a bond only for entries of subject merchandise which Donghua Fine Chemical exported.

Interested parties may submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and this notice are issued and published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: October 23, 2012.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012-26671 Filed 10-29-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-357-812]

Honey From Argentina: Final Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 30, 2012.

SUMMARY: On July 31, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published a notice of preliminary rescission of the new shipper review ("NSR") of D'Ambros Maria de los Angeles and D'Ambros Maria Daniela SH, an Argentine partnership doing business as Apícola Danangie ("Danangie"), under the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina for the period of December 1, 2010, through November 30, 2011.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on our preliminary rescission. We did not receive comments from any party. As discussed below, based on our analysis of the record, the Department has determined that Danangie did not satisfy the regulatory requirements for a NSR; therefore, we are rescinding this NSR.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick Edwards or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8029 or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

We published the notice of preliminary rescission of this new shipper review on July 31, 2012.² In the *Preliminary Rescission*, the Department found that Danangie, based on the company's own submission to the Department, did make a sale of subject merchandise which was shipped to the United States prior to the current new shipper period, and therefore, did not qualify for a NSR.³ The complete discussion of the Department's decision to preliminarily rescind the NSR was set forth in its preliminary analysis memorandum, dated July 31, 2012.⁴ We

¹ See *Honey from Argentina: Preliminary Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 77 FR 45334 (July 31, 2012) (*Preliminary Rescission*).

² See *Preliminary Rescission*.

³ See *Preliminary Rescission* at 45334.

⁴ See Memorandum to Angelica L. Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, entitled "Preliminary