statement on a general management plan amendment for Lake Clark National Park and Preserve. The NPS published a Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement on a General Management Plan Amendment/Wilderness Study Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Lake Clark National Park and Preserve in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 31359) on May 31, 2011. The NPS released a scoping newsletter in June 2011 and the public comment period ended September 1, 2011. Public comments focused on issues related to opportunities for visitor use, recreation and access. Pursuing a wilderness study in this plan did not emerge as an important issue, and the NPS has decided this plan should focus on providing for visitor use while protecting park resources and values. Therefore, the NPS is deferring the wilderness study and requisite environmental impact statement. The plan will be evaluated in an environmental assessment because the management alternatives are not expected to result in significant impacts to the human environment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Hard, Superintendent, Lake Clark National Park and Preserve, 240 West 5th Avenue, Suite 236, Anchorage, AK 99501. Telephone: (907) 644–3626.

Sue E. Masica,

Regional Director, Alaska.

[FR Doc. 2012-13604 Filed 6-4-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GY-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Water Management Plans

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The following Water Management Plans are available for review:

- Contra Costa Water District.
- City of Santa Barbara.
- Tulare Irrigation District.
- Pacheco Irrigation District.
- City of Tracy.
- Citrus Heights.
- Water District.

To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria). For the purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans (Plans) are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans. The above entities have each developed a Plan, which Reclamation has evaluated and preliminarily determined to meet the requirements of these Criteria. Reclamation is publishing this notice in order to allow the public to review the Plans and comment on the preliminary determinations. Public comment on Reclamation's preliminary (i.e., draft) determination of Plan adequacy is invited at this time.

DATES: All public comments must be received by July 5, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Please mail comments to Ms. Laurie Sharp, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP–410, Sacramento, California, 95825, or email at *lsharp@usbr.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Ms. Sharp at the email address above or 916–978–5232 (TDD 978–5608).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We are inviting the public to comment on our preliminary (i.e., draft) determination of Plan adequacy. Section 3405(e) of the CVPIA (Title 34 Pub. L. 102-575), requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices that shall "develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982." Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these criteria must be developed "with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices." These criteria state that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare a Plan that contains the following information:

- 1. Description of the District
- 2. Inventory of Water Resources
- 3. Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Agricultural Contractors
- 4. BMPs for Urban Contractors
- 5. Plan Implementation
- 6. Exemption Process
- 7. Regional Criteria
- 8. Five-Year Revisions

Reclamation evaluates Plans based on these criteria. A copy of these Plans will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, MP–410, Sacramento, California, 95825. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. If you wish to review a copy of these Plans, please contact Ms. Sharp.

Public Disclosure

Before including your name, address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: May 30, 2012.

Richard J. Woodley,

Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 2012–13574 Filed 6–4–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-819]

Certain Semiconductor Chips With DRAM Circuitry, and Modules and Products Containing Same Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 7) to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add allegations of infringement of claims 5, 17, 18, 21, and 29 of U.S. Patent No. 7,495,453 against respondents Nanya Technology Corporation of TaoYuan, Taiwan and Nanya Technology Corporation, U.S.A. of Santa Clara, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clark S. Cheney, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2661. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business

hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 21, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Elpida Memory, Inc. of Tokyo, Japan and Elpida Memory (USA) Inc. of Sunnyvale, California (collectively, "Elpida"). 76 FR 79215 (Dec. 21, 2011). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), by reason of infringement of various claims of seven United States patents. The Commission issued a notice of investigation naming Nanya Technology Corporation of TaoYuan, Taiwan and Nanya Technology Corporation, U.S.A. of Santa Clara, California (collectively, "Nanya") as respondents.

On February 13, 2012, Elpida filed a motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to assert five additional claims from one of the seven patents originally asserted against Nanya. The claims in question are claims 5, 17, 18, 21, and 29 of U.S. Patent No. 7,495,453. On February 22, 2012, Nanya opposed the motion to amend.

On May 10, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 7) granting the motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42(h)(3) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)(3)).

By order of the Commission. Issued: May 31, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,

 $Acting \ Secretary \ to \ the \ Commission.$ [FR Doc. 2012–13542 Filed 6–4–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employee Benefits Security Administration

Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans; Nominations for Vacancies

Section 512 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 88 Stat. 895, 29 U.S.C. 1142, provides for the establishment of an Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans (the Council), which is to consist of 15 members to be appointed by the Secretary of Labor (the Secretary) as follows: three representatives of employee organizations (at least one of whom shall be a representative of an organization whose members are participants in a multiemployer plan); three representatives of employers (at least one of whom shall be a representative of employers maintaining or contributing to multiemployer plans); one representative each from the fields of insurance, corporate trust, actuarial counseling, investment counseling, investment management, and accounting; and three representatives from the general public (one of whom shall be a person representing those receiving benefits from a pension plan). No more than eight members of the Council shall be members of the same political party.

Council members shall be persons qualified to appraise the programs instituted under ERISA. Appointments are for terms of three years. The prescribed duties of the Council are to advise the Secretary with respect to the carrying out of his or her functions under ERISA, and to submit to the Secretary, or his or her designee, recommendations with respect thereto. The Council will meet at least four times each year.

The terms of five members of the Council expire this year. The groups or fields they represent are as follows: (1) Employee organizations; (2) employers; (3) investment counseling; (4) actuarial counseling; and (5) the general public. The Department of Labor is committed to equal opportunity in the workplace and seeks a broad-based and diverse Council.

Accordingly, notice is hereby given that any person or organization desiring to nominate one or more individuals for appointment to the Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans to represent any of the groups or fields specified in the preceding paragraph may submit nominations to Larry Good, Council Executive

Secretary, Frances Perkins Building, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Suite N-5623, Washington, DC 20210, or to good.larry@dol.gov. Nominations (including supporting nominations) must be received on or before August 3, 2012. Please allow three weeks for regular mail delivery to the Department of Labor. Nominations may be in the form of a letter, resolution or petition, signed by the person making the nomination or, in the case of a nomination by an organization, by an authorized representative of the organization.

Nominations should:

- State the person's qualifications to serve on the Council.
- State that the candidate will accept appointment to the Council if offered.
- Include the position for which the nominee is nominated.
- Include the nominee's full name, work affiliation, mailing address, phone number, and email address.
- Include the nominator's full name, mailing address, phone number, and email address.
- Include the nominator's signature, whether sent by email or otherwise.

In selecting Council members, the Secretary of Labor will consider individuals nominated in response to this **Federal Register** notice, as well as other qualified individuals.

Nominees will be contacted to provide information on their political affiliation and their status as registered lobbyists. Nominees should be aware of the time commitment for attending meetings and actively participating in the work of the Council. Historically, this has meant a commitment of 15–20 days per year.

Signed at Washington, DC this 30th day of May, 2012.

Michael L. Davis,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-13486 Filed 6-4-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-P

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AMENDED NOTICE: Change to the Scheduled Time for Commencement of the Meeting of the *Finance Committee* of the Legal Services Corporation Board of Directors.

DATE AND TIME: On May 31, 2012, the Legal Services Corporation ("LSC") issued a public announcement (to be published in the **Federal Register** on June 4, 2012) that the Finance