

"1 to 2 Golden Eagles over the 20 to 30 year life of the project."

The applicant developed an eagle conservation plan, following recommendations provided by the Service (Draft Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance, January 2011, [http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/docs/ECP\\_draft\\_guidance\\_2\\_10\\_final\\_clean\\_omb.pdf](http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/docs/ECP_draft_guidance_2_10_final_clean_omb.pdf)). As recommended in the Service's guidance, the applicant's plan outlines avoidance and minimization measures and potential advanced conservation practices, assesses risk from pre-construction monitoring data, makes commitments for mitigating eagle mortalities, and commits to post-construction monitoring. This plan was submitted as part of the permit application, and if we issue the permit following the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, then the conservation commitments would become conditions of the permit.

The Service independently evaluated the risk of eagle fatalities from the construction of this project and compared that risk to the conservation measures, largely mitigation actions, to which the applicant has committed. This is an essential step in the Service's evaluation of an application for a permit for programmatic take of eagles, since issuing criteria require permitted take to be in compliance with the BGEPA's preservation standard. The Service has interpreted this standard to require maintenance of stable or increasing breeding populations of eagles (74 FR 46836; September 11, 2009). The evaluation of risk and offsetting conservation measures, and the implications for direct, indirect, and cumulative effects under three alternatives, are discussed in detail in the DEA.

#### Next Steps

The public process for the proposed Federal permit action will be completed after the public comment period, at which time we will evaluate the permit application and comments submitted thereon to determine whether the application meets the permitting requirements under BGEPA, applicable regulations, and NEPA requirements. Upon completion of that evaluation, we will select our course of action.

#### Public Comments

We invite public comment on the proposed DEA. If you wish, you may submit comments by any one of the methods discussed above under **ADDRESSES**.

#### Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comments, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. You can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, but we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

#### Authority

We provide this notice under section 668a of the Act (16 U.S.C. 668–668c) and NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

Dated: January 12, 2012.

**Hugh Morrison,**

*Acting Deputy Regional Director, Pacific Region, Portland, Oregon.*

[FR Doc. 2012–1999 Filed 1–30–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–55–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLC0910000–L10100000.PH0000]

#### Notice of the Joint Colorado Resource Advisory Council Meeting

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meetings.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Northwest Colorado Resource Advisory Council (RAC), Southwest RAC, and Front Range RAC will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** The Northwest, Southwest and Front Range Colorado RACs have scheduled a joint meeting for March 6, 7 and 8, 2012. March 6 the meeting will begin at 1 p.m. and adjourn at 4:45 p.m.; on March 7 the meeting will begin at 8 a.m. and adjourn at 5 p.m.; on March 8 the meeting will begin at 8 a.m. and adjourn at noon. A 55-minute public comment period, from 3:50 p.m. to 4:45 p.m., is scheduled for March 6, 2012. The Northwest, Southwest and Front Range RACs will hold individual RAC meetings from 3:30 p.m. to 5 p.m. on March 7 and 8 a.m. to noon on March 8.

**ADDRESSES:** The Joint Colorado RAC (JCRAC) meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn Express Hotel and Suites,

1391 South Townsend Avenue, Montrose, CO 81401.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deanna Masterson, Public Affairs Specialist, BLM Colorado State Office, 2850 Youngfield St., Lakewood, CO 80215, telephone (303) 239–3671.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Colorado RACs advise the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, on a variety of public land issues in Colorado. Topics of discussion during the RAC meeting may include working group reports, the National Landscape Conservation System strategy implementation, vegetation management, BLM internet, air quality and the General Land Office anniversary. These meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the RAC. There will also be time, as identified above, allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of people who wish to comment during the public comment period, individual comments may be limited.

The Northwest RAC will consider one fee adjustment proposal for the Kremmling Field Office from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m. on March 8, with a specific public comment period on that proposal scheduled for 9:30 a.m. The fee adjustment proposal would adjust the fee structure at the Pumphouse and Radium recreation sites along the Upper Colorado River. Fees have been charged here since 1998. Adjustments are needed to keep pace with increased costs of maintaining and improving these areas. More information about this proposal and the complete agenda for the individual Northwest RAC meeting are available on the Northwest RAC's Web site at [http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM\\_Resources/racs/nwrac.html](http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM_Resources/racs/nwrac.html). A second, general public comment period that does not include the fee adjustment proposal is scheduled for the Northwest RAC at 10 a.m. on March 8.

Topics of discussion for all Southwest Colorado RAC meetings may include field manager and working group reports, recreation, fire management, land use planning, invasive species management, energy and minerals management, travel management, wilderness, land exchange proposals, cultural resource management and other issues as appropriate.

Topics of discussion at the Front Range RAC meeting may include manager updates and resource management planning. A general public comment period is scheduled for the

Front Range RAC at 3:45 p.m. on March 7.

Helen M. Hankins,  
State Director.

[FR Doc. 2012-2003 Filed 1-30-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105-New]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: September 11th Victim Compensation Fund Objection Form

**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Division, September 11th Victim Compensation Fund, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until April 2, 2012. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments concerning this information collection should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attn: DOJ Desk Officer. The best way to ensure your comments are received is to email them to [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov) or fax them to (202) 395-7285. All comments should reference the 8 digit OMB number for the collection or the title of the collection. If you have questions concerning the collection, please call Clifford Krieger at 514-0013. (202) 395-3176.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Victim Compensation Objection Form.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: N/A. Civil Division.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Anyone expressing a potential objection to the filing of a claim by a purported personal representative of a deceased victim. Abstract: This form is to be submitted in connection with potential objections made to claims filed with the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001. The form asks that the objection be characterized and explained or be withdrawn.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 50 objectors with an average of 2.0 hours per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 100 annual total burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 2E-508, Washington, DC 20530.

Jerri Murray,  
Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S.  
Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2012-2029 Filed 1-30-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-12-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-0036]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: FFL Out-of-Business Records Request

**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice of Information Collection.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until April 2, 2012. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Tracey Robertson, [Tracey.Robertson@atf.gov](mailto:Tracey.Robertson@atf.gov) or (304) 616-4647, Acting Chief, Federal Firearms Licensing Center, 244 Needy Road, Martinsburg, WV 25405.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.