

(a) The complainants are:

Industrial Technology Research Institute, 195, Sec. 4, Chung Hsing Road, Chutung, Hsinchu, Taiwan 31040, ITRI International, 2880 Zanker Road, Suite 109, San Jose, CA 95134.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

LG Electronics, Inc., LG Twin Towers, 20 Yeouido-dong, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul 150-721, Republic of Korea.

LG Electronics, U.S.A., Inc., 1000 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By Order of the Commission.

Issued: June 28, 2012.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16359 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-253 and 731-TA-132, 252, 271, 273, 532-534 and 536 (Third Review)]

### Certain Circular Welded Pipe and Tube From Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, Thailand, and Turkey

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the countervailing duty order on certain circular welded pipe and tube from Turkey and the antidumping duty orders on certain circular welded pipe and tube from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, Thailand, and Turkey would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on July 1, 2011 (76 FR 38691) and determined on October 4, 2011 that it would conduct full reviews (76 FR 65748, October 24, 2011). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on January 17, 2012 (77 FR 2318). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 3, 2012, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on June 28, 2012. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4333 (June 2012), entitled *Certain Circular Welded Pipe and Tube from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, Taiwan, Thailand, and Turkey: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-253 and 731-TA-132, 252, 271, 273, 532-534 and 536 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Issued: June 29, 2012.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16444 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-811]

### Certain Integrated Solar Power Systems and Components Thereof; Notice of Termination of the Investigation Based on Settlement

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 11) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the investigation based on settlement agreements.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3106. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on November 8, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Westinghouse Solar, Inc. and Andalay Solar, Inc., both of Campbell, California, alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated solar power systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,406,800 and 7,987,641. 76 FR 69284 (Nov. 8, 2011). The respondents are Zep Solar, Inc. of

San Rafael, California; Canadian Solar Inc. of Kitchener, Ontario, Canada; and Canadian Solar (USA) Inc. of San Ramon, California. *Id.*

On May 25, 2012, all of the private parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on confidential settlement agreements under Commission rules 210.21(a)(2) and (b). The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion.

On June 13, 2012, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 11) granting the joint motion. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42(h).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 29, 2012.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16433 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree With Dairyland Power Cooperative Under the Clean Air Act

Pursuant to 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on June 28, 2012, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States of America v. Dairyland Power Cooperative ("Dairyland")*, Civil Action No. 12-cv-462, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin.

In this civil enforcement action under the federal Clean Air Act ("Act"), the United States alleges that Dairyland—an electric utility—failed to comply with certain requirements of the Act intended to protect air quality. The complaint alleges that Dairyland violated the Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") and Title V provisions of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671 *et seq.*, and related state and federal implementing regulations, at the Alma/J.P. Madgett Generating Station, a coal-fired power plant in Buffalo County, Wisconsin, and the Genoa Generating Station, a coal-fired power plant in Vernon County, Wisconsin. The alleged violations arise from the construction of modifications at the power plants and operation of the plants in violation of PSD and Title V requirements. The complaint alleges that Dairyland failed to obtain appropriate permits and failed to install and apply required pollution control

devices to reduce emissions of various air pollutants. The complaint seeks both injunctive relief and civil penalties.

The proposed Decree lodged with the Court requires installation and operation of certain pollution control devices at the Alma/J.P. Madgett and Genoa plants, and the permanent cessation of operations of certain units at the Alma/J.P. Madgett plant. The settlement will reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide ("SO<sub>2</sub>"), nitrogen oxides ("NO<sub>x</sub>"), and particulate matter ("PM") through emission control requirements and limitations specified by the proposed Decree. Dairyland will also fund environmental projects at a cost of at least \$5 million to mitigate the alleged adverse effects of its past violations, and will pay a civil penalty of \$950,000.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either emailed to [pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov](mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov) or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, and should refer to *United States v. Dairyland Power Cooperative*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-10163.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, [http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent\\_Decrees.html](http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html). A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to "Consent Decree Copy" ([EESSDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov](mailto:EESSDCopy.ENRD@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-5271. If requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library by mail, please enclose a check in the amount of \$24.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if requesting by email or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the address given above.

**Maureen Katz,**

*Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2012-16353 Filed 7-3-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-363]

### Controlled Substances: Proposed Adjustment to the Aggregate Production Quotas for 2012

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice with request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** This notice proposes to adjust the 2012 aggregate production quotas for several controlled substances in schedules I and II of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA).

**DATES:** Electronic comments must be submitted and written comments must be postmarked on or before August 6, 2012. Commenters should be aware that the electronic Federal Docket Management System will not accept comments after midnight Eastern Time on the last day of the comment period.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference "Docket No. DEA-363" on all electronic and written correspondence. DEA encourages all comments be submitted electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> using the electronic comment form provided on that site. An electronic copy of this document is also available at the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site for easy reference. Paper comments that duplicate the electronic submission are not necessary as all comments submitted to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) will be posted for public review and are part of the official docket record. Should you, however, wish to submit written comments via regular or express mail, they should be sent to the Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODL, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, VA 22152.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John W. Partridge, Chief, Liaison and Policy Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, VA 22152, Telephone: (202) 307-4654.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Posting of Public Comments

Please note that all comments received are considered part of the public record and made available for public inspection online at <http://www.regulations.gov> and in the DEA's public docket. Such information includes personal identifying