

information concerning whether the hearing will be held.

**Written Submissions:** In lieu of or in addition to participating in the hearing, interested parties are invited to file written submissions concerning this investigation. All written submissions should be addressed to the Secretary, and should be received not later than 5:15 p.m., October 30, 2012. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the *Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.8). Section 201.8 and the Commission's Handbook on Filing Procedures require that interested parties file documents electronically on or before the filing deadline and submit eight (8) true paper copies by 12:00 noon eastern time on the next business day. In the event that confidential treatment of a document is requested, interested parties must file, at the same time as the eight paper copies, at least four (4) additional true paper copies in which the confidential information must be deleted (see the following paragraph for further information regarding confidential business information). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any submissions that contain confidential business information (CBI) must also conform with the requirements in section 201.6 of the *Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 C.F.R. 201.6). Section 201.6 of the rules requires that the cover of the document and the individual pages be clearly marked as to whether they are the “confidential” or “non-confidential” version, and that the confidential business information be clearly identified by means of brackets. All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested parties.

In the request letter, the USTR stated that his office intends to make the Commission's report available to the public in its entirety, and asked that the Commission not include any confidential business information or national security classified information in the report that the Commission sends to the USTR. Any confidential business information received by the Commission in this investigation and used in preparing this report will not be published in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 21, 2012.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012–20956 Filed 8–24–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–702 (Third Review)]

### Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium From Russia

#### Determination

On the basis of the record <sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted this review on September 1, 2011 (76 FR 54490) and determined on December 5, 2011 that it would conduct a full review (76 FR 79214, December 21, 2011). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on February 8, 2012 (77 FR 6582). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 21, 2012, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on August 22, 2012. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4345 (August 2012), entitled *Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium from Russia: Investigation No. 731–TA–702 (Third Review)*.

Issued: August 22, 2012.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012–21048 Filed 8–24–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–844]

### Certain Drill Bits and Products Containing Same; Determination To Review an Initial Determination; on Review, Affirmance of Grant of Summary Determination on the Merits; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting summary determination of no importation and terminating the investigation. On review, the Commission has determined to affirm the ALJ's grant of summary determination of no importation on the merits and terminates the investigation.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on June 4, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Boart Longyear Company and Longyear TM, Inc. both of South Jordan, Utah. 76 FR 32997 (June 4, 2012). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the

importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain drill bits and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 7,828,090; 7,874,384; and 8,051,929. The notice of investigation named the following entities as respondents: Boyles Bros Diamantina S.A. of Lima, Peru; Christensen Chile S.A. of Santiago, Chile; Diamantina Christensen Trading Inc. of Panama; and Intermountain Drilling Supply Corp. of West Valley City, Utah.

On June 11, 2012, Respondents filed a motion for summary determination of no importation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(B). On June 21, 2012, Complainants filed an opposition to the motion. On July 10, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting Respondents' motion for summary determination of no importation and terminating the investigation.

On July 24, 2012, Complainants filed a petition for review of the ID. Respondents filed an opposition to Complainants' petition on July 31, 2012.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's ID, the petition for review, and the response thereto, the Commission has determined to review the ID. On review, the Commission affirms the ALJ's grant of summary determination of no importation but does not adopt any statements in the ID to the effect that the determination is on jurisdictional grounds. Specifically, the Commission finds that the ALJ's determination appropriately considers the merits, and the Commission affirms the ALJ's determination on the merits. Complainants may re-file their complaint if they can make an allegation of importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of accused products after issuance of the asserted patents.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42–46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42–46).

Issued: August 22, 2012.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012–20991 Filed 8–24–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree under the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on August 22, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Sterling Suffolk Racecourse, LLC*, Civil Action No. 12-cv-11556, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

The Consent Decree resolves the United States' claims under Section 301 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311, relating to the Defendant's horse racing facility in East Boston and Revere, Massachusetts. The Defendant will pay \$1.25 million as a civil penalty and will continue to perform work at the facility, estimated to cost approximately \$3.29 million, in order to comply with the anticipated terms of a new National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit. Finally, the Defendant will be responsible for the performance of three Supplemental Environmental Projects with an estimated value of \$742,000 that will provide water quality monitoring and protection efforts for the nearby watershed.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to the matter as *United States v. Sterling Suffolk Racecourse, LLC*, D.J. Ref. Number 90–5–1–1–09639.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree Copy" ([EESCDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov](mailto:EESCDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–5271. If requesting by mail from the Consent Decree Library a copy of the proposed Consent Decree only, please so note and enclose a check in the amount of \$13.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost for the 55 page proposed Consent Decree) payable to the U.S. Treasury. If you would also like a copy of the attachments to the proposed Consent Decree, please so note

and include an additional \$13.25 (25 cents per page for the 53 pages of attachments). If requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

**Ronald G. Gluck,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resource Division.*

[FR Doc. 2012–21028 Filed 8–24–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

[OJP (BJA) Docket No. 1602]

### Meeting of the Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor Review Board

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), DOJ.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This is an announcement of a meeting of the Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor Review Board to review and vote on recommendations for the 2011–2012 Medal of Valor nominations, consider issues relevant to the nomination review process, discuss pending ceremonies and upcoming activities and other relevant Board issues related thereto. The meeting date and time is listed below.

**DATES:** September 20, 2012, 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. ET.

**ADDRESSES:** This meeting will take place at 810 7th Street NW., Washington, DC 20531.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gregory Joy, Policy Advisor, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, 810 7th Street NW., Washington, DC 20531, by telephone at (202) 514–1369, toll free (866) 859–2687, or by email at [gregory.joy@usdoj.gov](mailto:gregory.joy@usdoj.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor Review Board carries out those advisory functions specified in 42 U.S.C. 15202. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 15201, the President of the United States is authorized to award the Public Safety Officer Medal of Valor, the highest national award for valor by a public safety officer.

The primary purpose of this meeting is to review and vote on recommendations for the 2011–2012 Medal of Valor nominations.

This meeting is open to the public at the offices of the Bureau of Justice Assistance. For security purposes,