

responsible for the development, maintenance and internal distribution of any guidance produced by the operating unit in compliance with the requirements of this Policy.

b. The head of each operating unit or the designated official may delegate authority to appropriate individuals within the operating unit.

Section 6. Training and Guidance

01. The Tribal Consultation Official and the head of each operating unit will ensure that personnel assisting with tribal consultations have appropriate training.

02. Each operating unit may develop and issue tribal consultation guidance to assist staff in preparing, reviewing and managing the consultation process within their respective operating units, so long as:

a. The guidance is consistent with DAO 218–8, and

b. The Department's Tribal Consultation Official reviews the guidance.

Section 7. Consultation

01. The Consultation Process. Consultation may take a variety of forms. Implementing this Policy may require a range of formal and informal planning activities. The Department and operating units' consultation processes may include one or more of the following: formal meetings, informal meetings, letters, conference calls, webinars, on-site visits, or participation in regional and national events. The Tribal Consultation Official or the head of each operating unit, as applicable, will make a reasonable effort to accommodate a tribal request for consultation.

02. Elements of the Consultation Process.

a. Ongoing communication shall be a regular part of the government-to-government relationship with tribal governments. The Department and operating units will engage in meaningful dialogue with Tribes regarding all policies that have tribal implications.

b. Exchange of Information. The Department and operating units will make a reasonable effort to identify and provide timely and accurate information for consultation.

c. Notification. The Department and operating units will notify Tribes of policies that have tribal implications. Follow-up may be necessary to ensure the appropriate tribal official has received the consultation notification and accompanying documents. These notifications do not replace or supersede any notifications that are

required by statute or E.O. regarding tribal consultations.

d. Consultation Planning. The Department and operating units will coordinate with tribal officials to plan logistical considerations for the consultation. The Department and operating units will, when practical, allow Tribes a reasonable amount of time to prepare for consultation and submit their views on policies that have tribal implications.

e. Written Communication and Record-Keeping. When a consultation occurs between the Department or its operating units and Tribal officials, the Department or operating unit will provide the Tribal officials with a formal, written communication that summarizes the consultation, and responds to the issues and concerns, if any, identified during consultation. The Tribal Consultation Official or head of each operating unit conducting a consultation will maintain documentation addressing the consultation, tribal concerns, and recommendations in conformance with applicable records retention schedules.

Section 8. Implementation

01. The Tribal Consultation Official, located in OLIA within the Office of the Secretary, is responsible for ensuring implementation of this Policy. This responsibility may be delegated as appropriate. This Policy does not alter or affect any existing duty or authority of any individual operating unit.

02. This Policy is not intended to, and does not, grant, expand, create or diminish any legally enforceable rights, benefits, or trust responsibilities, substantive or procedural, not otherwise granted or created under existing law. Nor shall this Policy be construed to alter, amend, repeal, interpret, or modify tribal sovereignty, any treaty rights of any Indian tribes, or to preempt, modify, or limit the exercise of any such rights.

03. This Policy is intended to improve the Department's management of its relations and cooperative activities with Indian tribes. The Department and operating units have no obligation to engage in any consultation activities under this policy unless they are practicable and permitted by law. Nothing in this policy requires any budgetary obligation or creates a right of action against the Department for failure to comply with this policy nor creates any right, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by a party against the United States, its agencies, or any person.

04. This Policy shall be updated as necessary.

Section 9. Effective Date

01. This Policy is effective beginning with the date of this memorandum and will remain in effect until it is amended, superseded by a Departmental Administrative Order, or revoked.

Dated: June 26, 2012.

Dee Alexander,

Senior Advisor on Native American Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2012–16004 Filed 7–2–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–17–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B–48–2012]

Foreign-Trade Zone 241—Fort Lauderdale, FL, Application for Reorganization/Expansion Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the City of Fort Lauderdale, grantee of FTZ 241, requesting authority to reorganize and expand the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (15 CFR Sec. 400.2(c)). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new subzones or “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee's “service area” in the context of the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on June 27, 2012.

FTZ 241 was approved by the Board on April 6, 2000 (Board Order 1081, 65 FR 20948, 04/19/2000). The current zone project includes the following sites: *Site 1* (915 acres)—Fort Lauderdale Executive Airport complex, Commercial Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale; *Site 2* (11 acres)—CenterPort Industrial Park, NW 8th Avenue, Fort Lauderdale; *Site 3* (278 acres)—Bergeron Park of Commerce & Industry, 19612 SW 69th Place, Fort Lauderdale; *Site 4* (8 acres)—Meridian Business Park, 3335 Enterprise Avenue, Weston; and, *Site 5* (7 acres)—Horst Dorner, 2900 SW. 42nd Street, Fort Lauderdale.

The grantee's proposed service area under the ASF would be portions of Broward County, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout

the service area based on companies' needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is adjacent to the Port Everglades Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize and expand its existing zone project under the ASF as follows: Modify Site 1 by removing 68 acres due to changed circumstances (new acreage—847 acres); expand Site 2 to include an additional 9 acres (new acreage—20 acres); remove Site 3 due to changed circumstances; and, expand Site 4 to include an additional 36 acres (new acreage—44 acres). Sites 1, 2 and 4 would become “magnet” sites and Site 5 would become a “usage-driven” site. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 1 be so exempted.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is September 4, 2012. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to September 17, 2012.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Camille Evans at Camille.Evans@trade.gov or (202) 482-2350.

Dated: June 27, 2012.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012-16344 Filed 7-2-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 6, 2012, the Department of Commerce (Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. The review covers shipments of subject merchandise to the United States for the period February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011, by Mukand Ltd. (Mukand) and Chandan Steel Limited (Chandan). The final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final dumping margins are listed in the “Final Results of the Review” section below.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 3, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Shuler or Yasmin Nair, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1293, or (202) 482-3813, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 6, 2012, the Department published *Stainless Steel Bar From India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 13270 (March 6, 2012) (*Preliminary Results*).

We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On May 4, 2012, we received case briefs from Mukand and Chandan. On May 9, 2012, we received Petitioners' rebuttal brief.¹

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of stainless steel bar. Stainless steel bar means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals,

rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. Stainless steel bar includes cold-finished stainless steel bars that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut-to-length flat-rolled products (*i.e.*, cut-to-length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (*i.e.*, cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes, and sections.

The stainless steel bar subject to this review is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the 2010–2011 Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Bar from India” (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document which is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit (CRU), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

¹ Carpenter Technology Corp.; Electralloy Co., (a division of G.O. Carlson, Inc.); Outokumpu Stainless Bar, Inc.; Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc.; and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (collectively, Petitioners).