

## Scoping Information

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Scoping is an early and public process for determining concerns to be addressed and for identifying significant issues and suggested alternatives related to the proposed action. In addition to oral comments provided at the scoping meetings, Reclamation also invites written comments during the scoping period. To be most effectively considered, written comments should be received no later than November 26, 2012.

When the EIS is complete, its availability will be announced in the **Federal Register**, in the local news media, through direct contact with interested parties, and on the project Web site. Comments will be solicited on the document at that time.

If special assistance is required to participate in the public scoping meetings, please contact Ms. Justyn Hock at 970-248-0625 or email at [JHock@usbr.gov](mailto:JHock@usbr.gov). Please notify Ms. Hock as far in advance as possible to enable Reclamation to secure the needed services. If a request cannot be honored, the requestor will be notified.

## Dates and Addresses of Public Scoping Meetings

The scoping meeting dates and addresses are:

- Tuesday, September 25, 2012, 6:00 to 8:00 p.m., Paradox Valley School, 21501 6 Mile Road, Paradox, Colorado 81429.
- Wednesday, September 26, 2012, 7:00 to 9:00 p.m., Holiday Inn Express, 1391 South Townsend Avenue, Montrose, Colorado, 81401.
- Thursday, September 27, 2012, 7:00 to 9:00 p.m., Colorado Mesa University, University Center—Room 221, 1100 North Avenue, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501-3122.

## Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 17, 2012.

**Larry Walkoviak**,

*Regional Director—Upper Colorado Region,  
Bureau of Reclamation.*

[FR Doc. 2012-22176 Filed 9-7-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-850]

### Certain Electronic Imaging Devices; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Amend the Notice of Investigation and Complaint

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 6) granting a motion by complainant FlashPoint Technology, Inc. ("Flashpoint") and respondents Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. and FutureWei Technologies, Inc. d/b/a Huawei Technologies (USA) (collectively "the Huawei Respondents") to amend the Notice of Investigation ("NOI") and complaint to replace the Huawei Respondents with Huawei Device Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China and Huawei Device USA Inc. of Plano, Texas.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amanda S. Pitcher, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on June 29, 2012, based on a complaint

filed by FlashPoint Technology, Inc. ("Flashpoint") of Peterborough, New Hampshire alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,400,471; U.S. Patent No. 6,222,538; U.S. Patent No. 6,504,575; and U.S. Patent No. 6,223,190. The NOI named HTC Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan; HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington; Pantech Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Korea; Pantech Wireless, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia; Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; FutureWei Technologies, Inc. d/b/a Huawei Technologies (USA) of Plano, Texas; ZTE Corporation of Shenzhen, China; and ZTE (USA) Inc. of Richardson, Texas.

On August 2, 2012, Flashpoint and respondents Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. and FutureWei Technologies, Inc. d/b/a Huawei Technologies (USA) (collectively "the Huawei Respondents") filed a motion to amend the complaint and NOI to replace the currently named Huawei Respondents with Huawei Device Co., Ltd., having a principal place of business at Section B, Huawei Administration Building, Bantian, Longgang, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. China, 518129, and Huawei Device USA Inc., having a principal place of business at 5700 Tennyson Parkway, Suite #600, Plano, Texas 75024.

On August 9, 2012, the ALJ issued an ID granting the joint motion to amend the complaint and NOI to replace the named Huawei Respondents with Huawei Device Co., Ltd. and Huawei Device USA Inc. The ALJ found that good cause exists to amend the complaint and NOI because Flashpoint recently learned which entities are responsible for the accused products based on communications with counsel for the Huawei Respondents. In addition, the ALJ found that the substitution of the parties will not require extension of the target date, will not change the scope of the investigation, and will assist in obtaining a complete record for the investigation. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.43-45 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.43-45).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 5, 2012.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-22172 Filed 9-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-798]

### Certain Light-Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 38) granting the joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation in its entirety on the basis of a settlement agreement. In view of that determination, the Commission finds that review of another ID (Order No. 36), which granted leave to amend the complaint and notice of investigation, is moot.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on August 18, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Samsung LED Co., Ltd. of Suwon City, Korea, and Samsung LED America, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia

(collectively, "SLED"). 76 FR 51396-97 (Aug. 18, 2011). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain light-emitting diodes and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,282,741; 7,893,443; 7,838,315; 7,959,312; 7,964,881; 6,551,848; 7,268,372; and 7,771,081. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents OSRAM GmbH of Munich, Germany; OSRAM Opto Semiconductors GmbH of Regensburg, Germany; OSRAM Opto Semiconductors Inc. of Sunnyvale, California; and OSRAM Sylvania Inc. of Danvers, Massachusetts (collectively, "OSRAM"). On December 7, 2011, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 15) granting SLED's motion to amend the Notice of Investigation to change the name of respondent OSRAM GmbH to OSRAM AG. Notice (Dec. 7, 2011).

On July 26, 2012, SLED filed a motion to amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation to substitute Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Suwon City, Korea ("Samsung Electronics"), for the SLED complainants, as a result of corporate reorganization. On July 30, 2012, OSRAM filed an opposition, and on August 7, 2012, the ALJ issued an ID granting the motion as an ID. Order No. 36.

On August 9, 2012, SLED and OSRAM filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement between OSRAM and Samsung Electronics. On August 10, 2012, the ALJ granted the motion as an ID. Order No. 38.

No petitions for review of either ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review Order No. 38, and the investigation is thereby terminated. As a result, review of Order No. 36 is moot.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21 and 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21, 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 5, 2012.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-22171 Filed 9-7-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 4, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. State of Utah, School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration*, Civil Action No. 2:12-CV-00841-DBP, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Utah.

The Consent Decree resolves claims by the United States against the State of Utah, School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration ("SITLA") pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a), for response costs incurred in conducting a removal action at the Cook Slurry Site ("Site") in Saratoga Springs, Utah (the "Removal Action"). Cook Associates Inc., doing business as Cook Slurry Company ("Cook"), operated an explosives manufacturing facility at the Site on school trust lands owned by the State of Utah which predecessor agencies to SITLA had leased to Cook. Under the terms of the settlement SITLA will reimburse the United States \$316,500 of the costs of completing the Removal Action.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. State of Utah, School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration*, Civil Action No. 2:12-CV-00841-DBP, and D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-10515.

During the public comment period, the settlement agreement may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the settlement agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree Copy" ([EESCDCopy.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:EESCDCopy.enrd@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-5271. If requesting a